

Math 531 Tom Tucker
NOTES FROM CLASS 12/10

We will show that if L is an algebraic extension of a field K_v that is complete with respect to an absolute value coming from a discrete valuation v , that there is in fact only *one* way of extending $|\cdot|_v$ to an absolute value on L . First, we'll need a definition of a metric on a vector space.

Definition 43.1. Let K be a field with an absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ and let W be a vector space over K . A v -metric $\|\cdot\|$ on W is a function $\|\cdot\| : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for every $x \in W$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) $\|ax\| = |a|\|x\|$ for every $a \in K$ and $x \in W$.
- (3) (Triangle inequality) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for any $x, y \in W$

Definition 43.2. We'll say that two metrics $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent if there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq C_2\|x\|_1$$

for every $x \in W$.

When W has finite dimension n with basis e_1, \dots, e_n , we define

$$\|x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ne_n\|_{sup} = \max_i(|x_i|).$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$ is clearly a metric. Moreover, for any Cauchy sequence in W , the coordinate x_i converge with respect to $|\cdot|_v$. Thus, it is clear that when K is complete with respect to v , W is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$.

Lemma 43.3. Let K_v be complete with respect to an absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ and let W be a finite dimensional vector space. Then any v metric $\|\cdot\|$ on W is equivalent to the metric $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ne_n\| \leq \|x_1e_1\| + \dots + \|x_ne_n\| \leq n \max_i(\|e_i\|) \max_i(|x_i|).$$

Now, we need to show there is a C_1 such that $\|y\| \geq C_1\|y\|_{sup}$. Note that this is clearly true when $n = 1$, since in this case $\|y\| = \|y\|_{sup}$ for any y . Thus, we can proceed by induction on n . Suppose to the contrary this were not true - then for any i there would be some y_i such that

$$\|y_i\| \leq \frac{1}{i}\|y_i\|_{sup}.$$

Dividing through by $\|y_i\|_{sup}$, we can assume that $\|y_i\| = 1$. After reordering the e_j and throwing some of the y_i , we can assume that

the coefficient of e_1 in the expansion of y_i with respect to the basis e_1, \dots, e_n is 1. Then $c_i = y_i - e_1$ is in an $n - 1$ dimensional vector space on which $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$. For any i, j , we see that

$$\|c_i - c_j\| = \|y_i - y_j\| \leq \frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j},$$

so the c_j form a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{sup}$ on the c_i , this means that the limit $\lim_i c_i$ exists and is in the space generated by e_2, \dots, e_n . Letting $c^* = \lim_i c_i$, we see that

$$\|c^* + e_1\| = \lim_i \|c_i + e_1\| \lim_i \|y_i\| = 0$$

but $c^* + e_1 \neq 0$, a contradiction of part (i) of the definition of a metric, so we have a contradiction. \square

Theorem 43.4. *Let K_v be complete with respect to an absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ coming from the discrete valuation v . Let L be a finite separable extension of K_v . Then $|\cdot|_v$ extends uniquely to a absolute value $|\cdot|_w$ on L . Moreover, L is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_w$.*

Proof. We know that the prime lying over the maximal ideal in B_v are in one-to-one correspondence with absolute values $\|\cdot\|_w$ extending $\|\cdot\|_v$. Any absolute value on L as a field is also a metric on L as a K -vector space. Thus, any two absolute values on L extending v are equivalent as metrics. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{Q}_i \neq \mathcal{Q}_j$ are primes in C_v lying over B_v , then there exists an element $\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ that isn't in \mathcal{Q}_j . If $\|\cdot\|_w$ and $\|\cdot\|_{w'}$ are the absolute values coming from \mathcal{Q}_i and \mathcal{Q}_j respectively, we see that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a suitably large power π^n of π for which $\|\pi^n\|_w < \epsilon$; we also know that $\|\pi^n\|_{w'} = 1$, so $\|\cdot\|_w$ and $\|\cdot\|_{w'}$ are not equivalent.

Since $\|\cdot\|_w$ is equivalent to the sup norm defined above and L is complete with respect to the sup norm, L must be complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_w$, so we are done. \square

Let K be a field with a discrete valuation on v ; let B be the corresponding discrete valuation ring in K and let \mathcal{P} be the maximal ideal in B . Let L be a finite separable extension of K ; let C be the algebraic closure of B in L . We write

$$C\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{Q}_m^{e_m}.$$

Let $f_i = [\mathcal{Q}_i : \mathcal{P}_1]$. Let $|\cdot|_w$ be the absolute value on L extending v that corresponds to \mathcal{Q}_i .

Proposition 43.5. *We have $[L_w : K_v] = e_i f_i$. This is called the local degree at w .*

Proof. Let B_v , C_w , \mathcal{P}_v , and \mathcal{Q}_v denote the completions of B , C , \mathcal{P} , and \mathcal{Q}_i , respectively. Since C_w is a DVR, the only maximal ideal in C_w is \mathcal{Q}_w . Thus, C_w contains $C_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$. Since

$$C_{\mathcal{Q}_i}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}_i^{e_i},$$

we have

$$C_w\mathcal{P}_v = \mathcal{Q}_w^{e_i}.$$

We also have $C_w/\mathcal{Q}_w \cong C/\mathcal{Q}_i$ and $B_v/\mathcal{P}_v \cong B/\mathcal{P}$, so

$$f_i = [C_w/\mathcal{Q}_w : B_v/\mathcal{P}_v].$$

Thus,

$$[L_w : \mathbb{Q}_v] = e_i f_i.$$

□