

Math 531 Tom Tucker
NOTES FROM CLASS 9/22

Note about the homework

The following is an immediate consequence of Problems 5 and 6 from the homework you just handed in.

Theorem 9.1. *Let R be a Noetherian integral domain of dimension 1. Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) R is integrally closed;
- (2) R is a Dedekind domain.

Proof. Since $R = \cap_{\mathcal{P} \neq 0} R_{\mathcal{P}}$ by Problem 6, it follows from Problem 5 that R is integrally closed if and only if each $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is integrally closed. \square

A note on definitions: Fractional ideals are not generally always assumed to be finitely generated. So here's what we have from last time with this convention.

Lemma 9.2. *Let J be a finitely generated fractional ideal of an integral domain R with field of fractions K and let S be a multiplicative set S in R not containing 0. Then $S^{-1}R(R : J) = (S^{-1}R : S^{-1}RJ)$.*

All invertible ideals are automatically finitely generated, though.

Lemma 9.3. *Let J be a fractional ideal of an integral domain R . Then J is invertible $\Leftrightarrow J$ is finitely generated and $R_{\mathcal{M}}J$ is an invertible fractional ideal of $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ for every maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R .*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let J be an invertible ideal of R . Then we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^k n_i m_i = 1$$

with $n_i \in (R : J)$. Since $n_i J \in R$ for each i , we can write any $y \in J$ as $\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i y) m_i = y$, so the m_i generate J . Hence, J is finitely generated. Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal of R . Since we can write $J(R : J) = R$ we must have $R_{\mathcal{M}}(J(R : J)) = R_{\mathcal{M}}$, so $(R_{\mathcal{M}}J)(R_{\mathcal{M}}(R : J)) = R_{\mathcal{M}}$, so $R_{\mathcal{M}}J$ is invertible

(\Leftarrow) For any ideal J , we can form $J(R : J) \subseteq R$ (not necessarily equal to R). This will be an ideal I of R . Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal of R . Since J is finitely generated by assumption, we can apply the Lemma immediately above to obtain $(R_{\mathcal{M}} : R_{\mathcal{M}}J) = R_{\mathcal{M}}(R : J)$. Hence, we have $R_{\mathcal{M}}J(R : J) = R_{\mathcal{M}}$. Thus the ideal $I = J(R : J)$ is not contained in any maximal ideal of R . Thus, $I = R$ and J is invertible. \square

Theorem 9.4. *Let R be a local integral domain of dimension 1. Then R is a DVR \Leftrightarrow the maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R is invertible.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If J is a fractional ideal, then $xJ \subset R$ for some $x \in R$. Hence $xJ = Ra$ for some $a \in R$ since a DVR is PID. Thus, $J = Rax^{-1}$. Clearly $(R : J) = Ra^{-1}x$ and $J(R : J) = 1$, so J is invertible.

(\Leftarrow) Since every nonzero ideal $I \subset R$ is invertible, every ideal of R is finitely generated, so R is Noetherian. Now, it will suffice to show that every nonzero ideal in R is a power of the maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R . The set of ideals I of R that are not a power of \mathcal{M} (note: we consider R to \mathcal{M}^0 , so the unit ideal is considered to be a power of \mathcal{M}) has a maximal element if it is not empty. Taking such a maximal element I , we see that $(R : \mathcal{M})I$ must not be invertible since if it had an inverse J , then $\mathcal{M}J$ would be an inverse for I . On the other hand, $(R : \mathcal{M})I \neq I$ since if $(R : \mathcal{M})I = I$, then $\mathcal{M}I = I$ which means that $I = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma. Since $(R : \mathcal{M})I \supseteq I$ (since $1 \in (R : \mathcal{M})$), this means that $(R : \mathcal{M})I$ is strictly larger than I , contradicting the maximality of I . \square

Now, we have the global counterpart.

Theorem 9.5. *Let R be a integral domain of dimension 1. Then R is a Dedekind domain \Leftrightarrow every fractional ideal of R is invertible.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let J be a fractional ideal of R . Then, for every maximal ideal \mathcal{M} , it is clear that $R_{\mathcal{M}}J$ is a fractional ideal of $R_{\mathcal{M}}$. Since $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a DVR, $R_{\mathcal{M}}J$ must be therefore be invertible for every maximal ideal \mathcal{M} . Moreover, J must be finitely generated since there is an $x \in K$ for which xJ is an ideal of R and every ideal of R is finitely generated since R is Noetherian. Therefore, J must be invertible by a Lemma 9.3.

(\Leftarrow) Since every ideal of R is invertible, every ideal of R is finitely generated, so R is Noetherian. Now, since any maximal \mathcal{P} is invertible, we write $(R : \mathcal{P})\mathcal{P} = R$. Localizing at \mathcal{P} and treating things as $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ modules, we then obtain

$$R_{\mathcal{P}}(R : \mathcal{P})R_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = R_{\mathcal{P}},$$

so from the theorem above $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a DVR and we are done. \square

Let's show that not only can every ideal I of a Dedekind domain R be factored uniquely, but so can every fractional ideal J of a Dedekind domain. Since every nonzero prime is invertible in R , we can write $\mathcal{P}^{-1} = (R : \mathcal{P})$ for maximal \mathcal{P} (by the way nonzero prime means the same thing as maximal in a 1-dimensional integral domain of course).

Proposition 9.6. *Let R be a Dedekind domain. Then every fractional ideal J of R has a unique factorization as*

$$J = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i^{e_i}$$

with all the $e_i \neq 0$.

Proof. To see that J has some factorization as above we note xJ is an ideal I in R . So if we factor Rx and I and write $J = (x)^{-1}I$, we have a factorization. To see that the factorization is unique we write

$$I = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i^{e_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{Q}_j^{-f_j} \right)$$

with all the e_i and f_j positive and no \mathcal{Q}_j equal to any \mathcal{P}_i . Let $I = \prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{Q}_j^{f_j}$. Then JI^2 is an ideal of R with $JI^2 = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i^{e_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mathcal{Q}_j^{f_j} \right)$. Since I^2 has a unique factorization and so does JI^2 , so must J have a unique factorization. \square