

The dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture for Linear Maps

Joel D. Dreibelbis

April 28, 2012



Outline

1 Introduction

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang
- Related Items

2 Dynamical Mordell–Lang for Linear Maps

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g = 2$
- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g > 2$

3 Conclusion

Outline

1 Introduction

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang
- Related Items

2 Dynamical Mordell–Lang for Linear Maps

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g = 2$
- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g > 2$

3 Conclusion

Outline

1 Introduction

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang
- Related Items

2 Dynamical Mordell–Lang for Linear Maps

- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g = 2$
- Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps, $g > 2$

3 Conclusion

The Usual Setup

- S is a set (such as \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C}^g).
- $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a self-map of S .
- $q \in S$
- The **orbit set of q under f** is

$$\{q, f(q), f(f(q)), f(f(f(q))), \dots\}$$

or more concisely, $\text{Orb}_f(q) := \{f^n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $f^n := f(f^{n-1})$ is the n -fold composition of f with itself.

- Study $\text{Orb}_f(q)$ and make interesting conclusions for various S, f , and q .

The Usual Setup

- S is a set (such as \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C}^s).
- $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a self-map of S .
- $q \in S$
- The **orbit set of q under f** is

$$\{q, f(q), f(f(q)), f(f(f(q))), \dots\}$$

or more concisely, $\text{Orb}_f(q) := \{f^n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $f^n := f(f^{n-1})$ is the n -fold composition of f with itself.

- Study $\text{Orb}_f(q)$ and make interesting conclusions for various S, f , and q .

The Usual Setup

- S is a set (such as \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C}^s).
- $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a self-map of S .
- $q \in S$
- The orbit set of q under f is

$$\{q, f(q), f(f(q)), f(f(f(q))), \dots\}$$

or more concisely, $\text{Orb}_f(q) := \{f^n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $f^n := f(f^{n-1})$ is the n -fold composition of f with itself.

- Study $\text{Orb}_f(q)$ and make interesting conclusions for various S, f , and q .

The Usual Setup

- S is a set (such as \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C}^s).
- $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a self-map of S .
- $q \in S$
- The **orbit set of q under f** is

$$\{q, f(q), f(f(q)), f(f(f(q))), \dots\}$$

or more concisely, $\text{Orb}_f(q) := \{f^n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $f^n := f(f^{n-1})$ is the n -fold composition of f with itself.

- Study $\text{Orb}_f(q)$ and make interesting conclusions for various S, f , and q .

The Usual Setup

- S is a set (such as \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{C}^s).
- $f : S \rightarrow S$ is a self-map of S .
- $q \in S$
- The **orbit set of q under f** is

$$\{q, f(q), f(f(q)), f(f(f(q))), \dots\}$$

or more concisely, $\text{Orb}_f(q) := \{f^n(q) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $f^n := f(f^{n-1})$ is the n -fold composition of f with itself.

- Study $\text{Orb}_f(q)$ and make interesting conclusions for various S, f , and q .

Intersections of Orbit Sets with Curves

- Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y)$ be defined by polynomials in each coordinate, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$.
- What can be said about $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C$ for some curve C ?
- Example: Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$ be a self-map of S , $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, and C is a curve of degree d .

If the orbit set has finite intersection with C then there at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ points in the intersection where $N = (d + 1)^2$.

When $d = 1$, this provides a uniform upper bound of 8^{2240} .

Better bounds exist in special cases.

Intersections of Orbit Sets with Curves

- Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y)$ be defined by polynomials in each coordinate, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$.
- What can be said about $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C$ for some curve C ?
- Example: Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$ be a self-map of S , $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, and C is a curve of degree d .

If the orbit set has finite intersection with C then there at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ points in the intersection where $N = (d + 1)^2$.

When $d = 1$, this provides a uniform upper bound of 8^{2240} .

Better bounds exist in special cases.

Intersections of Orbit Sets with Curves

- Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y)$ be defined by polynomials in each coordinate, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$.
- What can be said about $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C$ for some curve C ?
- Example: Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$ be a self-map of S , $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, and C is a curve of degree d .

If the orbit set has finite intersection with C then there at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ points in the intersection where $N = (d + 1)^2$.

When $d = 1$, this provides a uniform upper bound of 8^{2240} .

Better bounds exist in special cases.

Intersections of Orbit Sets with Curves

- Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y)$ be defined by polynomials in each coordinate, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and consider $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$.
- What can be said about $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C$ for some curve C ?
- Example: Let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$ be a self-map of S , $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$, and C is a curve of degree d .
If the orbit set has finite intersection with C then there at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ points in the intersection where $N = (d + 1)^2$.
When $d = 1$, this provides a uniform upper bound of 8^{2240} .
Better bounds exist in special cases.

The Eigenvalues of a linear map f

- $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a linear map defined as
 $f(x, y) := (ax + by, cx + dy)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $M := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the associated matrix of f since
 $f^n(x, y) = M^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ where $f^n := f^{n-1} \circ f$.
- The eigenvalues of f are the eigenvalues of M .
- For a linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, we may also speak of the associated eigenvalues which arise from the $g \times g$ matrix of coefficients.

The Eigenvalues of a linear map f

- $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a linear map defined as
 $f(x, y) := (ax + by, cx + dy)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $M := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the associated matrix of f since
 $f^n(x, y) = M^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ where $f^n := f^{n-1} \circ f$.
- The eigenvalues of f are the eigenvalues of M .
- For a linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, we may also speak of the associated eigenvalues which arise from the $g \times g$ matrix of coefficients.

The Eigenvalues of a linear map f

- $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a linear map defined as
 $f(x, y) := (ax + by, cx + dy)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $M := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the associated matrix of f since
 $f^n(x, y) = M^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ where $f^n := f^{n-1} \circ f$.
- The eigenvalues of f are the eigenvalues of M .
- For a linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, we may also speak of the associated eigenvalues which arise from the $g \times g$ matrix of coefficients.

The Eigenvalues of a linear map f

- $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a linear map defined as
 $f(x, y) := (ax + by, cx + dy)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $M := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is the associated matrix of f since
 $f^n(x, y) = M^n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ where $f^n := f^{n-1} \circ f$.
- The eigenvalues of f are the eigenvalues of M .
- For a linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$, we may also speak of the associated eigenvalues which arise from the $g \times g$ matrix of coefficients.

The Dynamical–Mordell Lang Conjecture

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_g), S = \mathbb{C}^g, \text{ and } \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_g).$$

Conjecture (Ghioca, Tucker, Zieve 2007)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then V has finite intersection with each orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g .

The Dynamical–Mordell Lang Conjecture

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_g), S = \mathbb{C}^g, \text{ and } \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_g).$$

Conjecture (Ghioca, Tucker, Zieve 2007)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then V has finite intersection with each orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g .

Dynamical Mordell–Lang for Linear Maps

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_g), S = \mathbb{C}^g, \text{ and } \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_g).$$

Conjecture (D. 2012)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be linear polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ of the form $f_i(x) = a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,g}x_g$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then the number of points in the intersection of V and an orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g is at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ where $N = (d + 1)^g$.

Dynamical Mordell–Lang for Linear Maps

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_g), S = \mathbb{C}^g, \text{ and } \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_g).$$

Conjecture (D. 2012)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be linear polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ of the form $f_i(x) = a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,g}x_g$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then the number of points in the intersection of V and an orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g is at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ where $N = (d + 1)^g$.

Strategy

- Parameterize the coordinates of the points, P_n , in the orbit set, $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$, as $P_n = (h_1(n), \dots, h_g(n))$ for suitable functions $h_i(n)$.

- If $P_n \in V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_g^{i_g} \right)$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} (h_1(n))^{i_1} \cdots (h_g(n))^{i_g} = 0.$$

- The last summation will be a polynomial-exponential sum in the variable n whose order is N which depends on g and d .
- Apply a result due to Schlickewei for the maximum number of zeroes within a polynomial-exponential sum of order N .

Strategy

- Parameterize the coordinates of the points, P_n , in the orbit set, $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$, as $P_n = (h_1(n), \dots, h_g(n))$ for suitable functions $h_i(n)$.

- If $P_n \in V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_g^{i_g} \right)$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} (h_1(n))^{i_1} \cdots (h_g(n))^{i_g} = 0.$$

- The last summation will be a polynomial-exponential sum in the variable n whose order is N which depends on g and d .
- Apply a result due to Schlickewei for the maximum number of zeroes within a polynomial-exponential sum of order N .

Strategy

- Parameterize the coordinates of the points, P_n , in the orbit set, $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$, as $P_n = (h_1(n), \dots, h_g(n))$ for suitable functions $h_i(n)$.

- If $P_n \in V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_g^{i_g} \right)$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} (h_1(n))^{i_1} \cdots (h_g(n))^{i_g} = 0.$$

- The last summation will be a polynomial-exponential sum in the variable n whose order is N which depends on g and d .
- Apply a result due to Schlickewei for the maximum number of zeroes within a polynomial-exponential sum of order N .

Strategy

- Parameterize the coordinates of the points, P_n , in the orbit set, $\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q})$, as $P_n = (h_1(n), \dots, h_g(n))$ for suitable functions $h_i(n)$.

- If $P_n \in V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_g^{i_g} \right)$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_g \leq d \\ i_1, \dots, i_g \geq 0}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_g} (h_1(n))^{i_1} \cdots (h_g(n))^{i_g} = 0.$$

- The last summation will be a polynomial-exponential sum in the variable n whose order is N which depends on g and d .
- Apply a result due to Schlickewei for the maximum number of zeroes within a polynomial-exponential sum of order N .

Polynomial-Exponential Sums

- A polynomial-exponential sum is a summation with the form

$$E(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m (P_i(x)b_i^x)$$

where $P_i(x) \in k[x]$ and $b_i \in k$ for some field k .

- The order of a poly-exp sum is $m + \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(P_i)$.
- These poly-exp sums show up in linear recurrences and the orbit set problem.

Polynomial-Exponential Sums

- A polynomial-exponential sum is a summation with the form

$$E(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m (P_i(x)b_i^x)$$

where $P_i(x) \in k[x]$ and $b_i \in k$ for some field k .

- The order of a poly-exp sum is $m + \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(P_i)$.
- These poly-exp sums show up in linear recurrences and the orbit set problem.

Polynomial-Exponential Sums

- A polynomial-exponential sum is a summation with the form

$$E(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m (P_i(x)b_i^x)$$

where $P_i(x) \in k[x]$ and $b_i \in k$ for some field k .

- The order of a poly-exp sum is $m + \sum_{i=1}^m \deg(P_i)$.
- These poly-exp sums show up in linear recurrences and the orbit set problem.

Recurrence Sequences

- A linear recurrence sequence of order N over a field k is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_{n+N} := \alpha_1 a_{n+N-1} + \alpha_2 a_{n+N-2} + \cdots + \alpha_N a_n$$

for $n \geq 0$ with initial values

$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1})$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k$ and $\alpha_N \neq 0$. (or just N -ary recurrence sequence over k for short).

- Characteristic polynomial $x^N - \alpha_1 x^{N-1} - \alpha_2 x^{N-2} - \cdots - \alpha_N$ with roots r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m with r_i having multiplicity m_i so that

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{i,j} n^{j-1} \right) r_i^n.$$

- A recurrence sequence is non-degenerate if it takes on the value 0 finitely many times.

Recurrence Sequences

- A linear recurrence sequence of order N over a field k is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_{n+N} := \alpha_1 a_{n+N-1} + \alpha_2 a_{n+N-2} + \cdots + \alpha_N a_n$$

for $n \geq 0$ with initial values

$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1})$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k$ and $\alpha_N \neq 0$. (or just N -ary recurrence sequence over k for short).

- Characteristic polynomial $x^N - \alpha_1 x^{N-1} - \alpha_2 x^{N-2} - \cdots - \alpha_N$ with roots r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m with r_i having multiplicity m_i so that

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{i,j} n^{j-1} \right) r_i^n.$$

- A recurrence sequence is non-degenerate if it takes on the value 0 finitely many times.

Recurrence Sequences

- A linear recurrence sequence of order N over a field k is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_{n+N} := \alpha_1 a_{n+N-1} + \alpha_2 a_{n+N-2} + \cdots + \alpha_N a_n$$

for $n \geq 0$ with initial values

$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) := (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1})$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k$ and $\alpha_N \neq 0$. (or just N -ary recurrence sequence over k for short).

- Characteristic polynomial $x^N - \alpha_1 x^{N-1} - \alpha_2 x^{N-2} - \cdots - \alpha_N$ with roots r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m with r_i having multiplicity m_i so that

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{i,j} n^{j-1} \right) r_i^n.$$

- A recurrence sequence is non-degenerate if it takes on the value 0 finitely many times.

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Example of a Recurrence Sequence of Order 2

- $a_{n+2} := a_{n+1} + a_n$ with $(a_0, a_1) := (0, 1)$.
- $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots\}$.
- Characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - x - 1$ whose roots are $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$.
-

$$a_n = c_1 \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

$$a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

- $a_n = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.
- a_n is a poly-exp sum of order 2 ($2 + 0 + 0$).

Connections to Linear Recurrences

- Given the orbit set problem (f, \mathbf{q}, V) over \mathbb{C}^g , there is a linear recurrence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $a_n = 0 \iff f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$.
- If V has degree d then the linear recurrence will have order at most $(d + 1)^g$.
- Uniform bounds already exist for the number of zeroes in a linear recurrences of order N (Schlickewei, ranging from triply exponential in N to the most recent, doubly exponential result, about 20 years).

Connections to Linear Recurrences

- Given the orbit set problem (f, \mathbf{q}, V) over \mathbb{C}^g , there is a linear recurrence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $a_n = 0 \iff f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$.
- If V has degree d then the linear recurrence will have order at most $(d + 1)^g$.
- Uniform bounds already exist for the number of zeroes in a linear recurrences of order N (Schlickewei, ranging from triply exponential in N to the most recent, doubly exponential result, about 20 years).

Connections to Linear Recurrences

- Given the orbit set problem (f, \mathbf{q}, V) over \mathbb{C}^g , there is a linear recurrence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $a_n = 0 \iff f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$.
- If V has degree d then the linear recurrence will have order at most $(d + 1)^g$.
- Uniform bounds already exist for the number of zeroes in a linear recurrences of order N (Schlickewei, ranging from triply exponential in N to the most recent, doubly exponential result, about 20 years).

Skolem-Mahler-Lech Theorem



Theorem (Skolem-Mahler-Lech 1933-1935-1953)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a recurrence sequence of complex numbers, then the set of all integers n such that $a_n = 0$ is the union of a finite number of arithmetic sequences.

Arithmetic Sequences

- An arithmetic sequence of natural numbers is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_n := s + nt$$

for some fixed $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If $t = 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is a singleton.
- If $t \neq 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is said to be a full arithmetic sequence (contains infinitely many numbers).
- A finite union of arithmetic sequences is a finite set (possibly empty) union a finite number (possibly 0) of full arithmetic sequences.

Arithmetic Sequences

- An arithmetic sequence of natural numbers is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_n := s + nt$$

for some fixed $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If $t = 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is a singleton.
- If $t \neq 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is said to be a full arithmetic sequence (contains infinitely many numbers).
- A finite union of arithmetic sequences is a finite set (possibly empty) union a finite number (possibly 0) of full arithmetic sequences.

Arithmetic Sequences

- An arithmetic sequence of natural numbers is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_n := s + nt$$

for some fixed $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If $t = 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is a singleton.
- If $t \neq 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is said to be a full arithmetic sequence (contains infinitely many numbers).
- A finite union of arithmetic sequences is a finite set (possibly empty) union a finite number (possibly 0) of full arithmetic sequences.

Arithmetic Sequences

- An arithmetic sequence of natural numbers is a sequence, $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of the form

$$a_n := s + nt$$

for some fixed $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If $t = 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is a singleton.
- If $t \neq 0$, then the arithmetic sequence is said to be a full arithmetic sequence (contains infinitely many numbers).
- A finite union of arithmetic sequences is a finite set (possibly empty) union a finite number (possibly 0) of full arithmetic sequences.

Ternary Recurrence Theorems

Theorem (Beukers 1991)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate ternary recurrence sequence of rational numbers, then there are at most 6 integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Theorem (Beukers 1996)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate ternary recurrence sequence of complex numbers, then there are at most 61 integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Ternary Recurrence Theorems

Theorem (Beukers 1991)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate ternary recurrence sequence of rational numbers, then there are at most 6 integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Theorem (Beukers 1996)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate ternary recurrence sequence of complex numbers, then there are at most 61 integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

N -ary Recurrence Theorems

Theorem (Schlickewei 2000)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate N -ary recurrence sequence of complex numbers, then there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Theorem (D. 2010)

For $N > 1$, if $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate N -ary recurrence sequence of real numbers whose characteristic roots are all real, then there are at most $2N - 3$ integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

N -ary Recurrence Theorems

Theorem (Schlickewei 2000)

If $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate N -ary recurrence sequence of complex numbers, then there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Theorem (D. 2010)

For $N > 1$, if $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a non-degenerate N -ary recurrence sequence of real numbers whose characteristic roots are all real, then there are at most $2N - 3$ integers n such that $a_n = 0$.

Orbit Sets and Varieties

Analyze $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ where $f : V \rightarrow V$, $\mathbf{q} \in V$, and W is a subvariety of $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^m Z(P_i(\vec{x}))$.

Theorem (Bell 2006)

Let V be an affine variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Let \mathbf{q} be a point in V and f an automorphism of V . If W is a subvariety of V then the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Orbit Sets and Varieties

Analyze $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ where $f : V \rightarrow V$, $\mathbf{q} \in V$, and W is a subvariety of $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^m Z(P_i(\vec{x}))$.

Theorem (Bell 2006)

Let V be an affine variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Let \mathbf{q} be a point in V and f an automorphism of V . If W is a subvariety of V then the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Orbit Sets and Varieties

Theorem (Bell, Ghioca, Tucker 2009)

Let $f : V \rightarrow V$ be an étale endomorphism of any quasiprojective variety defined over \mathbb{C} . Then for any subvariety W of V , and for any point $\mathbf{q} \in V$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Theorem (D. 2010)

If the eigenvalues of a linear map $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are real, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve of degree d , and $|\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C|$ is finite, then $|\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C| \leq d^2 + 3d - 1$.

§

Orbit Sets and Varieties

Theorem (Bell, Ghioca, Tucker 2009)

Let $f : V \rightarrow V$ be an étale endomorphism of any quasiprojective variety defined over \mathbb{C} . Then for any subvariety W of V , and for any point $\mathbf{q} \in V$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Theorem (D. 2010)

If the eigenvalues of a linear map $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are real, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve of degree d , and $|\text{Orb}_f(q) \cap C|$ is finite, then $|\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C| \leq d^2 + 3d - 1$.

§

Orbit Sets and Varieties

Theorem (Bell, Ghioca, Tucker 2009)

Let $f : V \rightarrow V$ be an étale endomorphism of any quasiprojective variety defined over \mathbb{C} . Then for any subvariety W of V , and for any point $\mathbf{q} \in V$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in W\}$ is a finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Theorem (D. 2010)

If the eigenvalues of a linear map $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are real, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a curve of degree d , and $|\text{Orb}_f(q) \cap C|$ is finite, then $|\text{Orb}_f(\mathbf{q}) \cap C| \leq d^2 + 3d - 1$.

§

Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps

Conjecture (D. 2012)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be linear polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ of the form $f_i(x) = a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,g}x_g$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then the number of points in the intersection of V and an orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g is at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ where $N = (d + 1)^g$.

Sketch of Proof – Distinct Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = (\lambda_1^n q_1, \lambda_2^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} (\lambda_1^n q_1)^i (\lambda_2^n q_2)^j = \sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} q_1^i q_2^j (\lambda_1^i \lambda_2^j)^n = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – Distinct Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = (\lambda_1^n q_1, \lambda_2^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} (\lambda_1^n q_1)^i (\lambda_2^n q_2)^j = \sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} q_1^i q_2^j (\lambda_1^i \lambda_2^j)^n = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – Distinct Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = (\lambda_1^n q_1, \lambda_2^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} (\lambda_1^n q_1)^i (\lambda_2^n q_2)^j = \sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} q_1^i q_2^j (\lambda_1^i \lambda_2^j)^n = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – Repeated Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda x + y, \lambda y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1, \lambda^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1)^i (\lambda^n q_2)^j = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – Repeated Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda x + y, \lambda y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1, \lambda^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1)^i (\lambda^n q_2)^j = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – Repeated Eigenvalues

Suppose $f(x, y) = (\lambda x + y, \lambda y)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $V = Z \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right)$.

Then,

$f^n(\mathbf{q}) = ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1, \lambda^n q_2)$ and if $f^n(\mathbf{q}) \in V$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} ((\lambda^n + n\lambda^{n-1})q_1)^i (\lambda^n q_2)^j = 0.$$

The left-hand side expression is a polynomial-exponential sum, in the variable n , of order N where $N \leq (d+1)^2$ and so there are at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ zeroes due to Schlickewei's result.

Sketch of Proof – For any Linear Map

Suppose $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and

$$V = \mathbb{Z} \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right). \text{ Then,}$$

change coordinates so that the matrix corresponding to f is in Jordan normal form, either $M = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$ or $M = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$.

Sketch of Proof – For any Linear Map

Suppose $f(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$, $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and

$$V = \mathbb{Z} \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ i, j \geq 0}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \right). \text{ Then,}$$

change coordinates so that the matrix corresponding to f is in Jordan

normal form, either $M = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$ or $M = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$.

Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps

Conjecture (D. 2012)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be linear polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ of the form $f_i(x) = a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,g}x_g$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then the number of points in the intersection of V and an orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g is at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ where $N = (d + 1)^g$.

Sketch of Proof

- Either directly or by induction, first show that the conjecture is true for linear maps with a nice form (those corresponding to one of the Jordan normal forms).
- Then, for any linear map, show that you may change coordinates so that the resulting matrix is in Jordan normal form.
-

Sketch of Proof

- Either directly or by induction, first show that the conjecture is true for linear maps with a nice form (those corresponding to one of the Jordan normal forms).
- Then, for any linear map, show that you may change coordinates so that the resulting matrix is in Jordan normal form.



Sketch of Proof

- Either directly or by induction, first show that the conjecture is true for linear maps with a nice form (those corresponding to one of the Jordan normal forms).
- Then, for any linear map, show that you may change coordinates so that the resulting matrix is in Jordan normal form.
- \square

Dynamical–Mordell Lang for Linear Maps

Conjecture (D. 2012)

Let f_1, \dots, f_g be linear polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ of the form $f_i(x) = a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,g}x_g$ and let V be a subvariety of \mathbb{C}^g which contains no positive dimensional subvariety that is periodic under the action of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g . Then the number of points in the intersection of V and an orbit of (f_1, \dots, f_g) on \mathbb{C}^g is at most $(2N)^{35N^3}$ where $N = (d + 1)^g$.

The dynamical Mordell–Lang conjecture for Linear Maps

Joel D. Dreibelbis

April 28, 2012

