Recall from last time:

Let A be Dedekind. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a maximal ideal of A and let  $\alpha$  be an integral element of a finite separable extension of the field of fractions of A. Suppose that G is the minimal monic for  $\alpha$  over A and that the reduction mod  $\mathcal{P}$  of G, which we call  $\overline{G}$  factors as

$$\bar{G} = \bar{g}_1^{r_1} \cdots \bar{g}_m^{r_m},$$

with the  $\bar{g}_i$  distinct, irreducible, and monic.

**Proposition 16.1.** With notation as above, if  $r_i = 1$  then the prime  $A[\alpha](\mathcal{P}, g_i(\alpha))$  is invertible. If  $r_i > 1$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  is invertible if and only if all the coefficients of the remainder mod  $g_i$  of G are not in  $\mathcal{P}^2$ , i.e. if writing

(1) 
$$G(x) = q(x)g_i(x) + r(x),$$

we have  $r(x) \notin \mathcal{P}^2[x]$ .

*Proof.* We did the  $r_i = 1$  part last time. Now, for  $r_i > 1$ . We may as well work over  $A_{\mathcal{P}}[\alpha]$  rather than  $A[\alpha]$  we write  $A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = A_{\mathcal{P}}\pi$ .

Let  $\phi : A_{\mathcal{P}}[x] \longrightarrow A_{\mathcal{P}}[\alpha]$  be the natural quotient map obtained by sending x to  $\alpha$ . The kernel of this map is  $A_{\mathcal{P}}[x]G$ . The prime  $\mathcal{Q}_i$ in  $A_{\mathcal{P}}$  is generated by  $(\pi, g_i(\alpha))$ , so  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$  is generated by  $(\pi, g_i(x))$ since G(x) is in the ideal generated by  $(\pi, g_i(x))$  (since  $g_i(x)$  divides Gmodulo  $\mathcal{P}$ ). Denote  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$  as J. It is easy to see that

$$\dim_{A_{\mathcal{P}}/A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}} J/J^2 = 2d$$

where d is the degree of  $g_i$  since

$$\{\pi, \pi x, \ldots, \pi x^{d-1}, g_i, g_i x, \ldots, g_i x^{d-1}\}$$

is a basis for  $J/J^2$  as a  $A_{\mathcal{P}}/A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}$ -module. We see that  $\phi$  induces a map

$$ilde{\phi}: J/J^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i/\mathcal{Q}_i^2$$

which has kernel  $A_{\mathcal{P}}[x]G(x) \pmod{J^2}$ . From (1), this is generated by the remainder r(x). Since deg  $r < \deg g$ , we have  $r \in J^2$  if and only if  $r \in \pi^2 A_{\mathcal{P}}[x]$ . Thus, we see that

$$\dim_{A_{\mathcal{P}}/A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q}_i/\mathcal{Q}_i^2) < 2d$$

if and only if  $r \notin \pi^2 A_{\mathcal{P}}[x]$ . Since

$$\dim_{A_{\mathcal{P}}/A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q}_i/\mathcal{Q}_i^2) = d \dim_{A[\alpha]_{\mathcal{Q}_i}/A_{[\alpha]_{\mathcal{Q}_i}}\mathcal{Q}_i}(\mathcal{Q}_i/\mathcal{Q}_i^2)$$

we thus have

$$\dim_{A_{\mathcal{P}}/A_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q}_i/\mathcal{Q}_i^2) = 1$$

if and only if  $r \notin \pi^2 A_{\mathcal{P}}[x]$ .

How can we tell which primes we have to worry about (by this, I mean those for which some  $r_i$  is greater than 1)? We can use something called the discriminant of a finitely generated integral extension of rings B over A. We will work with several formulations, all of which are equivalent. Here's the definition of the discriminant of a polynomial.

**Definition 16.2.** Let K be a field and let F be the monic polynomial

$$F(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0.$$

Then, writing

$$F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \alpha_i)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are the roots of F in some algebraic closure of K, the discriminant  $\Delta(F)$  is defined to be

$$\Delta(F) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2.$$

Why is this discriminant useful? Because of the following obvious fact:

 $\Delta(F) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow F$  does not have multiple roots.

This is clear because an algebraic closure of K is certainly an integral domain.

What happens when we reduce a polynomial modulo a maximal ideal  $\mathcal{P}$  in a Dedekind domain A.

**Proposition 16.3.** Let F be a polynomial in a Dedekind domain A. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a prime of A and let  $\overline{F}$  be the reduction of F mod  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\overline{F}$  be the reduction of F modulo  $\mathcal{P}$  and let  $\overline{\Delta}(F)$  be the reduction of  $\Delta(F)$ modulo  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then, we have  $\overline{\Delta}(F) = \Delta(\overline{F})$ .

Proof. Let  $F = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)$  where the  $\alpha_i$ . Let  $B = A[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ . Then there is a maximal  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{Q} \cap A = \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\phi$ :  $B \longrightarrow B/cQ$ . Let  $h \in (B/\mathcal{Q})[X]$  be the polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} (X - \phi(\alpha_i))$ . Now, the *i*-th coefficient of h(x) is  $(-1)^{n-i}S_{i+1}(\phi(\alpha_1), \dots, \phi(\alpha_n))$  where  $S_{i+1}$  is the i + 1-st elementary symmetric polynomial in *n*-variables. Since  $\phi$  is homomorphism,  $(-1)^{n-i}S_{i+1}(\phi(\alpha_1), \dots, \phi(\alpha_n))$  is also the *i*-th coefficient of  $\overline{F}$ , so  $\overline{F} = h$  and it is clear that

$$\Delta(h) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha_j)) = \prod_{i < j} (\phi(\alpha_i) - \phi(\alpha_j))^2 = \overline{\Delta}(F).$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

This has the following corollary.

**Corollary 16.4.** Let A be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a maximal prime in A and suppose that  $A/\mathcal{P} = k$  is a perfect field. Then the reduction  $\overline{F}$  of F modulo  $\mathcal{P}$  has distinct roots in the algebraic closure of  $A/\mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $\Delta(F) \notin \mathcal{P}$ .