

Math 568 Tom Tucker  
NOTES FROM CLASS 11/10

Note: I organized this a little bit differently from in class.

Recall that if  $L$  and  $E$  are finite extensions of  $K$ , we say that  $L$  and  $E$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$  if

$$[EL : K] = [E : K][L : K].$$

Note that this is stronger than saying  $E \cap L = K$ . For example, if  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_3 \sqrt[3]{5})$ , then  $E \cdot L$  has degree six over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , not degree nine, so  $E$  and  $L$  are not linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Note however that if  $L$  or  $E$  is Galois over  $K$ , then  $E$  and  $L$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$  if and only if  $E \cap L = K$ . The key fact here is that if  $E$  is Galois then  $E = K(\theta)$  for some  $\theta$  such that  $K$  contains all the conjugates of  $\theta$  and thus contains the coefficients of any factor of the minimal polynomial for  $\theta$ .

Let's now introduce semidirect products.

Let  $G$  be group. We say that  $G$  is the semidirect product  $N \rtimes H$  if

- $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ;
- $HN = G$ ; and
- $H \cap N = \{e\}$ .

We have the following simple fact about composita of extensions.

**Proposition 17.1.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be finite, separable, linearly disjoint field extensions of a field  $K$ . Suppose that  $L$  is Galois over  $K$ . Then*

$$\text{Gal}(EL/K) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K) \rtimes \text{Gal}(EL/L).$$

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is Galois over  $K$  and  $E, L$  are disjoint over  $K$ , we have  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Gal}(EL/E)$ . Now, let  $N = \text{Gal}(EL/E)$  and let  $H = \text{Gal}(EL/L)$ . Then  $N$  is normal. Since  $K$  is the fixed field of  $HN$ , we see that  $HN = \text{Gal}(EL/K)$ . It follows that  $H \cap N = \{e\}$  by looking at degrees of extensions.  $\square$

**Proposition 17.2.** *Let  $\xi_m$  be a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. Then  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m)/\mathbb{Q})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$  (the multiplicative units of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_m$  be a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. Then for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m)/\mathbb{Q})$ , we have  $\sigma(\xi_m) = \xi_m^i$  where  $i \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ . The map  $\theta : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m)/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$  sending  $\sigma$  to  $i$  is an isomorphism since all  $\xi_m^i$ , where  $i \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ , are conjugate to  $\xi_m$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 17.3.** *Let  $L$  be a field containing a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity  $\xi_m$ , let  $x^m - a$  be irreducible over  $L$ , and let  $M$  be a splitting field of  $x^m - a$  over  $L$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(L/M)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $x^m - a$ . Then  $\theta : \sigma \mapsto \sigma(\alpha)/\alpha$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Gal}(M/L)$  to the  $m$ -th roots of unity in  $L$ . Since the group of  $m$ -th roots of unity in  $L$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.4.** *Suppose that  $x^m - a$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{a})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m)$  are linearly disjoint over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $M$  be the splitting field of  $x^m - a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to the semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 17.1, 17.2, and 17.3.  $\square$

Let's prove a few things about discriminants, before moving on.

**Lemma 17.5.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$ , let  $K \subseteq L$ , and  $K \subseteq E$  be separable, finite extensions that are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . Let  $R_E$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $E$  and let  $B$  be an integral extension of  $A$  with field of fractions  $L$ . Let  $C = R_E B$  be the compositum of  $R_E$  and  $B$  in  $EL$ . Then  $\Delta(C/R_E)R_E = \Delta(B/A)R_E$ .*

*Proof.* It will suffice to show that for  $\mathcal{P}$  be a prime of  $A$  and  $S = A \setminus \mathcal{P}$ , we have  $S^{-1}R_E \Delta(S^{-1}C/S^{-1}R_E) = S^{-1}R_E \Delta(S^{-1}B/A_{\mathcal{P}})$ , since

$$S^{-1}R_E \Delta(B/A) = S^{-1}R_E A_{\mathcal{P}} \Delta(B/A) = S^{-1}R_E (S^{-1}/A_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

Thus, we may assume that  $A = A_{\mathcal{P}}$ , that  $B = S^{-1}B$ ,  $R_E = S^{-1}R_E$ ,  $C = S^{-1}C$ . Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be basis for  $B$  over  $A$  (we have assumed now that  $A$  is a DVR). Then  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  must also generate  $C$  as an  $R_E$ -module. Moreover, since  $[EL : E] = [L : K] = n$ , since  $E$  and  $L$  are linearly disjoint. Hence,  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  is a basis for  $C$  over  $R_E$ . We can use it to calculate both discriminants then. It is clear that  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(y) = \text{Tr}_{LE/L}(y)$  for any  $y \in L$ , since the trace is determined by how  $yw_i$  can be written in terms of the  $w_i$ . We see then that

$$\Delta(C/B) = \det[\text{Tr}_{LE/L}(w_i w_j)] = \det[\text{Tr}_{L/K}(w_i w_j)] = \Delta(R_E/A),$$

and we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 17.6.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$ , let  $K \subseteq L$ , and  $K \subseteq E$  be separable, finite extensions that are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . Let  $R_E$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $E$  and let  $R_L$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . Suppose that  $A\Delta(R_E/A) + A\Delta(R_L/A) = 1$ . Then  $C = R_E R_L$  is Dedekind.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a prime in  $R_E R_L$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \cap A = \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $A\Delta(R_E/A) + A\Delta(R_L/A) = 1$ , either  $A\Delta(R_E/A)$  or  $A\Delta(R_L/A)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We may suppose WLOG that  $A\Delta(R_L/A)$  isn't contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows from the Lemma above that for any  $\mathcal{Q} \cap R_E$  that is

prime and lies over  $\mathcal{P}$ , the ideal  $R_E\Delta(C/R_E)$  doesn't contain  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Thus, if  $S = R_E \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $S^{-1}C$  is Dedekind, so  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. So every prime  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $C$  is invertible and  $C$  must be Dedekind.  $\square$

We were in the middle of proving the following...

**Proposition 17.7.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$ , let  $K \subseteq L$ , and  $K \subseteq E$  be separable, finite extensions that are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . Let  $R_E$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $E$  and let  $R_L$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . Suppose that  $A\Delta(R_E/A) + A\Delta(R_L/A) = 1$ . Then  $C = R_ER_L$  is Dedekind.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a prime in  $R_ER_L$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \cap A = \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $A\Delta(R_E/A) + A\Delta(R_L/A) = 1$ , either  $A\Delta(R_E/A)$  or  $A\Delta(R_L/A)$  is not contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We may suppose WLOG that  $A\Delta(R_L/A)$  doesn't isn't contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows from the Lemma above that for any  $\mathcal{Q} \cap R_E$  that is prime and lies over  $\mathcal{P}$ , the ideal  $R_E\Delta(C/R_E)$  doesn't contain  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Thus, if  $S = R_E \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $S^{-1}C$  is Dedekind, so  $\mathcal{M}$  is invertible. So every prime  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $C$  is invertible and  $C$  must be Dedekind.  $\square$

\*\*\*\*\* Now, let's move on to the class group. Recall that for any integral domain  $R$ , we have notion of invertible ideals (recall that it is a fractional ideal with an inverse) and that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pri}(R) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}(R) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where  $\text{Pri}(R)$  is the set of principal ideals of  $R$ ,  $\text{Inv}(R)$  is set of invertible ideals of  $R$ , and the group law is multiplication of fractional ideals. When  $R$  is Dedekind, all fractional ideals are invertible and we write this as

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pri}(R) \longrightarrow \text{Fr}(R) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We call the quotient  $\text{Cl}(R)$  above the class group of  $R$ . When  $R$  is the integral closure  $\mathcal{O}_L$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in some number field  $L$ , we often write  $\text{Cl}(L)$  for  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ . We also write  $\Delta(L)$  for  $\Delta(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathbb{Z})$ . We want to prove the following.

**Theorem 17.8.** *Let  $L$  be a number field. Then  $\text{Cl}(L)$  is finite.*

We've already shown this  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . We showed that  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = 1$ , i.e. that it is a principal ideal domain. On the other hand, we've seen that  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{19}]) \neq 1$  (this ring isn't Dedekind, but later we'll see Dedekind rings with nontrivial class groups).

How did we show that  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = 1$ ? We took advantage of the fact that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  forms a sublattice of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We'll try to do that in general.

Here is the idea... If we have a number field  $L$  of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then we have  $n$  different embeddings of  $L$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . They can be obtained by fixing one embedding  $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and then conjugating this embedding by elements in the cosets of  $H_L$  in  $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$  for  $M$  some Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  containing  $L$ . We'll use these to make  $B$  a full lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . What is a full lattice?

**Definition 17.9.** A lattice  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module whose rank as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is the equal to the dimension of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space generated by  $\mathcal{L}$ . A full lattice  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$  that generates  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

**Example 17.10.** (1)  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  where  $\theta^2 = 3$  is *not* a full lattice of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  under the embedding  $1 \mapsto 1$  and  $\theta \mapsto \sqrt{3}$ , since it generates an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of dimension 1.  
 (2)  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is full lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}$  considered as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space with basis  $1, i$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

There are two different types of embeddings of  $L$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . There are the real ones and the complex ones. An embedding  $\sigma : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is real if  $\overline{\sigma(y)} = \sigma(y)$  for every  $y \in L$  (the bar here denotes complex conjugation) and is complex otherwise.

Let's order the embeddings  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  ( $n = [L : \mathbb{Q}]$ ) in the following way. We let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$  be real embeddings. The remaining embeddings come in pairs as explained above, so for  $i = r + 1, r + 3, \dots$ , we let  $\sigma_i$  be a complex embedding and let  $\sigma_{i+1} = \overline{\sigma_i}$ . We let  $s$  be the number of complex embeddings. We have  $r + 2s = n$ .

Now, we can embed  $\mathcal{O}_L$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by letting

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(y) &= (\sigma_1(y), \dots, \sigma_r(y), \\
 &\quad \Re(\sigma_{r+1}(y)), \Im(\sigma_{r+1}(y)), \dots, \Re(\sigma_{r+2(s-1)}(y)), \Im(\sigma_{r+2(s-1)}(y))) \\
 &= (\sigma_1(y), \dots, \sigma_r(y), \\
 (1) \quad &\quad \frac{\sigma_{r+1}(y) + \sigma_{r+2}(y)}{2}, \frac{\sigma_{r+1}(y) - \sigma_{r+2}(y)}{2i}, \dots, \\
 &\quad \frac{\sigma_{r+2(s-1)}(y) + \sigma_{r+2(s-1)+1}(y)}{2}, \frac{\sigma_{r+2(s-1)}(y) - \sigma_{r+2(s-1)+1}(y)}{2i}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us also denote as  $h_i$  the map  $h : \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by composing  $h$  with projection  $p_i$  onto the  $i$ -th coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We will continue to use  $h$  and  $h_i$  as defined above. We will also continue to let  $s$  and  $r$  be as above and to let  $n = r + 2s$  be the degree  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ .