

University of Utah Marriott Library ILL



ILLiad TN: 1452215

**February 1, 2018**

**Borrower: RAPID:GUA**

**Lending String:**

**Journal Title:** Journal of the Faculty of Science,  
Imperial University of Tokyo

**Volume:** 7

**Issue:**

**Month/Year:** 1954

**Pages:** 193-227

**Article Author:** Tōkyō Daigaku. Rigakubu.

**Article Title:** On the homology theory of modules

**ILL Number: -12809006**



**Call #: STRG Q77 .T63 v.7**

**Location/Notes:**

**Billing Category:** Exempt

**Maxcost:**

**Delivery Method:** Send via Odyssey

**email:**

**EMAIL:**

**Shipping Address:**

NEW: Main Library

**"NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS"**

The copyright law of the United States [Title 17, United States Code] governs the making of photocopies or the other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the reproduction is not to be used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research. If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of fair use, that use may be liable for copyright infringement.

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law. No further reproduction and distribution of this copy is permitted by transmission or any other means.

# On the Homology Theory of Modules.

By NOBUO YONEDA.

## § 0. Introduction.

Let  $A$  be a ring with unit. In generalizing the notions of torsion products and extension groups of abelian groups, Cartan and Eilenberg have defined a set of abelian groups  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$  ( $n=0, 1, \dots$ ) for any two  $A$ -modules  $A, B$ . These groups are in a deep connection with various homology and cohomology theories of groups, of associative algebras, of Lie algebras, etc.<sup>1)</sup> The present paper attempts a general study of the groups  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$  and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ .

The definition of these groups can be sketched as follows. Take a  $A$ -free module  $X_0$  with an epimorphism<sup>2)</sup>  $X_0 \rightarrow A_0$ , of which we denote the kernel with  $A_1$ . Take next a  $A$ -free module  $X_1$  with an epimorphism  $X_1 \rightarrow A_1$ , of which the kernel is denoted by  $A_2$ . Repeating this, we obtain a sequence  $X_*$  of  $A$ -free modules and  $A$ -homomorphisms:

$$(X_*) \quad \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$  is defined as  $X_n \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ . This sequence, called a *free resolution* of  $A$ , is acyclic with respect to the augmentation  $X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ .  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$ , the  $n$ -th torsion product of  $A$  and  $B$ , is then defined as the  $n$ -th homology group of the lower sequence  $X_* \otimes_\Lambda B$ , and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ , the  $n$ -th extension group of  $B$  by  $A$ , as the  $n$ -th cohomology group of the upper sequence  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)$ . Both are independent of the special choice of the free resolution  $X_*$  of  $A$ .

These groups  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ —unless any confusion is likely to occur we shall omit the letter  $A$  in the following—may be considered as giving homology and cohomology theories of module  $A$  with coefficient module  $B$ , because of the following properties.

I. Any homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  induces homomorphisms

$$f_*: \text{Tor}_n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n(A', B) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

and

$$f^*: \text{Ext}^n(A', B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^n(A, B) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots),$$

satisfying:

I-1)  $i_*, i^*$  are identities if  $i$  is the identity mapping.

I-2)  $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$ ,  $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$ .

II. If  $(A) \ 0 \rightarrow \overset{i}{\dot{A}} \rightarrow \overset{j}{A} \rightarrow \overline{A} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, then homomorphisms

$$\partial_*: \text{Tor}_n(\overline{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}(\overset{i}{\dot{A}}, B) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\delta^*: \text{Ext}^n(\overset{i}{\dot{A}}, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(\overline{A}, B) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

1) H. Cartan-S. Eilenberg, *Satellites des foncteurs de module*; H. Cartan-S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*; to appear soon. This book will be referred to as [C-E] in the sequel. H. Cartan, *Seminaire de topologie algébrique*, 1950-51.

2) By an *epimorphism* we mean a 'homomorphism onto'; 'isomorphism into' will be called a *monomorphism*; and the word *isomorphism* will be used to mean an 'isomorphism onto'.

are defined so that

II-1) the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n(\dot{A}, B) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Tor}_n(A, B) \xrightarrow{j_*} \text{Tor}_n(\bar{A}, B) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_*} \text{Tor}_{n-1}(A, B) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}(A, B) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(\dot{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(\bar{A}, B) \rightarrow 0, \\ & 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\bar{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\dot{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{A}, B) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & \rightarrow \text{Ext}^n(\bar{A}, B) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Ext}^n(A, B) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{Ext}^n(\dot{A}, B) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}^*} \text{Ext}^{n+1}(\bar{A}, B) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

are both exact. These exact sequences will be denoted by  $\text{Tor}^\Delta(A, B)$  and by  $\text{Ext}_\Delta(A, B)$  respectively.

II-2) Let two sequences

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0, \quad (A') \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{A}' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow \bar{A}' \rightarrow 0$$

be exact, and let  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  be a homomorphism of the sequence  $A$  into  $A'$ , i.e., a triple of homomorphisms  $\dot{f}: \dot{A} \rightarrow \dot{A}'$ ,  $f: A \rightarrow A'$ ,  $\bar{f}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}'$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \bar{A} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A}' & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & \bar{A}' & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then  $f_* = \{\dot{f}_*, f_*, \bar{f}_*\}$  and  $f^* = \{\dot{f}^*, f^*, \bar{f}^*\}$  give homomorphisms of the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &: \text{Tor}^\Delta(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^\Delta(A', B), \\ f^* &: \text{Ext}_\Delta(A', B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Delta(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

III.  $\text{Tor}_n^\Delta(A, B) = \text{Ext}_\Delta^n(A, B) = 0$  ( $n > 0$ ), if  $A$  is  $A$ -free.

IV.  $\text{Tor}_0^\Delta(A, B) = A \otimes_\Delta B$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Delta^0(A, B) = \text{Hom}_\Delta(A, B)$ , and for  $f: A \rightarrow A'$ , we have  $f_* = f \otimes i^3$  on  $\text{Tor}_0^\Delta(A, B)$  and  $f^* = \text{Hom}(f, i^3)$  on  $\text{Ext}_\Delta^0(A', B)$ , where  $i$  is the identity mapping of  $B$ .

These four properties are characteristic for  $\text{Tor}^\Delta$  and  $\text{Ext}_\Delta$ .

If we consider the second entry, the coefficient module in  $\text{Tor}^\Delta(A, B)$  or in  $\text{Ext}_\Delta(A, B)$  as variable, then we have the following analogues of I~IV.

I'. Any homomorphism  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  induces homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} *_f &: \text{Tor}_n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n(A, B') \quad (n=0, 1, \dots), \\ *_f &: \text{Ext}^n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^n(A, B') \quad (n=0, 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

These satisfy

I'-1)  $*i, *i$  are identities if  $i$  is the identity mapping.

I'-2)  $*(g \circ f) = *_g *_f, *(g \circ f) = *_g *_f$ .

II'. If  $(B) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{B} \xrightarrow{\dot{f}} B \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \bar{B} \rightarrow 0$  is exact, then homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} *_\delta &: \text{Tor}_n(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}(A, \dot{B}) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots), \\ *_\delta &: \text{Ext}^n(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(A, \dot{B}) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

are defined so as to satisfy:

II'-1) The sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n(A, \dot{B}) \xrightarrow{\dot{f}_*} \text{Tor}_n(A, B) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_*} \text{Tor}_n(A, \bar{B}) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_*} \text{Tor}_{n-1}(A, \dot{B})$$

3) See S. Eilenberg-N. E. Steenrod, Foundations of algebraic topology, pp. 141, 147. This book will be referred to as [E-S] in the sequel.

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}(A, B) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0(A, \overline{B}) \rightarrow 0, \\ &0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(A, \overline{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^n(A, \dot{B}) \xrightarrow{*i} \text{Ext}^n(A, B) \xrightarrow{*j} \text{Ext}^n(A, \overline{B}) \xrightarrow{*s} \text{Ext}^{n+1}(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

are both exact. These exact sequences will be denoted by  $\text{Tor}^\Lambda(A, B)$  and by  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B)$  respectively.

II'2) Let  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  be a homomorphism of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\mathbf{B}) & 0 \rightarrow & \dot{B} & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & \overline{B} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{B}') & 0 \rightarrow & \dot{B}' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & \overline{B}' \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Then  $f$  induces homomorphism of the exact homology and cohomology sequences

$$\begin{aligned} *f: & \text{Tor}^\Lambda(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^\Lambda(A, B'), \\ *f: & \text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B'). \end{aligned}$$

III'.  $\text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, B) = 0$  ( $n > 0$ ) if  $B$  is  $A$ -free, and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B) = 0$  ( $n > 0$ ) if  $B$  is  $A$ -injective<sup>4)</sup>.

IV'.  $\text{Tor}_0^\Lambda(A, B) = A \otimes_\Lambda B$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^0(A, B) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B)$ , and for  $f: B \rightarrow B'$ , we have  $*f = i \otimes f$  on  $\text{Tor}_0^\Lambda(A, B)$ ,  $*f = \text{Hom}(i, f)$  on  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^0(A, B)$ , where  $i$  is the identity mapping of  $A$ .

These properties I' ~ IV' are again characteristic for  $\text{Tor}^\Lambda$  and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda$ . Also we have

V. Homomorphisms  $f_*$ ,  $f^*$  in I commute with the homomorphisms  $*f$ ,  $*f'$  in I'.

Now, it happens in various cohomology theories that 1-cohomology groups have a close relation with extension theories. In the present cohomology theory, the elements of our 1-cohomology group  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(A, B)$  are in a 1-1 correspondence with the equivalence classes of module extensions of  $B$  by  $A$ . Thereby, two extensions

$$(\mathbf{E}) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, \quad (\mathbf{E}') \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

are called equivalent if there is an isomorphism  $E \rightarrow E'$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ \parallel \quad \downarrow \quad \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E' \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (= : \text{identity mapping})$$

is commutative ([C-E]). In this paper we shall define a certain equivalence relation among the set of all exact sequences of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E}_n) \quad & 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ & (E_0, \dots, E_{n-1}: \text{arbitrary } A\text{-modules}) \\ & (n: \text{any fixed integer } \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

which we call  $n$ -fold extensions of  $B$  by  $A$  and prove that there is a certain 1-1 correspondence between the equivalence classes of  $n$ -fold extensions of  $B$  by  $A$  and the elements of the group  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ . This will be done in § 3.

In § 4 we shall introduce a bilinear multiplication

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^p(A, B) \times \text{Ext}_\Lambda^q(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{p+q}(A, C),$$

and give some results concerning this including the following theorem: The

4) See for definition § 2 of this paper.



coboundary homomorphism

$$\delta^*: \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(\dot{A}, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{n+1}(\overline{A}, B) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

with respect to the exact sequence  $(A) 0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \overline{A} \rightarrow 0$  coincides with the left multiplication by the element in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\overline{A}, \dot{A})$  represented by the extension  $A$ , while the coboundary homomorphism

$$*\delta: \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, \overline{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{n+1}(A, \dot{B}) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

with respect to the exact sequence  $(B) 0 \rightarrow \dot{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \overline{B} \rightarrow 0$  coincides with the right multiplication by the element in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\overline{B}, \dot{B})$  represented by the extension  $B$ .

Also some relations between this cohomology theory and the cohomology theory of groups will be given in that section.

Professor S. Eilenberg has kindly communicated to me some of the results stated above on  $\text{Tor}^\Lambda$  and  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda$ , and engaged me in this investigation, for which I wish to express my hearty thanks to him. I publish here however the complete proof of all the results, as no details about this homology theory seem to have been hitherto published. I wish to thank also Professor Chevalley, who induced me to work on the subject of § 4.

In what follows, we shall use a certain way of denomination of mappings appearing in diagrams, different from the usual one, as we shall explain in § 1. We hope that this way of denomination, as well as the notions of the translation and the translation category introduced also in § 1 facilitates the handling with the diagrams.

## § 1. Categories and functors of diagrams<sup>5)</sup>.

**1. Denomination of mappings in a diagram.** Let  $A, B$  be two vertices in a diagram, and let a mapping of  $A$  into  $B$  be given as  $A \rightarrow B$  in the diagram. Usually such a mapping is denoted by a letter like  $f$ ; indicated in the diagram as  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ ; and  $f$  is considered as a left operator on  $A$ . To indicate this mapping, we shall write now  $AfB$  or, if there is no fear of confusion, simply  $AB$ . If namely there is only one arrow from  $A$  to  $B$ , we have not to name the mapping by a letter like  $f$ ; it is sufficiently clear to write simply  $A \rightarrow B$ , and name the mapping  $AB$ . If, on the contrary, there are two or more arrows from  $A$  to  $B$ , then we shall write like  $A \xrightarrow{1} B$ , and denote the mappings with  $A1B, A2B$ .

Mapping  $AfB, AB$ , or  $A1B$  will be considered as a right operator on  $A$ , so that  $(a)AfB=b$  will mean  $f(a)=b$  in the usual notation. The composite of several mappings  $A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C$ , and  $C \rightarrow D$  is denoted by  $AB \cdot BC \cdot CD$ , or more simply by  $ABCD$ . Thus the commutativity of the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \rightarrow & D \end{array}$$

is written as  $ABD=ACD$ .

**2. Categories of diagrams.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category in the sense of [E-S]<sup>5)</sup>. A diagram  $D=\{A, B, \dots; AB, \dots\}$  consisting of vertices  $A, B, \dots$  which represent some objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and arrows  $AB, \dots$  which represent some map-

5) For definitions of categories and functors, see [E-S, Chap. IV].

pings in  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In the widest sense any subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  but we shall confine ourselves to diagrams which are connected as 1-dimensional complexes of vertices and edges. Two diagrams  $D = \{A, B, \dots; AB, \dots\}$ ,  $D' = \{A', B', \dots; A'B', \dots\}$  are said to be *isomorphic* if there is a 1-1 correspondence between  $D$  and  $D'$ , vertex-to-vertex, arrow-to-arrow, such that, if  $A'B' \in D'$  corresponds to  $AB \in D$ , then  $A'$  corresponds to  $A$  and  $B'$  to  $B$ .

Let  $D = \{A, B, \dots; AB, \dots\}$  and  $D' = \{A', B', \dots; A'B', \dots\}$  be isomorphic diagrams such that  $A$  corresponds to  $A'$ ,  $B$  to  $B'$ , etc. A set of mappings  $f = \{AA', BB', \dots\}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  of which the set of domains coincides with the set of objects in  $D$  is called a *translation* of  $D$  into  $D'$  (notation  $f : D \rightarrow D'$ ) if each square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & \rightarrow & B' \end{array} \quad (A, B \in D, A', B' \in D')$$

is commutative.

Let  $D$  be a diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D, D', D'', \dots\}$  be a set of diagrams in  $\mathcal{C}$  isomorphic to  $D$ . For  $D', D'' \in \mathfrak{D}$ , there may be a translation from  $D'$  to  $D''$ .  $\mathfrak{D}$  together with all such possible translations forms a category  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ , which will be called the *translation category* over  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

Throughout this paper,  $A$  will denote a ring with unit, not necessarily commutative, and unless otherwise stated, a  $A$ -module will mean a unitary left  $A$ -module. Following [E-S] we denote the category of  $A$ -modules and  $A$ -homomorphisms by  $\mathcal{G}_A$  ( $Z$  will always denote the ring of rational integers, and we write  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $\mathcal{G}_Z$ ). Diagrams in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  are also called diagrams *over*  $A$ .

A translation  $f = \{AA', BB', \dots\}$  of  $D = \{A, B, \dots; AB, \dots\}$  into  $D' = \{A', B', \dots; A'B', \dots\}$  will be called *epimorphic*, *monomorphic*, or *isomorphic* if every one of  $AA', BB', \dots$  is an epimorphism, monomorphism, or an isomorphism<sup>2)</sup>. In what follows we shall often consider diagrams in the translation category  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  over  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$  being a set of diagrams in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  isomorphic to a certain diagram  $D$ , as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & D & \rightarrow & D' & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & D_1 & \rightarrow & D'_1 & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

In such diagrams each vertex represents a diagram in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  isomorphic to  $D$ , and each arrow a translation. We use 0 in such a diagram to mean a trivial diagram consisting of 0's and 0-homomorphisms isomorphic to  $D$ . A sequence

$$(*) \quad D \xrightarrow{f} D' \xrightarrow{g} D''$$

of translations  $f = \{AA', BB', \dots\}$ ,  $g = \{A'A'', B'B'', \dots\}$  is said to be *exact* if the sequences  $A \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A''$ ,  $B \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B''$ ,  $\dots$  are exact. (\*) is called a 0-sequence if  $A \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A''$ ,  $B \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B''$ ,  $\dots$  are 0-sequences (i.e.,  $AA'A'' = 0, BB'B'' = 0, \dots$ ).

Let  $\mathfrak{C}(A)$  be the set of all exact sequences over  $A$  of the form

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

The translation category  $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}(A)$  over  $\mathfrak{C}(A)$  will play an important rôle in the

following.

We shall denote with  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  the set of all 'one-arrow diagrams', namely diagrams over  $A$  isomorphic to  $A \rightarrow B$ ; and with  $\mathfrak{C}(A)$  the set of 'two-arrow 0-sequences', namely diagrams over  $A$  isomorphic to  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ ,  $A, B, C$  being  $A$ -modules and  $ABC$  being 0. The translation categories  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(A), \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}(A)$  will also play certain rôles in the sequel

**3. Functors on translation categories of diagrams.** We note here some fundamental lemmas giving functors on translation categories of diagrams in  $\mathcal{G}_A$ . In what follows we shall identify two diagrams  $D, D'$  over  $A$  if there exists an isomorphic translation  $D \rightarrow D'$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} N & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & B & & \end{array}$$

be a diagram over  $A$  such that the sequence  $N \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  is exact and  $NAB=0$ . Then there is a unique mapping  $QB \in \mathcal{G}_A$

$$(1') \quad Q \rightarrow B$$

satisfying  $AQB=AB$ . Any translation in the translation category over the set  $\mathfrak{D}_1(A)$  of all diagram in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  of the form (1) induces a translation in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(A)$ , and thus the assignment  $(1) \rightleftharpoons (1')$  defines a functor  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_1(A) \rightleftharpoons \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(A)$ .

**Proof.** The unique existence of  $QB$  is obvious. We have only to prove that if

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \swarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & & \\ N' & \leftarrow & A' & \rightarrow & Q' & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & B & & B' & & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram representing a translation in  $\mathfrak{D}_1(A)$ , and if  $QB, Q'B'$  are so defined that  $AQB=AB, A'Q'B'=A'B'$ , then we have

$$QBB'=QQ'B'.$$

Since  $AQ$  is an epimorphism, it is sufficient to show  $AQBB'=AQQ'B'$ , which is done as

$$AQBB'=ABB'=AA'B'=AA'Q'B'=AQQ'B'.$$

In a similar way we can prove also

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & B & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

be a diagram over  $A$  such that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q$  is exact and  $BAQ=0$ . Then there is a unique mapping  $BN$

$$(2') \quad B \rightarrow N$$

satisfying  $BNA=BA$ . The assignment  $(2) \rightleftharpoons (2')$  defines a functor of the translation category over the set of all diagrams in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  of the form (2).

**Lemma 1.3.** *From a 'one-arrow diagram'*

$$(3) \quad A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

over  $A$  we obtain in the obvious way, a commutative diagram

$$(3') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \searrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & B \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & Q \text{ (Coker } f) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the sequences  $0-N-A-M-0$ ,  $0-M-B-Q-0$  are in  $\mathfrak{E}(A)$  (i.e., exact). Any translation of (3)

$$(3'') \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' \end{array}$$

can be extended uniquely to a translation of (3')

$$(3''') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & 0 & & & B \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & Q \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & Q' \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0' \end{array}$$

and in this way the assignment  $(3) \iff (3')$  defines a functor from the translation category  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  into the translation category over the set of all diagrams in  $\mathfrak{E}_\Delta$  of the form (3').

**Proof.** We have only to show the unique existence of mappings  $NN'$ ,  $MM'$ ,  $QQ'$  such that  $NN'A' = NAA'$ ,  $AMM' = AA'M'$ ,  $MM'B' = MBB'$ , and  $BQQ' = BB'Q$ . Now since  $NAA' \cdot A'B' = NABB' = 0$ , and since the sequence  $0-N'-A'-B'$  is exact, there exists uniquely a mapping  $NN' \in \mathfrak{E}_\Delta$  such that  $NN' \cdot N'A = NAA'$ , i.e.,  $NN'A' = NAA'$  (Lemma 1.2).  $NAA'B' = 0$  implies also  $NAA'M' = 0$ . Therefore by Lemma 1.1 there exists uniquely a mapping  $MM' \in \mathfrak{E}_\Delta$  such that  $AMM' = AA'M'$ . To prove  $MM'B' = MBB'$  for this  $MM'$ , it is sufficient to show  $AMM'B' = AMBB'$ , which is done as follows

$$AMM'B' = AA'M'B' = AA'B' = ABB' = AMBB'.$$

Finally we have  $MBB'Q' = MM'B'Q' = 0$ . Thus by Lemma 1.1 there is a unique mapping  $QQ'$  satisfying  $BQQ' = BB'Q'$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

In short, Lemma 1.3 states that Ker, Im, and Coker are functors from  $\mathfrak{B}(A)$  into  $\mathfrak{E}_\Delta$ . Therefore we may speak of kernels, images and cokernels of translations.

Let

$$(4) \quad A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$$

be a 0-sequence over  $A$ . We call the factor module  $\text{Ker}BC/\text{Im}AB$  *homology factor* of the sequence  $A-B-C$  and denote it by  $H(A-B-C)$ . Since the assignment (4)  $\iff H(A-B-C)$  can be composed by the functors  $\text{Ker}$ ,  $\text{Im}$ , and  $\text{Coker}$ , we have clearly

**Lemma 1.4.** The assignment (4)  $\iff H(A-B-C)$  defines a functor from  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  into  $\mathcal{G}_A$ .

In the sequel we shall denote this functor by  $H$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** In the commutative diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & B_0 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ & & A_1 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A_2 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow C_2 & & \\ & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ & & 0 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow B_3 & & \end{array}$$

let  $B_0-B_1-B_2, C_0-C_1-C_2, A_1-A_2-A_3, B_1-B_2-B_3$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ , and let  $B_0-C_0-0, A_1-B_1-C_1-0, 0-A_2-B_2-C_2, 0-A_3-B_3$  be exact. Then a mapping

$$(8') \quad \Delta: H(C_0-C_1-C_2) \rightarrow H(A_1-A_2-A_3)$$

in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  is induced in such a way that the diagram

$$(8'') \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ker } B_1 C_1 C_2 \xrightarrow{(B_1 C_1)} & \text{Ker } C_1 C_2 & \rightarrow H(C_0-C_1-C_2) \\ \downarrow (B_1 B_2) & & \downarrow \Delta \\ \text{Ker } B_2 C_2 \cap \text{Ker } B_2 B_3 \cong \text{Ker } A_2 A_3 & \rightarrow & H(A_1-A_2-A_3) \end{array}$$

is commutative. The assignment (8)  $\iff$  (8') defines a functor from the translation category over the set of all diagrams in  $\mathcal{G}_A$  of the form (8) into  $\tilde{B}(A)$ .

**Proof.** The kernel of the epimorphism

$$(8''') \quad \text{Ker } B_1 C_1 C_2 \rightarrow H(C_0-C_1-C_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is the inverse image of  $\text{Im } C_0 C_1 = \text{Im } B_0 C_0 C_1 = \text{Im } B_0 B_1 C_1$  under the map  $B_1 C_1$ , i.e.  $\text{Im } B_0 B_1 \cup \text{Ker } B_1 C_1 = \text{Im } B_0 B_1 \supset \text{Im } A_1 B_1$ . But we have  $(\text{Im } B_0 B_1 \cup \text{Im } A_1 B_1) B_1 B_2 = (\text{Im } A_1 B_2) B_1 B_2 = \text{Im } A_1 B_1 B_2 = \text{Im } A_1 A_2 B_2$ , which is in  $\text{Ker } B_2 C_2 \cap \text{Ker } B_2 B_3$  and vanishes if carried over to  $H(A_1-A_2-A_3)$ . Thus the kernel of (8''') vanishes if mapped into  $H(A_1-A_2-A_3)$ . Therefore there exists a unique mapping  $\Delta$  such that (8''') is commutative. Obviously the assignment (8)  $\iff$  (8') defines a functor, and so also does the assignment (8)  $\iff$  (8'').

**4. Lemma 田 and Lemma 曲.** The following two lemmas are of fundamental importance in the diagram system.

**Lemma 田.** Let  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  be a sequence of translations of 0-sequences in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ :

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C \\ A_0 & \rightarrow & B_0 & \rightarrow & C_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_1 & \rightarrow & B_1 & \rightarrow & C_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_2 & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C_2. \end{array}$$

If, in (9),  $B_0 C_0$  is an epimorphism and  $A_1-B_1-C_1$  is exact, and if  $A_2 B_2$  is a monomorphism, then the induced sequence

$$(9') \quad H(A) \xrightarrow{H(f)} H(B) \xrightarrow{H(g)} H(C)$$

$$(9'') \quad H(A_0-A_1-A_2) \rightarrow H(B_0-B_1-B_2) \rightarrow H(C_0-C_1-C_2)$$

is exact.

**Proof.** It is obvious that (9') is a 0-sequence. Consider now an element  $b_1 \in \text{Ker } B_1B_2$  such that  $(b_1)B_1C_1=c_1$  is in  $\text{Im } C_0C_1$ . Since  $B_0C_0$  is an epimorphism, there is an element  $b_0 \in B_0$  such that  $(b_0)B_0C_0C_1=c_1$ , and we have

$$(b_1 - (b_0)B_0B_1)B_1C_1 = (b_1)B_1C_1 - (b_0)B_0B_1C_1 = c_1 - (b_0)B_0C_0C_1 = 0.$$

Therefore, from the exactness of  $A_1 \cdot B_1 \cdot C_1$  follows the existence of an element  $a_1 \in A_1$  such that  $(a_1)A_1B_1 = b_1 - (b_0)B_0B_1$ , and we have

$$(a_1)A_1A_2B_2 = (a_1)A_1B_1B_2 = (b_1 - (b_0)B_0B_1)B_1B_2 = (b_0)B_0B_1B_2 = 0.$$

Thus, by assumption on  $A_2B_2$ ,  $a_1$  is in  $\text{Ker } A_1A_2$  and represents an element in  $H(A_0 \cdot A_1 \cdot A_2)$  which is mapped onto the element in  $H(B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot B_2)$  represented by  $b_1$ . This proves the exactness of (9').

**Lemma 4.** *The sequence of homology factors*

$$(10) \quad H(B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot B_2) \xrightarrow{H(g)} H(C_0 \cdot C_1 \cdot C_2) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H(A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3) \xrightarrow{H(f)} H(B_1 \cdot B_2 \cdot B_3)$$

obtained from the diagram (8) in Lemma 1.5 is exact, where  $f, g$  denote the translations

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 & \rightarrow & B_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 f: A_2 & \rightarrow & B_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A_3 & \rightarrow & B_3
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 B_0 & \rightarrow & C_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 g: B_1 & \rightarrow & C_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_2 & \rightarrow & C_2
 \end{array}$$

in (8).

**Proof.** Let  $b_1 \in \text{Ker } B_1B_2$  represent  $\beta \in H(B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot B_2)$ . Then  $H(g)$  image  $\gamma$  of  $\beta$  is represented by  $(b_1)B_1C_1$ . From the commutativity of (8'') follows then that  $\Delta\gamma=0$ . Conversely, let  $c_1 \in \text{Ker } C_1C_2$  represent  $\gamma \in H(C_0 \cdot C_1 \cdot C_2)$  such that  $\Delta\gamma=0$ . Then there exist  $a_1 \in A_1, b_1 \in B_1$  such that  $(b_1)B_1C_1=c_1$  and  $(a_1)A_1A_2B_2=(b_1)B_1B_2$ . For the element  $b_1 - (a_1)A_1B_1 \in B_1$ , we have now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b_1 - (a_1)A_1B_1)B_1B_2 &= (b_1)B_1B_2 - (a_1)A_1B_2B_2 \\
 &= (b_1)B_1B_2 - (a_1)A_1A_2B_2 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and also

$(b_1 - (a_1)A_1B_1)B_1C_1 = (b_1)B_1C_1 - (a_1)A_1B_1C_1 = (b_1)B_1C_1 = c_1$ . This shows that  $\gamma$  is the  $H(g)$  image of the element in  $H(B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot B_2)$  represented by  $(b_1 - (a_1)A_1B_1)$  in  $\text{Ker } B_1B_2$ , and the exactness of  $H(g) \cdot \Delta$  in (10) is proved.

Now, from the commutativity of (8'') follows that  $H(f) \cdot \Delta = 0$ . Therefore it remains only to prove that  $\text{Im } \Delta \subset \text{Ker } H(f)$ . Let  $a_2 \in \text{Ker } A_2A_3$  represent an element  $\alpha$  in  $H(A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3)$  which is annihilated by  $H(f)$ . Then there is an element  $b_1 \in B_1$  such that  $(b_1)B_1B_2 = (a_2)A_2B_2$ . For this  $b_1$  we have

$(b_1)B_1C_1C_2 = (b_1)B_1B_2C_2 = (a_2)A_2B_2C_2 = 0$ . Thus  $(b_1)B_1C_1 = c_1$  represents an element in  $H(C_0 \cdot C_1 \cdot C_2)$  which is mapped by  $\Delta$  onto  $\alpha$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (A) & \dots \rightarrow A_{-1} \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \\
 (B) & \dots \rightarrow B_{-1} \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow \dots \\
 (C) & \dots \rightarrow C_{-1} \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

be infinite 0-sequences over  $A$ , and suppose that an exact sequence of translations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_0 & \rightarrow & B_0 & \rightarrow & C_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & B_1 & \rightarrow & C_1 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

is given. Then the infinite sequence

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \cdots \rightarrow H(A_{-1} \cdot A_0 \cdot A_1) \rightarrow H(B_{-1} \cdot B_0 \cdot B_1) \rightarrow H(C_{-1} \cdot C_0 \cdot C_1) \\
 \Delta \\
 \rightarrow H(A_0 \cdot A_1 \cdot A_2) \rightarrow H(B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdot B_2) \rightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

is exact.

**Corollary.** If either two of the above sequences  $A, B, C$  are exact, then so also is the rest.

*Remark.* Obviously both assignments (9)  $\iff$  (9'), and (8)  $\iff$  (10) define functors.

**5. Tensor products and groups of homomorphisms.** As is stated in [E-S], tensor product  $\otimes_{\Lambda} A$  (or  $A \otimes_{\Lambda}$ ) for a fixed  $A \in \mathcal{G}_{\Lambda}$  is a covariant functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\Lambda}$ . Therefore we may consider  $\otimes_{\Lambda} A$  (or  $A \otimes_{\Lambda}$ ) also as a functor of diagrams over  $\Lambda$ . Namely, if  $D$  is a diagram over  $\Lambda$ , then  $D \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  (or  $A \otimes_{\Lambda} D$ ) is a diagram over  $\Lambda$  which is isomorphic to  $D$ . It should be noted that, for  $A \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda)$ ,  $A \otimes_{\Lambda} B$  is not necessarily in  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda)$ . As will be seen in §2,  $\Lambda$ -modules  $P$  of a special class called  $\Lambda$ -projective modules have the property that the functor  $\otimes_{\Lambda} P$  (or  $P \otimes_{\Lambda}$ ) is exact, i.e. maps  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda)$  into  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda)$ . We shall here note the following

**Lemma 1.6.** Let

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{h} C \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence over  $\Lambda$ , and let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\Lambda}$ . Then the induced sequence

$$(11') \quad A \otimes_{\Lambda} G \xrightarrow{f'} B \otimes_{\Lambda} G \xrightarrow{h'} C \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact. If (11) is direct, i.e., if  $\text{Im } f$  is a direct summand of  $B$ , then the sequence

$$(11'') \quad 0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow B \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow C \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and direct. (11'') is exact whenever  $G$  is  $\Lambda$ -free.

Proof of this lemma is given in [E-S, p. 142, Lemma 9.8] except for the last statement. The last statement is obvious in case where  $G$  has a finite base. It is easily seen that the proof for the general case can be reduced to this special case. So we omit the proof of this lemma.

Given two  $\Lambda$ -modules  $A, B$ , denote by  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B)$  the additive group of all homomorphisms

$$\varphi: A \rightarrow B$$

with addition  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  defined by

$$(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(a) = \varphi_1(a) + \varphi_2(a).$$

If further  $\Lambda$  is commutative, then  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B)$  has the structure of a  $\Lambda$ -module with the product  $\lambda\varphi$  defined by

$$(\lambda\varphi)(a) = \lambda(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(\lambda a).$$

For a  $A$ -homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  written as  $AA'$  we denote by  $(AA')^\#$  the homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A', B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B)$$

induced by  $AA'$ , while we denote by  $(BB')^\#$  the homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B')$$

induced by  $BB'$ :  $B \rightarrow B'$ . We shall consider  $(AA')^\#$  as a left operator and  $(BB')^\#$  as a right operator.

$\text{Hom}_\Lambda( , )$  is a functor  $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda \times \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  ( $\mathcal{I}_\Lambda$  if  $A$  is commutative) contravariant in the first argument and covariant in the second. Similarly to the case of tensor products we have the following

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let*

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence over  $A$ . Then the induced sequences*

$$(12_\#) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, A) \xrightarrow{(AB)^\#} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, B) \xrightarrow{(AB)^\#} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, C),$$

$$(12^\#) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, G) \xrightarrow{(BC)^\#} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, G) \xrightarrow{(AB)^\#} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, G)$$

*are exact. If (12) is direct, then the sequences*

$$(12_{\#^\#}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(G, C) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(12^{\#^\#}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, G) \rightarrow 0$$

*are exact and direct. (12<sub>#</sub><sup>#</sup>) is exact whenever  $G$  is  $A$ -free.*

This lemma follows from [E-S, p. 148, Lemma 10.8] (The commutativity of  $A$  is not needed in the proof of this lemma).

Those  $A$ -modules  $G$  for which every sequence (12<sub>#</sub><sup>#</sup>) is exact form a special class of  $A$ -modules called  $A$ -injective modules. Those  $A$ -modules  $G$  for which every sequence (12<sup>#</sup><sub>#</sub>) is exact, form another special class of  $A$ -modules called  $A$ -projective modules. These special classes of  $A$ -modules will be treated in the next section.

## § 2. Projective modules and injective modules.

**1. Definitions.** A  $A$ -module  $P$  is called  $A$ -projective if every diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} P \\ \downarrow \\ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

over  $A$  with exact  $B \cdot C \cdot 0$  is supplemented by a  $A$ -homomorphism  $PB$  to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} P \\ \swarrow \downarrow \\ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

A  $A$ -module  $Q$  is called  $A$ -injective if every diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \\ \downarrow \\ Q \end{array}$$

over  $A$  with exact  $0 \cdot A \cdot B$  is supplemented by a  $A$ -homomorphism  $BQ$  to a commutative diagram



$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \\
 \downarrow \swarrow \\
 Q
 \end{array}$$

Clearly a  $A$ -module  $G$  is  $A$ -projective ( $A$ -injective) if and only if (12<sub>##</sub>) ((12<sub>##</sub>')) is always exact.

**2.  $A$ -projective modules.** It is obvious that every  $A$ -free module is  $A$ -projective. Since any  $A$ -module can be represented as a factor module of a  $A$ -free module, we have

**Theorem 2.1** *Any  $A$ -module can be represented as a factor module of a  $A$ -projective module.*

We shall call an exact sequence over  $A$

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

a *projective representation* of  $A$  if  $X$  is  $A$ -projective. If in the exact sequence over  $A$

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

$X$  is  $A$ -projective, then by definition of  $A$ -projectivity there exists  $XG \in \mathcal{E}_A$  such that  $XGX$  is the identity of  $X$ . Therefore the exact sequence (14) is direct. In particular if (14) is a representation of  $X$  as a factor module of a  $A$ -free module  $G$ , then  $X$  is a direct factor of  $G$ . This proves that every  $A$ -projective module is a direct factor of some  $A$ -free module. Conversely every direct factor of a  $A$ -projective module is  $A$ -projective. In fact, let  $X'$  be a direct factor of a  $A$ -projective module  $X$ , and let  $XX'$ ,  $X'X$  be the projection and injection respectively;  $X'XX'$ =identity of  $X'$ . Given a diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{c} X' \\ \downarrow \\ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

over  $A$  with exact  $B \cdot C \cdot 0$ , supplement this by  $X$ ,  $XX'$ , and  $X'X$  to

$$(15') \quad \begin{array}{c} X \\ \updownarrow \\ X' \\ \downarrow \\ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since  $X$  is  $A$ -projective (15') can be again supplemented by  $XB$  to

$$(15'') \quad \begin{array}{c} X \\ \updownarrow \\ X' \\ \downarrow \\ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

so that  $XBC=XX'C$ , If we define  $X'B$  by  $X'B=X'XB$ , then we have  $X'BC=X'XBC=X'XX'C=X'C$ . This shows that (15) is supplemented by  $X'B$  to a commutative diagram, and thus proves our assertion.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) *If a  $A$ -projective module is represented as a factor module of some  $A$ -module, then it is a direct factor.*

(ii) *Any direct factor of a  $A$ -projective module is  $A$ -projective.*

(iii) *A  $A$ -module is  $A$ -projective if and only if it is a direct factor of a  $A$ -free module.*

(iv) *The direct sum of  $A$ -projective modules is  $A$ -projective.*

(v) *The tensor product of  $A$ -projective modules is  $A$ -projective.*

(vi) *The sequence (11'') in Lemma 1.6 is exact whenever  $G$  is  $A$ -projective.*

**Proof.** We have already proved (i), (ii), and 'only if' part of (iii); also 'if' part of (iii) is clear from (ii). (iv) and (v) are obvious if ' $A$ -projective' is replaced by ' $A$ -free'. So we have them as easy consequences of (iii), if we represent each summand of the direct sum or each factor of the tensor product as a direct summand of a  $A$ -free module.

To prove (vi) we represent  $G$  as a direct summand of a  $A$ -free module  $F$  to obtain the translation

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & A \otimes_{\Delta} B & \rightarrow & B \otimes_{\Delta} G & \rightarrow & C \otimes_{\Delta} G & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A \otimes_{\Delta} F & \rightarrow & B \otimes_{\Delta} F & \rightarrow & C \otimes_{\Delta} F \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In (16) every sequence in a straight line is exact by Lemma 1.6. Therefore  $A \otimes_{\Delta} G \rightarrow B \otimes_{\Delta} G$  must be a monomorphism.

*Remark.* It is an open question whether the converse of (vi) is true or not.

**3.  $A$ -injective modules.** As an example of an injective module we first note the  $Z$ -module  $T$  of real numbers mod 1. As analogue of Theorem 2.1. we have

**Theorem 2.2.** *Any  $A$ -module can be represented as a sub-module of a  $A$ -injective module.*

The proof of the theorem requires some preliminaries. Let  $M$  be a left  $A$ -module. The additive group  $\text{Hom}_Z(M, T)$  of homomorphisms of  $M$  into the group  $T$  of real numbers mod 1 can be given the structure of a right  $A$ -module if we define the multiplication  $\varphi \cdot \lambda$  ( $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(M, T)$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ ) by

$$(\varphi \cdot \lambda)(m) = \varphi(\lambda m) \quad (m \in M).$$

In fact we have only to check  $\varphi \cdot (\lambda \mu) = (\varphi \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu$ :

$$(\varphi \cdot (\lambda \mu))(m) = \varphi(\lambda \mu m) = \varphi(\lambda(\mu m)) = (\varphi \cdot \lambda)(\mu m) = ((\varphi \cdot \lambda) \cdot \mu)(m).$$

$\text{Hom}(M, T)$  taken with this structure will be denoted by  $M^\circ$ . If  $M$  is a right  $A$ -module, then  $\text{Hom}(M, T)$  has the structure of a left  $A$ -module quite analogously to the above case. This we denote also by  $M^\circ$ .

The assignment  $M \rightleftharpoons M^\circ$  defines in the obvious manner a contravariant functor from the category of left  $A$ -modules and their  $A$ -homomorphisms to the category of right  $A$ -modules and their  $A$ -homomorphisms, or a functor in the opposite direction. This functor is exact, i.e., if

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then so is the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^\circ \rightarrow B^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ \rightarrow 0,$$

because  $T$  is  $Z$ -injective.

We now prove

**Lemma 2.2.** *Any  $m \in M$  can be considered as  $\in M^{\circ\circ}$  in the following manner*

$$m(\varphi) = \varphi(m) \quad (\varphi \in M^\circ).$$

*In this way  $M$  is imbedded into  $M^{\circ\circ}$  as a submodule.*

**Proof.** Clearly  $M$  is imbedded into  $M^{\circ\circ}$  as a subgroup. So it is sufficient to show that this imbedding commutes with the operation of  $A$ , we may assume  $M$  is a left  $A$ -module. Then we have

$$(\lambda \cdot m)(\varphi) = m(\varphi \cdot \lambda) = (\varphi \cdot \lambda)(m) = \varphi(\lambda m) = (\lambda m)(\varphi),$$

where  $m$  is considered as  $\in M^\circ$  in the first expression and as  $\in M$  in the last. This proves the lemma.

Without any modification we may speak of  $A$ -projective right modules and  $A$ -injective right  $A$ -modules.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $P$  is  $A$ -projective, then  $P^\circ$  is  $A$ -injective.*

**Proof.** Assuming  $P$  to be a  $A$ -projective right  $A$ -module we shall prove that, for any exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

over  $A$ , the induced sequence

$$(17') \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, P^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, P^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, P^\circ) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

In general, for any left  $A$ -module  $M$  and any right  $A$ -module  $N$  we have

$$(18) \quad \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N^\circ) \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, M^\circ),$$

where the isomorphism is obtained by the correspondence

$$f \leftrightarrow f' \quad (f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N^\circ), f' \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, M^\circ))$$

defined by

$$(18') \quad (f(m))(n) = (f'(n))(m) \quad (m \in M, n \in N).$$

Clearly by (18') is obtained the isomorphism of the groups of  $Z$ -homomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_Z(M, N^\circ) \cong \text{Hom}_Z(N, M^\circ).$$

If  $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N^\circ)$  is a  $A$ -homomorphism, then the corresponding  $f'$  is also a  $A$ -homomorphism and *vice versa*, for we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(n\lambda)(m) &= (f(m))(n\lambda) = (\lambda \cdot f(m))(n), \\ ((f'(n)) \cdot \lambda)(m) &= f'(n)(\lambda m) = (f(\lambda m))(n). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (18).

The isomorphism (18) is natural in the sense that for a  $A$ -homomorphism  $\mu: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  inducing  $\mu^\circ: M_2^\circ \rightarrow M_1^\circ$ , the diagram

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M_2, N^\circ) & \cong & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, M_2^\circ) \\ & \downarrow \mu^\# & \downarrow \mu^\circ_\# \\ \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M_1, N^\circ) & \cong & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(N, M_1^\circ) \end{array}$$

is commutative. In fact, let  $f_2 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M_2, N^\circ)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^\# f_2)'(n)(m_1) &= (\mu^\# f_2)(m_1)(n) = f_2(\mu m_1)(n) = f_2'(n)(\mu m_1) \\ &= \mu^\circ(f_2'(n))(m_1) = \mu^\circ_\# f_2'(n)(m_1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the commutativity of (19).

Now by (17) is induced the exact sequence

$$(17^\circ) \quad 0 \rightarrow C^\circ \rightarrow B^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ \rightarrow 0,$$

and for this (17 $^\circ$ ) the sequence

$$(17'') \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, C^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, B^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, A^\circ) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact since  $P$  is  $A$ -projective. The above consideration shows now that (17'') is translation-isomorphic to (17'). So the exactness of (17') is proved.

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 2.2. Let  $M$  be an arbitrary left  $A$ -module,  $M^\circ$  the derived right  $A$ -module. Represent  $M^\circ$  as a factor module of a  $A$ -projective right  $A$ -module  $P$  as

$$(20) \quad 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow P \rightarrow M^\circ \rightarrow 0.$$

From (20) we obtain an exact sequence

$$(20^\circ) \quad 0 \rightarrow M^{\circ\circ} \rightarrow P^\circ \rightarrow R^\circ \rightarrow 0.$$

$M$ , being a submodule of  $M^{\circ\circ}$  by Lemma 2.2, is a submodule of  $P^\circ$  which is  $A$ -injective because of Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We shall call an exact sequence over  $A$

$$(21) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow 0$$

an injective representation of  $A$  if  $X^0$  is  $A$ -injective.

To obtain an analogue of Lemma 2.1 we make the following consideration.

If in the exact sequence over  $A$

$$(22) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

$X$  is  $A$ -injective then the identity mapping of  $X$  can be extended to a  $A$ -homomorphism  $G \rightarrow X$ . Therefore the exact sequence (22) is direct. Also as in the case of  $A$ -projective modules we can prove easily that any direct summand of a  $A$ -injective module is  $A$ -injective.

Now, if we consider  $A$  as a  $A$ -free right module, then  $A^\circ$  is a  $A$ -injective left module. And if  $F$  is a right  $A$ -free module, then the  $A$ -injective left module  $F^\circ$  is a direct product of  $A^\circ$ 's:

$$F^\circ = \Pi A^\circ.$$

$A$ -modules of this type may be classified as the analogue of  $A$ -free modules. We shall call them  $A$ -modules of type  $A^\circ$ . Then it can be seen from the proof of Theorem 2.2 that every  $A$ -module is a submodule of some  $A$ -module of type  $A^\circ$ . Thus we have proved

**Lemma 2.4.** (i) *If a  $A$ -injective module is represented as a submodule of some  $A$ -module, then it is a direct summand.*

(ii) *Any direct factor of a  $A$ -injective module is  $A$ -injective.*

(iii) *A  $A$ -module is  $A$ -injective if and only if it is a direct summand of some  $A$ -module of type  $A^\circ$ .*

(iv) *The direct product of  $A$ -injective modules is  $A$ -injective.*

*Remark.* No information has been obtained about the tensor product of  $A$ -injective modules.

#### 4. Lemmas on projective and injective representations.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let*

$$(X) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

*be a projective representation of  $A \in \mathcal{G}_A$  and*

$$(B) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow 0$$

*an exact sequence over  $A$ . Then any  $A$ -homomorphism  $A\bar{B}$  can be extended to a translation*

$$(23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (X) & 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (B) & 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{B} & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & \bar{B} & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

*If (23) is supplemented by  $AB$  with  $AB\bar{B} = A\bar{B}$ , then it can be further supplemented by  $X\dot{B}$  with  $X\dot{B}B = XB - XAB$ , and  $A_1X\dot{B} = A_1\dot{B}$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $AB \in \mathcal{G}_A$ , there is a  $A$ -homomorphism  $XB$  such that  $XAB\bar{B} = XA\bar{B}$ , for,  $X$  is  $A$ -projective. Since  $A_1X\dot{B}B = A_1XAB\bar{B} = 0$ , existence of  $A_1\dot{B}$  is clear. If we have  $AB\bar{B} = A\bar{B}$ , then  $XB - XAB$  is annihilated by  $B\bar{B}$ . In fact

$$(XB - XAB) \cdot B\bar{B} = XB\bar{B} - XAB\bar{B} = XB\bar{B} - XA\bar{B} = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.2, there is a  $A$ -homomorphism  $X\dot{B}$  satisfying  $X\dot{B}B = XB -$

$XAB$ . For this  $X\dot{B}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1X\dot{B} - A_1\dot{B}) \cdot \dot{B}B &= A_1X\dot{B}B - A_1\dot{B}B = A_1XB - A_1XAB - A_1\dot{B}B \\ &= A_1XB - A_1\dot{B}B = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$A_1X\dot{B} = A_1\dot{B},$$

and the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let*

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence over  $A$ , and*

$$(Y) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow 0$$

*an injective representation of  $B \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ . Then any  $A$ -homomorphism  $\dot{A}B$  can be extended to a translation*

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (A) & 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ (Y) & 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

*If (24) is supplemented by  $AB$  with  $\dot{A}AB = \dot{A}B$ , then it can be further supplemented by  $\bar{A}Y$  with  $A\bar{A}Y = AY - ABY$ , and  $\bar{A}YB^1 = \bar{A}B^1$ .*

The proof of this lemma is quite similar to that of the preceding lemma, and so it is omitted.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let*

$$(X_0) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

*be a projective representation of  $A \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ , and*

$$(Y^0) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow 0$$

*an injective representation of  $B \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ . Then*

(i) *the two sub-groups*

$$(A_1X_0)^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, B^1), \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, Y^0)(Y^0B^1)^\#$$

*coincide and*

(ii) *we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(25) \quad \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^1)/\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^0)(Y^0B^1)^\# \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)/(A_1X_0)^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, B)$$

**Proof.** *Ad(i):* Given  $X_0B^1 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, B^1)$ , there exists  $X_0Y^0 \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$  such that  $X_0Y^0B^1 = X_0B^1$ , for,  $X_0$  is  $A$ -projective. Therefore we have

$$A_1X_0B^1 = A_1X_0Y^0B^1 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, Y^0)(Y^0B^1)^\#.$$

Conversely, let  $A_1Y^0 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, Y^0)$ . Since  $Y^0$  is  $A$ -injective, there is a  $A$ -homomorphism  $A_0Y^0$  such that  $A_1X^0Y^0 = A_1Y^0$ . So we obtain

$$A_1Y^0B^1 = A_1X_0Y^0B^1 \in (A_1X_0)^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, B^1),$$

which proves (i).

*Ad (ii):* By Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, Both groups  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^1)$  and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)$ , and accordingly both of the factor groups in (25), can be considered as factor groups of the group  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, Y^0)$  of all translations  $X_0 \rightarrow Y^0$  in which addition is defined in the obvious manner. The kernel of the epimorphism

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, Y^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^1)/\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^0)(Y^0B^1)^\#$$

is obviously consisting of those translations  $X_0 \rightarrow Y^0$  which can be supplemented by  $AY^0$  with  $AY^0B^1 = AB^1$ , while the kernel of the epimorphism

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, Y^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)/(A_1X_0)^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_0, B)$$

is consisting of those translations  $X_0 \rightarrow Y^0$  which can be supplemented by  $X_0B$

with  $A_1X_0B=A_1B$ . Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 now assure exactly that these kernels coincide, and the lemma is proved.

§ 3. The groups  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_A^n(A, B)$ .

1. Resolutions. Let  $A$  be a  $A$ -module. A lower sequence of  $A$ -projective modules

$$(X_*) \quad \dots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with augmentation  $X_0 \rightarrow A$  is called a projective resolution of  $A$  if  $X_*$  is acyclic with respect to the augmentation  $X_0A$ , i.e. if the augmented sequence

$$(X_* \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0) \quad \dots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

An upper sequence of  $A$ -injective modules

$$(X^*) \quad \dots \quad 0 \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow X^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

with co-augmentation  $A \rightarrow X^0$  is called an injective resolution of  $A$  if  $X^*$  is acyclic with respect to the augmentation  $AX^0$ , i.e., if the augmented sequence

$$(0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X^*) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow X^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

If we take a series of projective representations

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_2 & \rightarrow & X_1 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A_n & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$

and if we define  $X_nX_{n-1}$  by  $X_nA_n \cdot A_nX_{n-1}$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ), then the lower sequence

$$(26') \quad (X_*) \quad \dots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with the augmentation  $X_0A$  is clearly a projective resolution. Conversely any projective resolution (26) of  $A$  is decomposed into a series of projective representations (26). Similarly any injective resolution of  $A$  can be composed by, and decomposed into, a series of injective representations

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & X^0 & \rightarrow & A^1 & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & A^1 & \rightarrow & X^1 & \rightarrow & A^2 & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & A^{n-1} & \rightarrow & X^{n-1} & \rightarrow & A^n & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$

Let now

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & B_1 & \rightarrow & E_0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & E_1 & \rightarrow & B_1 & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & B_n & \rightarrow & E_{n-1} & \rightarrow & B_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & & \vdots & & & & \end{array}$$



Any two such translations extending  $AB$  are chain homotopic<sup>6)</sup>.

Similarly we can prove the following

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let*

$$(E) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow E^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

be an exact sequence over  $A$ , and

$$(0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y^*) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow Y^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

an augmented injective resolution of  $B \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ . Then any  $A$ -homomorphism  $AB$  can be extended to a translation

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & E^0 & \rightarrow & E^1 & \rightarrow & E^2 & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & Y^0 & \rightarrow & Y^1 & \rightarrow & Y^2 & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Any two such translations  $f, g$  extending  $AB$  are cochain homotopic, i.e., there exists a series of  $A$ -homomorphisms

$$E^1 Y^0, E^2 Y^1, \dots, E^{n+1} Y^n, \dots$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} E^0 E^1 Y^0 &= E^0 f Y^0 - E^0 g Y^0, \\ E^n E^{n+1} Y^n - E^n Y^{n-1} Y^n &= E^n f Y^n - E^n g Y^n \quad (n > 0). \end{aligned}$$

**2.  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B), \text{Ext}_A^n(A, B)$  and their characteristic properties.** Let  $X^*$  be a projective resolution of  $A \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ . We denote by  $H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B)$  the  $n$ -th homology factor of the 0-sequence  $X_* \otimes_\Lambda B$ , and by  $H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B))$  the  $n$ -th homology factor of the upper 0-sequence  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)$  over  $Z$  (over  $A$ , if  $A$  is commutative). If  $X_*'$  is also a projective resolution of  $A' \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ , and if  $AA' \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$  is given, then by Th. 3.1. we have unique homomorphisms (or  $A$  homomorphisms as the case may be)

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} (AA')_* &: H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B) \rightarrow H_n(X_*' \otimes_\Lambda B) \quad (\text{considered as a right operator}), \\ (AA')^* &: H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*', B)) \quad (\text{considered as a left operator}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad (AA')_* &: H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B) \rightarrow H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B), \\ (AA')^* &: H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)) \end{aligned}$$

are the identities if  $AA$  is the identity.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \text{For } (AA')_* &: H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B) \rightarrow H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B), \\ (AA')^* &: H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*', B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*', B)), \\ (A'A')_* &: H_n(X_*' \otimes_\Lambda B) \rightarrow H_n(X_*'' \otimes_\Lambda B), \\ (A'A')^* &: H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*'', B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*'', B)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (AA'A'')_* &: H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B) \rightarrow H_n(X_*'' \otimes_\Lambda B), \\ (AA'A'')^* &: H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*'', B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B)), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (AA')_* \cdot (A'A'')_* &= (AA'A'')_*, \\ (AA')^* \circ (A'A'')^* &= (AA'A'')^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may consider  $H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B)$  and  $H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B))$  as invariants of the pair  $(A, B)$ , and we write  $\text{Tor}_n^A(A, B)$  for  $H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_A^n(A, B)$  for  $H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, B))$ . We now verify the characteristic properties I, II, III, IV, I', II', III', IV' and V listed in the introduction of this paper. The homomorphisms  $(AA')_*$ ,  $(AA')^*$  can be regarded as giving homomorphisms

6) Cf. H. Cartan, Seminaire de topologie algébrique. 1950-51.



$$(AA')_*: \text{Tor}_n^A(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^A(A', B)$$

$$(AA')^*: \text{Ext}_n^A(A', B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^A(A, B),$$

satisfying:

I-1)  $(AA)_*$ ,  $(AA)^*$  are the identities of  $AA$  is the identity map.

I-2)  $(AA')_* \cdot (A'A'')_* = (AA'A'')_*$ ,  $(AA')^* \circ (A'A'')^* = (AA'A'')^*$ .

On the other hand, a  $A$ -homomorphism  $BB'$  induces translations

$$X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B'$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B')$$

and thus induces homomorphisms

$$(33) \quad *(BB'): H_n(X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B) \rightarrow H_n(X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B')$$

$$*(BB'): H^n(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B'))$$

Clearly those homomorphisms in (33) commute with the homomorphisms in (32) and therefore they can be regarded as giving homomorphisms

$$*(BB'): \text{Tor}_n^A(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^A(A, B')$$

$$*(BB'): \text{Ext}_n^A(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_n^A(A, B')$$

satisfying:

I'-1)  $*(BB)$ ,  $*(BB)$  are the identities of  $BB$  is the identity map.

I'-2)  $*(BB') \cdot *(B'B'') = *(BB'B'')$ ,  $*(BB') \cdot *(B'B'') = *(BB'B'')$ .

V)  $(AA')_*$  and  $*(BB')$  commute with each other, so do also  $(AA')^*$  and  $*(BB')$ .

Now let

$$(B) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence over  $A$ . Since each  $X_n$  in the projective resolution  $X_*$  of  $A$  is  $A$ -projective, the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow X_n \otimes_{\Lambda} \dot{B} \rightarrow X_n \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_n \otimes_{\Lambda} \bar{B} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, B) \rightarrow 0$$

are both exact, i.e. the sequences of translations

$$0 \rightarrow X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \dot{B} \rightarrow X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \bar{B} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. Therefore, by Lemma 田 and 田 we obtain homomorphisms

$$*\partial: \text{Tor}_n^A(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}^A(A, \dot{B}) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$*\delta: \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(A, \dot{B}) \quad (n=0, 1, \dots),$$

and exact sequences

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^A(A, \dot{B}) \xrightarrow{*(\dot{B}B)} \text{Tor}_n^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{*(B\bar{B})} \text{Tor}_n^A(A, \bar{B})$$

$$\text{Tor}^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{*\partial} \text{Tor}_{n-1}^A(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1}^A(A, B) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^A(A, \dot{B})$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^A(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^A(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^0(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^0(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^0(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, \bar{B}) \rightarrow$$

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(A, \dot{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n+1}(A, B) \rightarrow \dots$$

Naturality of the functors  $B \rightleftharpoons \text{Tor}^A(A, B)$

$$B \rightleftharpoons \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}(A, B)$$

is obvious. Thus II' is proved. III' is obvious since  $\otimes_{\Lambda} B$  is an exact functor if  $B$  is  $A$ -projective, and since  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B)$  is an exact (contravariant) functor

if  $B$  is  $A$ -injective. III is also clear, for if  $A$  is  $A$ -projective we can take as a projective resolution of  $A$  the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

for which augmentation is the identity mapping of  $A$ .

The proof of II requires some preliminary considerations. Let

$$(A) \quad 0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence over  $A$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \dot{A}_1 \rightarrow \dot{X}_0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \bar{A}_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

be projective representations of  $\dot{A}$  and of  $\bar{A}$ . Then since  $\bar{X}_0$  is  $A$ -projective there exists  $\bar{X}_0 A$  such that  $\bar{X}_0 A \bar{A} = \bar{X}_0 \bar{A}$ . Put now  $X_0 = \dot{X}_0 \oplus \bar{X}_0$  (direct sum) and denote by  $\dot{X}_0 X_0$ ,  $\bar{X}_0 X_0$ ,  $X_0 \dot{X}_0$ ,  $X_0 \bar{X}_0$  the injections and the projections for that direct sum. If we define  $X_0 A$  by

$$X_0 A = X_0 \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} + X_0 \bar{X}_0 A,$$

then  $X_0 A$  is an epimorphism and the diagram

$$(34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{X}_0 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \bar{A} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. In fact, let  $a$  be an element in  $A$ . Then there exists  $\bar{x}_0$  in  $\bar{X}_0$  such that  $(\bar{X}_0) \bar{X}_0 \bar{A} = (a) A \bar{A}$ . Since

$$(a - (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 A) A \bar{A} = (a) A \bar{A} - (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 A \bar{A} = (a) A \bar{A} - (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 \bar{A} = 0$$

and since  $\dot{X}_0 \dot{A}$  is an epimorphism,  $\dot{X}_0$  contains an element  $\dot{x}_0$  such that

$$(\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} = a - (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 A,$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 \dot{X}_0 + (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 X_0) X_0 A &= (\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 X_0 A + (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 X A \\ &= (\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 X_0 \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} + (\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 X_0 \bar{X}_0 A + (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 X_0 \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} + (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 X_0 \bar{X}_0 A \\ &= (\dot{x}_0) \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} + (\bar{x}_0) \bar{X}_0 A = a. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $X_0 A$  is an epimorphism. Commutativity of the diagram (34) can be shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}_0 X_0 A &= \dot{X}_0 X_0 \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} + \dot{X}_0 X_0 \bar{X}_0 A = \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} \\ X_0 A \bar{A} &= X_0 \dot{X}_0 \dot{A} \bar{A} + X_0 \bar{X}_0 A \bar{A} = X_0 \bar{X}_0 \bar{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we put trivial sequences  $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$  above and under (34), and if we apply the corollary to Lemmas 田, 曲, to the so obtained diagram we see that (34) can be supplemented to a commutative diagram

$$(34') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & A_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{X}_0 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & \bar{X}_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \bar{A} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

where each sequence on a straight line is exact. Repeated application of the process to obtain (34') from  $A$  will lead us to the following conclusion:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Given any exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \dot{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0$$

*there exist projective resolutions  $\dot{X}_*$  of  $\dot{A}$ ,  $X_*$  of  $A$ ,  $\bar{X}_*$  of  $\bar{A}$ , and a sequence of translations*

$$(34'') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{X}_* & \rightarrow & X_* & \rightarrow & \bar{X}_* \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \dot{A} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \bar{A} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

*which is exact. Since each  $\bar{X}_n$  is  $A$ -projective, each sequence  $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \bar{X}_n \rightarrow 0$  appearing in (34'') is direct.*

Therefore for an arbitrary  $A$ -module  $B$  we obtain exact sequences of translations

$$0 \rightarrow \dot{X}_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow \bar{X}_* \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\bar{X}_*, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_*, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\dot{X}_*, B) \rightarrow 0.$$

These exact sequences and Lemmas III, III' clearly prove the property II.

Finally, if we apply  $\otimes_{\Lambda} B$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\quad, B)$  to (26), then by Lemmas 1.6, 1.7, we obtain exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{l} A_1 \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_0 \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow 0, \\ A_2 \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_1 \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \\ A_n \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_{n-1} \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow A_{n-1} \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_0, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_1, B), \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_1, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_1, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_2, B), \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_{n-1}, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_{n-1}, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_n, B). \end{array}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Image of } (X_{n+1} \otimes B \rightarrow X_n \otimes B) &= \text{Image of } (A_{n+1} \otimes B \rightarrow X_n \otimes B) \\ &= \text{Kernel of } (X_n \otimes B \rightarrow A_n \otimes B), \\ \text{Kernel of } (\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_{n+1}, 0)) & \\ &= \text{Kernel of } (\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_n, B)) \\ &= \text{Image of } (\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_{n+1}, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_n, B)) \end{aligned}$$

This proves

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_n^{\Lambda}(A, B) &\cong A \otimes_{\Lambda} B, & \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^0(A, B) &= \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B), \\ \text{Tor}_n^{\Lambda}(A, B) &\cong \text{Kernel of } (A_n \otimes_{\Lambda} B \rightarrow X_{n-1} \otimes_{\Lambda} B) & (n > 0), \\ \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, B) &\cong \text{Cokernel of } (\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X_{n-1}, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A_n, B)) & (n > 0) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, these isomorphisms are natural and the properties III, III' are proved.

**3.  $\text{Tor}_n^{\Lambda}(A, B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(A, B)$ , and resolutions of  $B$ .**

Let

$$(Y_0) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

be a projective representation of  $B$ . Then, in the exact sequence  $\text{Tor}^\Lambda(A, Y_0)$ , every third group  $\text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, Y_0)$  vanishes except  $\text{Tor}_0^\Lambda(A, Y_0) = A \otimes_\Lambda Y_0$ . Therefore we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_1^\Lambda(A, B) &= \text{Kernel of } (\text{Tor}_0^\Lambda(A, B_1) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_0^\Lambda(A, Y_0)) \\ &= \text{Kernel of } (A \otimes_\Lambda B_1 \rightarrow A \otimes_\Lambda Y_0) \\ \text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, B) &= \text{Tor}_{n-1}^\Lambda(A, B_1) \quad (n > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is the series of projective representations giving a projective resolution  $Y_*$  of  $B$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, B) &= \text{Tor}_{n-1}^\Lambda(A, B) = \dots = \text{Tor}_1^\Lambda(A, B_{n-1}) \\ &= \text{Kernel of } (A \otimes_\Lambda B_n \rightarrow A \otimes_\Lambda Y_{n-1}), \quad (n > 0) \end{aligned}$$

But, the last group being naturally isomorphic to  $H_n(A \otimes_\Lambda Y_*) = H_n(Y_* \otimes_\Lambda A) = \text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(B, A)$ , we obtain

**Theorem 3.3.**  $\text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, B) = H_n(X_* \otimes_\Lambda B) = H_n(Y_* \otimes_\Lambda A) = \text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(B, A)$ .

Similarly we can also prove the analogue of this theorem, namely

**Theorem 3.4.**  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$  is naturally isomorphic to the  $n$ -th homology factor  $H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^*))$  of the upper 0-sequence  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^*)$ , where  $Y^*$  is an arbitrary injective resolution of  $B$ .

For later purpose we shall give to this theorem a proof of somewhat different nature from the proof given above. This proof is based on lemma 2.5. stated in the last paragraph of § 2. As we have seen earlier at the end of the foregoing paragraph, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B) = \text{Hom}_n(A_n, B) / (A_n X_{n-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B),$$

but, the two conclusions in Lemma 27 give us in turn a series of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) / (A_n X_{n-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B) &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-1}, B^1) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-1}, Y^0)(Y^0 B^1)^\# \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-1}, B^1) / (A_{n-1} X_{n-2})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-2}, B^1) \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-1}, B^2) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-1}, Y^1)(Y^1 B^2)^\# = \dots \\ &= \dots = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-t}, B^t) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-t} Y_{t-1})(Y_{t-1} B^t)^\# \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-t}, B^t) / (A_{n-t} X_{n-t-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-t-1}, B^t) \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-t-1}, B^{t+1}) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{n-t+1}, Y^t)(Y^t B^{t+1})^\# = \dots \\ &\dots \\ &= \dots = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^n) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^{n-1})(Y^{n-1} B^n)^\# \\ &= H^n(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^*)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

*Remark.* From this proof it is readily seen that if

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (X_n) & 0 & \rightarrow & A_n & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (Y^n) & 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & Y_0 & \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y^{n-1} \rightarrow B^n \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is a translation, then  $AB^n \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^n)$  represents the same element in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^n) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^{n-1})(Y^{n-1} B^n)^\#$  as  $A_n B$  does in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) / (A_n X_{n-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B)$ .

It is not hard to see that  $\text{Tor}_n^\Lambda(A, B)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$  can be also defined as the  $n$ -th homology factor of the lower 0-sequence  $X_* \otimes_\Lambda Y_*$  and that of the upper 0-sequence  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_*, Y^*)$ , where  $X_*$ ,  $Y_*$  are projective resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively,  $Y^*$  an injective resolution of  $B$ , and where the complexes  $X_* \otimes_\Lambda Y_*$ ,  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Y_*, Y^*)$  are defined in the usual way. However we shall not go further in this direction.

#### 4. $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ and the $n$ -fold extensions of $B$ by $A$ .

Let  $A, B$  be arbitrary  $A$ -modules fixed once for all. We call any exact sequence over  $A$  of the form

$$(E_n) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \geq 1)$$

an  $n$ -fold extension of  $B$  by  $A$ , and denote the category consisting of all  $n$ -fold extensions of  $B$  by  $A$  and of all possible translations between such  $n$ -fold extensions that give identities both on  $A$  and on  $B$  by  $\mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$ . The set of objects in this category, i.e., the set of all  $n$ -fold extensions of  $B$  by  $A$  will be denoted by  $E_n(A, B)$ . For two  $n$ -fold extensions  $E_n, E_n' \in E_n(A, B)$  we shall write  $E_n \simeq E_n'$  if there exists either a mapping  $E_n' E_n \in \mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$  or a mapping  $E_n E_n' \in \mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$ ,  $E_n \sim E_n'$  if there is a finite series of  $n$ -fold extensions  $E_n = E_n^0, E_n^1, \dots, E_n^k = E_n' \in E_n(A, B)$  such that  $E_n^i \simeq E_n^{i+1}$  ( $i=0, \dots, k-1$ ). Clearly  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, by which the elements of  $E_n(A, B)$  are classified into equivalence classes.

Let now  $X_*$  be a projective resolution of  $A$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1, the identity of  $A$  can be extended to a translation

$$(34') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (X_n) & 0 \rightarrow & A_n \rightarrow & X_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & X_0 \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \parallel \\ (E_n) & 0 \rightarrow & B \rightarrow & E_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

If  $f_1, f_2$  are such translations extending the identity mapping of  $A$ , then Theorem 3.1 states further that  $f_1, f_2$  are chain homotopic, i.e., there exist  $A$ -homomorphisms  $X_0 E_1, X_1 E_2, \dots, X_{n-2} E_{n-1}, X_{n-1} B$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 E_1 E_0 &= X_0 E_0 - X_0 E_0, \\ X_i E_{i+1} E_i + X_i X_{i-1} E_i &= X_{i+1} E_i - X_{i+1} E_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n-2), \\ X_{n-1} B E_{n-1} + X_{n-1} X_{n-2} E_{n-1} &= X_{n-1} E_{n-1} - X_{n-1} E_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n X_{n-1} B E_{n-1} &= A_n X_{n-1} E_{n-1} - A_n X_{n-2} E_{n-1} - A_n X_{n-1} X_{n-2} E_{n-1} \\ &= A_n B E_{n-1} - A_n E_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the difference homomorphism  $A_n B - A_n E_{n-1}$  lies in the subgroup  $(A_n X_{n-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B)$  of  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B)$ . Therefore in this way we obtain a mapping

$$(35) \quad E_n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) / (A_n X_{n-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B) (= \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B))$$

If  $X_*'$  is another projective resolution, and if  $E_n E_n'$  is a translation  $\in \mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$

$$(E_n) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & B \rightarrow & E_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow & A \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \parallel \\ (E_n') & 0 \rightarrow & B \rightarrow & E'_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & E'_0 \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of  $E_n$  into another  $n$ -fold extension  $E_n' \in \mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$ , then the identity of  $A$  can be extended to a translation

$$(X_n') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & A_n' \rightarrow & X'_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & X'_0 \rightarrow & A \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \parallel \\ (X_n) & 0 \rightarrow & A_n \rightarrow & X_{n-1} \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow & X \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Then each of the translations  $X_n E_n$  in (34'),  $X_n' X_n E_n, X_n E_n E_n'$  extends the

identity of  $A$ . This shows firstly that the mapping (35) does not depend on the special choice of the projective resolution  $X_*$  of  $A$ , and secondly that equivalent  $n$ -fold extension of  $B$  by  $A$  are mapped onto the same element of  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ .

We now prove the following

**Theorem 3.5. (Classification Theorem)** *The mapping (35) induces a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of the  $n$ -fold extensions  $E_n(A, B)$  of  $B$  by  $A$ , and the elements of  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$*

As we have shown that equivalent  $n$ -fold extensions are mapped onto the same element of  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ , (35) defines a mapping  $\chi_n$  of the set  $\overline{E}_n(A, B)$  of equivalence classes of  $E_n(A, B)$  into the group  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ . In the following paragraphs we shall prove that  $\chi_n$  is onto and one-to-one.

**5. Proof of the classification theorem, I—Construction.**

In this paragraph we shall define a mapping

$$\gamma_n: \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) \rightarrow E_n(A, B)$$

such that  $\chi_n \circ \gamma_n$  is the canonical mapping  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B)$ .

**Construction  $\gamma_1$ .** Let  $A_1 f B \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)$ , put  $W = X_0 \oplus B$  (direct sum), and define a  $A$ -homomorphism  $A_1 W$  by

$$A_1 W = A_1 X_0 \oplus (-A_1 f B) = A_1 X_0 W - A_1 f B W.$$

Clearly  $A_1 W$  is a monomorphism, and we have

$$A_1 W X_0 = A_1 X_0 W X_0 - A_1 f B W X_0 = A_1 X_0 W X_0 = A_1 X_0.$$

Therefore we may identify  $A_1 = \text{Im } A_1 W$  to obtain the commutative diagram

$$(36) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & A = A_1 & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & W & \rightarrow & X_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ & & B & & E_f & & A \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array},$$

where we have put  $E_f = W/A_1$ .

Since (36) is commutative it is supplemented uniquely by  $BE_f$  and  $E_f A$  such that

$$BWE_f = BE_f, \quad WX_0 A = WE_f A.$$

Then, by the corollary to Lemmas 田 & 曲, the sequence over  $A$

$$(E_f) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_f \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, i.e.,  $E_f$  is a 1-fold extension of  $B$  by  $A$ ; and we now define  $\gamma_1: \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B) \rightarrow E_1(A, B)$  by

$$\gamma_1(f) = E_f.$$

Then, putting  $X_0 E_f = X_0 W E_f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 f B E_f &= A_1 f B W E_f = A_1 X_0 W E_f - A_1 W E_f = A_1 X_0 W E_f = A_1 X_0 E_f, \\ X_0 E_f A &= X_0 W E_f A = X_0 W X_0 A = X_0 A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & E_f & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

proving that  $\chi_1(\tau_1(f))$  is represented by  $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)$ .

*Constructions  $\tau_n$ .* Let now  $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B)$ . Applying the above construction we obtain the following commutative diagrams

$$(37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & A_n = A_n & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & W & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \quad (\text{direct}) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E_f & \rightarrow & A_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \quad , \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \end{array}$$

$$(38) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow A_n & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow & A_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E_f & \rightarrow & A_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \quad , \end{array}$$

satisfying

$$(39) \quad \begin{array}{l} A_n W = A_n X_{n-1} W - A_n f B W \\ X_{n-1} E_f = X_{n-1} W E_f \end{array}$$

The translation (38) can be extended now to

$$(40) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow A_n & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow & X_{n-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ (E_f^n) \quad 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E_f & \rightarrow & X_{n-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X^0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 . \end{array}$$

The second sequence  $E_f$  of (40) being an  $n$ -fold extension of  $B$  by  $A$ , we define  $\tau_n: \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B) \rightarrow E_n(A, B)$  by

$$\tau_n(f) = E_f^n .$$

Since (40) is a translation,  $\chi_n \tau_n(f)$  is clearly represented by  $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B)$ .

Thus we have proved that  $\chi_n$  is one-to-one ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ )

**6. Proof of the classification theorem, II.** We must prove finally that the mapping  $\chi_n$  is one-to-one ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). This will be done if we prove the following

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let*

$$(E_n') \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E'_{n-1} \rightarrow E'_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E'_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

*be an  $n$ -fold extension of  $B$  by  $A$ , and let the identity mapping of  $A$  be extended to a translation*

$$(41) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow A_n & \rightarrow & X_{n-1} & \rightarrow & X_{n-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E'_{n-1} & \rightarrow & E'_{n-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & E'_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 . \end{array}$$

*If  $A_n f B \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_n, B)$  lies in the same coset of  $(A_n X_{n-1})^* \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{n-1}, B)$ , i.e., if there is a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism  $X_{n-1} B$  such that*

$$A_n f B = A_n g B + A_n X_{n-1} B ,$$

*then there exists a translation*

$$(42) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} (E_f^n) \quad 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E_f & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ (E_n') \quad 0 \rightarrow B & \rightarrow & E'_{n-1} & \rightarrow & E'_{n-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & E' & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

extending the identity mapping of  $B$  and the  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphisms  $X_{n-2}E'_{n-2}, \dots, X_0E'_0, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$  in (41), where  $E_f^n$  is the  $n$ -fold extension  $\gamma_n(f) \in E_n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  constructed in the preceding paragraphs.

In short, this lemma states that if  $\chi_n(E_n') \in \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  is represented by a mapping  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{A}_n, B)$  then there is a translation  $E_f^n E_n' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, B)$  of  $E_f^n = \gamma_n(f)$  into  $E_n'$ . Thus if for two  $n$ -fold extension  $E_n, E_n' \in E_n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  we have  $\chi_n(E_n) = \chi_n(E_n')$ , then, taking an arbitrary representation  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{A}_n, B)$ , we obtain an  $n$ -fold extension  $E_f^n \in E_n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  and two translations  $E_f^n E_n, E_f^n E_n' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, B)$ , proving that  $E_n \simeq E_f^n \simeq E_n'$ .

This lemma gives us more than the proof of the classification theorem, namely this proves also the following

**Theorem 3.6.** *Two  $n$ -fold extensions  $E_n, E_n' \in E_n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  are equivalent if and only if there exist an  $n$ -fold extension  $E_n \in E_n(\mathcal{A}, B)$  and two translations  $E_n E_n', E_n E_n' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}, B)$ .*

We shall refer later to a dual of this theorem.

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** The only thing that we have to do is to define  $E_f E'_{n-1}$  so that the first and the second sequence in (42) become commutative. To do this we first define  $WE'_{n-1}$  by

$$WE'_{n-1} = WBE'_{n-1} + WX_{n-1}E'_{n-1} + WX_{n-1}BE'_{n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n WE'_{n-1} &= A_n WBE'_{n-1} + A_n WX_{n-1} + A_n WX_{n-1}BE'_{n-1} \\ &= A_n X_{n-1}WBE'_{n-1} - A_n f BWBE'_{n-1} + A_n X_{n-1}E'_{n-1} + A_n WX_{n-1}BE'_{n-1} \\ &= -A_n f BE'_{n-1} + A_n g BE'_{n-1} + A_n X_{n-1}BE'_{n-1}BE'_{n-1} \\ &= (-A_n f B + A_n g B + A_n X_{n-1}B)BE'_{n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.1, there exists a  $\mathcal{A}$ -homomorphism  $E_f E'_{n-1}$  such that  $WE_f E'_{n-1} = WE'_{n-1}$ . We now prove the commutativity  $BE_f E'_{n-1} = BE'_{n-1}$  in the first square of (42), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} BE_f E'_{n-1} &= BWE_f E'_{n-1} = BWE'_{n-1} \\ &= BWBE'_{n-1} + BWX_{n-1}E'_{n-1} + BWX_{n-1}BE'_{n-1} = BE'_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Commutativity  $E_f E'_{n-1} E'_{n-2} = E_f X_{n-2} E'_{n-2}$  in the second square of (42) is equivalent to  $WE_f E'_{n-1} E'_{n-1} = WE_f X_{n-2} E'_{n-2}$ , which is shown in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} WE_f E'_{n-1} E'_{n-2} &= WE'_{n-1} E'_{n-2} \\ &= WBE'_{n-1} E'_{n-1} + WX_{n-1} E'_{n-1} E'_{n-2} + WX_{n-1} BE'_{n-1} E'_{n-2} \\ &= WX_{n-1} X_{n-2} E'_{n-2} \\ &= WX_{n-1} A_{n-1} X_{n-2} E'_{n-2} \\ &= WE_f A_{n-1} X_{n-2} E'_{n-2} \\ &= WE_f X_{n-2} E'_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 3.2 and completes the proof of the classification theorem.

**7. Redefinition of the one-to-one correspondence in the classification theorem by means of injective resolutions of  $B$ .** Let  $Y^*$  be an injective resolution of  $B$ . Then by Theorem 3.2, there exists a translation

$$(43) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (E_n) & 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow E_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ (Y^n) & 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y^{n-1} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$



extending the identity mapping of  $B$ . The class  $AB^n \bmod \text{Hom}_\Lambda(AY^{n-1})(Y^{n-1}B^n)_\#$  depends neither on the special choice of the translation  $E_n Y^n$  nor on the special choice of the injective resolution  $Y^*$ . So we obtain a mapping

$$\chi_n': E_n(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, B) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B^n) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, Y^{n-1})(Y^{n-1}B^n)_\#.$$

Now if we superpose (34') on this translation, then we obtain a translation  $X_n E_n Y^n$ . Thus, from what we have remarked after the proof of Theorem 3.4, follows that  $\chi_n$  and  $\chi_n'$  coincide.

If one develops the dual argument of the preceding paragraphs he can reprove the classification theorem, in which course he will obtain the following analogue of Theorem 3.6:

**Theorem 3.7.** *Two  $n$ -fold extensions  $E_n, E_n' \in E_n(A, B)$  are equivalent if and only if there exist an  $n$ -fold extension  $E_n'' \in E_n(A, B)$  and two translations  $E_n E_n'', E_n' E_n'' \in \mathcal{E}_n(A, B)$ .*

*Remark.* For  $n=1$ , every translation  $E_1 E_1'$  in  $\mathcal{E}_1(A, B)$  is an isomorphic translation, and so it is invertible. Therefore  $E_1 \sim E_1'$  if and only if there exists an isomorphic translation  $E_1 E_1'$  which gives identity mappings on  $A$  and on  $B$ .

#### § 4. Product in the extension groups.

**1. Motivation.** Let  $A, B, C$  be  $\Lambda$ -modules. By the classification theorem the elements of  $\text{Ext}^p(A, B)$  ( $p > 0$ ) can be considered as the equivalence classes of exact sequences of the form

$$(E_p) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

while the elements of  $\text{Ext}^q(B, C)$  ( $q > 0$ ) as the equivalence classes of exact sequences of the form

$$(F_q) \quad 0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F_{q-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0.$$

Now if we put these exact sequences in a line in tying them together at  $B$ , then we get an exact sequence

$$(E_p \circ F_q) \quad 0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F_{q-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow E_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have put  $F_0 E_{p-1} = F_0 B E_{p-1}$ . In this way we obtain a pairing

$$(44) \quad E_p(A, B) \times E_q(B, C) \rightarrow E_{p+q}(A, C),$$

which we shall call the *composition pairing*. Obviously this composition pairing satisfies the following conditions.

(i) If  $E_p \sim E'_p$  and  $F_q \sim F'_q$ , then  ${}_p E \circ F_q \sim E'_p \circ F'_q$ .

(ii)  $\circ$  is associative, i.e., for  $G_r \in E_r(C, D)$  we have

$$(E_p \circ F_q) \circ G_r = E_p \circ (F_q \circ G_r).$$

Therefore this defines an associative pairing

$$(45) \quad \text{Ext}^p(A, B) \times \text{Ext}^q(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}(A, C).$$

This composition pairing turns out to be bilinear, and the above definition can be naturally extended to admit the value  $p=0$  or  $q=0$ . To show this we shall begin with another equivalent definition of this composition pairing.

**2. Composition product.** Let  $X_*$  be a projective resolution of  $A$ , and  $U^*$  an injective resolution of  $C$ . We have seen earlier that the following identifications are allowable.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^p(A, B) &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B) / (\Lambda_p X_{p-1})_\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p-1}, B) & (p \geq 0, X_{-1} = 0), \\ \text{Ext}^q(B, C) &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C^q) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1} C^q)_\# & (q \geq 0, W^{-1} = 0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^{p+q}(A, C) &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{p+q}, C) / (A_{p+q}X_{p+q-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p+q-1}, C) \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, C^q) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1}C^q)^\# \\ &= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, C^q) / (A_pX_{p-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p-1}, C^q) \\ &\quad (p, q \geq 0, X_{-1} = W^{-1} = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Now if we define a composition product

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B) \times \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C^q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, C^q)$$

by the simple composition  $A_p B \circ B C^q = A_p B C^q$ . Then this product  $\circ$  is clearly bilinear and we have also

$$(A_p X_{p-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p-1}, B) \circ \text{Hom}(B, C^q) \subset (A_p X_{p-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p-1}, C^q),$$

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B) \circ \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1}C^q)^\# \subset \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1}C^q)^\#.$$

so that  $\circ$  defines a bilinear product

$$(45') \quad \text{Ext}^p(A, B) \times \text{Ext}^q(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}(A, C) \quad (p, q \geq 0).$$

We now show the coincidence of (45) and (45') for  $p, q > 0$  in proving that

$$\chi_p(E_p) \circ \chi_q(F_q) = \chi_{p+q}(E_p \circ F_q),$$

where  $\chi$  is the mapping appearing in the classification theorem. Let

$$(46) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_p & \rightarrow & X_{p-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & E_{p-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

$$(47) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & F_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & W^0 & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow W^{q-1} \rightarrow C^q \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

be translations such that  $A_p B$  represents  $\chi_p(E_p)$  in

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B) / (A_p X_{p-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p-1}, B)$$

and  $B C_q$  represents  $\chi_q(F_q)$  in

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C^q) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1}C^q)^\#.$$

Now, by Theorem 3.2,  $A_p B$  can be extended to a translation

$$(46') \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_{p+q} & \rightarrow & X_{p+q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow A_p \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & F_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

so that  $A_{p+q} C$  represents  $\chi_{p+q}(E_p \circ F_q)$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove coincidence of the element in  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_{p+q}, C) / (A_{p+q}X_{p+q-1})^\# \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X_{p+q-1}, C)$

represented by  $A_{p+q} C$  and the element in  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, C^q) / \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, W^{q-1})(W^{q-1}C^q)^\#$

represented by  $A_p B C^q$ , or equivalently, to prove that  $A_{p+q} C$  and  $A_p C^q = A_p B C^q$

can be extended to a translation

$$(48) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_{p+q} & \rightarrow & X_{p+q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow X_p \rightarrow A_p \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & W^0 & \rightarrow & \cdots \rightarrow W^{q-1} \rightarrow C^q \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Translation (48) is readily obtained in composing the translations (46') and (47).

Thus the coincidence of (45) and (45') is proved, and so (45') is independent of the special choice of resolutions.

Let  $Y_*$ ,  $Y^*$  be projective resp. injective resolutions of  $B$ . If we put  $Y_*$ ,

$Y^*$  in a line in tying them together at  $B$ , then the resulting sequence

$$(Y_*^*) \quad \cdots \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0 \xrightarrow{(-B-)} Y^0 \rightarrow Y^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact. ( $Y_*^*$  will be called a *complete resolution* of  $B$ .) By Theorems 3.1, 3.2,

$A_p B \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B)$  can be extended to a translation



**Corollary.**  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, A)$  has the structure of a ring in which multiplication is defined by the composition product  $\circ$ .

**3. The effect of multiplication by the elements of  $\text{Ext}^0$  and  $\text{Ext}^1$ .**

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\alpha^0 \in \text{Ext}^0(A, B)$  be represented by  $AB \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B)$ . Then the left multiplication by  $\alpha^0$ ,

$$\alpha^0 \circ: \text{Ext}^q(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^q(A, C)$$

is identical with the induced homomorphism  $(AB)^*$ . If  $\beta^0 \in \text{Ext}^0(B, C)$  is represented by  $BC \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C)$ , then the right multiplication by  $\beta^0$

$$\circ \beta^0: \text{Ext}^p(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^p(A, C)$$

coincides with the induced homomorphism  $*(BC)$ .

**Proof.**  $(AB)^*$  is induced by  $(A_q B_q)^*$ :  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B_q, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_q, C)$  obtained in a translation

$$(51) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_q & \rightarrow & X_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B_q & \rightarrow & Y_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & Y_0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

extending  $AB$ , while  $\alpha^0 \circ$  by  $(AB)^*$ :  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C^q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, C^q)$ .

If  $B_q C \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B_q, C)$  is extended to a translation

$$(52) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & B_q & \rightarrow & Y_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & Y_0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & U^0 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & U^{q-1} & \rightarrow & C^q & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

then  $B_q C$ ,  $BC^q$  represent the same element, say  $\beta^q$ , in  $\text{Ext}^q(B, C)$ . Combining (51) and (52) we have now a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A_q & \rightarrow & X_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B_q & \rightarrow & Y_{q-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & Y_0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & U^0 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & U^{q-1} & \rightarrow & C^q & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which  $A_q B_q C$  represents  $(AB)^* \beta^q$  and  $ABC^q$  represents  $\alpha^0 \circ \beta^q$ . Therefore we have  $(AB)^* \beta^q = \alpha^0 \circ \beta^q$ .

The latter statement of the theorem can be proved quite similarly.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\alpha^1 \in \text{Ext}^1(A, B)$  be represented by an exact sequence

$$(E) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the left multiplication by  $\alpha^1$ ,

$$\alpha^1 \circ: \text{Ext}^q(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{q+1}(A, C)$$

is identical with the coboundary homomorphism  $\delta^*$  with respect to the exact sequence  $E$ . If  $\beta^1 \in \text{Ext}^1(B, C)$  is represented by an exact sequence

$$(F) \quad 0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow F \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

then the right multiplication by  $\beta^1$ ,

$$\circ \beta^1: \text{Ext}^p(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+1}(A, C)$$

coincides with the coboundary homomorphism  $*\delta$  with respect to the exact sequence  $F$ .

**Proof.** If we extend the identity mapping of  $A$  to a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (X_0) & 0 & \rightarrow & A_1 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ (E) & 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then we have  $\chi(E) = \alpha^1$ , so that  $A_1 B \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, B)$  represents  $\alpha^1$ . On the other hand, if we denote the coboundary homomorphism  $\text{Ext}^p(A_1, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+1}(A, C)$

with respect to  $X_0$  with  $\delta^*_0$ . Then we have  $\delta_0^* \circ (A_1 B)^* = (AA)^* \circ \delta^* = \delta^*$ . So we now prove  $\alpha^1 \circ = \delta_0^* \circ (A_1 B)^*$ . If  $\beta^q \in \text{Ext}^q(B, C)$  is represented by  $BC^q \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, C^q)$ , then  $(A_1 B)^* \beta^q$  is represented by  $A_1 BC^q \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, C^q)$ , and  $\alpha^1 \circ \beta^q$  also by  $A_1 BC^q \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_1, C^q)$ . Thus,  $\delta_0^*$  being nothing other than the identification isomorphism

$$\delta_0^*: \text{Ext}^q(A_1, C) \cong \text{Ext}^{q+1}(A, C),$$

we have proved the first assertion  $\alpha^1 \circ = \delta^*$  of the theorem.

Next, extend the identity mapping of  $C$  to a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (F) & 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (U^0) & 0 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & U^0 & \rightarrow & C^1 & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

to obtain  $BC^1$  representing  $\beta^1$ . If  $\alpha^p \in \text{Ext}^p(A, B)$  is represented by  $A_p B \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A_p, B)$ , then  $\alpha^p \circ \beta^1$  is represented by  $A_p BC^1$ . On the other hand we have  ${}^* \delta \alpha^p = {}^* \delta_0(\alpha^p {}^*(BC^1))$ , where  ${}^* \delta_0$  is the coboundary homomorphism with respect to  $U^0$ .  $\alpha^p {}^*(BC^1)$  being represented by  $A_p BC^1$ , and  ${}^* \delta_0$  being nothing other than the reduction isomorphism

$${}^* \delta_1: \text{Ext}^p(B, C^1) \cong \text{Ext}^{p+1}(B, C),$$

we have  ${}^* \delta \alpha^p = \alpha^p \circ \beta^1$ . This completes the proof.

#### 4. $\varphi$ -product in the cohomology group $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B)$ .

As we have remarked before, the composition product  $\circ$  gives the structure of a ring to  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, A)$ . This is a special case of the following more general notion of  $\varphi$ -product in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B)$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary  $A$ -homomorphism from  $B$  into  $A$ .  $\varphi$  can be also considered as an element in  $\text{Ext}^0(B, A)$ . We now fix one  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, A)$ . Then,  $\varphi$ -product in  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda(A, B)$  is defined as follows. Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ext}^p(A, B)$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Ext}^q(A, B)$ . Then  $\alpha \circ \varphi \in \text{Ext}^p(A, A)$  so that  $(\alpha \circ \varphi) \circ \beta \in \text{Ext}^{p+q}(A, B)$ . On the other hand we have  $\varphi \circ \beta \in \text{Ext}^q(B, B)$ , and  $\alpha \circ (\varphi \circ \beta) \in \text{Ext}^{p+q}(A, B)$ . Since  $\circ$  is associative these two elements  $(\alpha \circ \varphi) \circ \beta$ ,  $\alpha \circ (\varphi \circ \beta)$  equal to each other. So we define the  $\varphi$ -product  $\alpha \underset{\varphi}{\circ} \beta$  of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as

$$\alpha \underset{\varphi}{\circ} \beta = \alpha \circ \varphi \circ \beta,$$

Then bilinearity of  $\underset{\varphi}{\circ}$  is clear; associativity is checked as

$$(\alpha \underset{\varphi}{\circ} \beta) \underset{\varphi}{\circ} \gamma = (\alpha \circ \varphi \circ \beta) \circ \varphi \circ \gamma = \alpha \circ \varphi \circ (\beta \circ \varphi \circ \gamma) = \alpha \underset{\varphi}{\circ} (\beta \underset{\varphi}{\circ} \gamma),$$

If  $f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A') = \text{Ext}^0(A, A')$ , then  $\varphi \circ f \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B, A')$ , and putting  $\varphi' = \varphi \circ f$  we have, by Theorem 4.2,

$$f^*(\alpha' \underset{\varphi'}{\circ} \beta') = (f^* \alpha') \underset{\varphi}{\circ} (f^* \beta'). \quad (\alpha', \beta' \in \text{Ext}_\Lambda(A', B))$$

On the other hand, if  $g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B', B) = \text{Ext}^0(B', B)$ , then putting  $\varphi' = g \circ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(B', A)$ , we have

$${}^* g(\alpha' \underset{\varphi'}{\circ} \beta') = ({}^* g \alpha') \underset{\varphi}{\circ} ({}^* g \beta').$$

This shows the naturality of the  $\varphi$ -product.

#### 5. Relation to the homology theory of associative systems.

Let  $\Pi$  denote a group or more generally an associative system with unit,  $Z(\Pi)$  the algebra of  $\Pi$  over  $Z$ , and let  $G$  be a  $\Pi$ -group, i.e., a  $Z(\Pi)$ -module. As stated by Cartan and Eilenberg,  $H_n(\Pi, G)$ , the  $n$ -th homology group, and  $H^n(\Pi, G)$ , the  $n$ -th cohomology group of  $\Pi$  with coefficients in  $G$  can be defined as the  $n$ -th torsion product  $\text{Tor}_n^{Z(\Pi)}(Z, G)$  and as the  $n$ -th extension group  $\text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}^n(Z, G)$  respectively, where  $Z$  is considered as a  $\Pi$ -group on which each element of  $\Pi$  operates identically. As stated further by the same authors, the multiplicative

structure of the cohomology group  $H^*(\Pi, R) = \text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}(Z, R)$  with coefficients in a ring  $R$  with unit can be introduced in the following manner. Let  $X_*$  be a projective resolution of the  $Z(\Pi)$ -module  $Z$ . Then  $X_*^2 = X_* \otimes_Z X_*$  is a projective resolution of the  $Z(\Pi \times \Pi)$ -module  $Z \otimes_Z Z = Z$ . By the diagonal injection  $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi \times \Pi$ , the augmented sequence  $X_*^2 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  can be considered as an exact sequence over  $Z(\Pi)$ . Therefore there exists a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_* & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \tau & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ & & X_*^2 & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which is unique up to a chain homotopy.

On the other hand any pair of  $Z(\Pi)$ -homomorphisms  $X_p R \in \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_p, R)$ ,  $X_q R \in \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_q, R)$  determines in a natural way a homomorphism  $X_p R \otimes X_q R \in \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_p \otimes_Z X_q, R)$ , and thus we have a canonical homomorphism

$$(53) \quad \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*, R) \otimes_Z \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*^2, R),$$

which is compatible with the coboundary operators. Combining (53) with the homomorphism  $\tau^*: \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*^2, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*, R)$ , and passing to the cohomology groups, i.e., extension groups, we give now a homomorphism

$$(54) \quad \text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}^p(Z, R) \otimes_Z \text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}^q(Z, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}^{p+q}(Z, R)$$

to introduce in  $\text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}(Z, R)$  the structure of a ring.

Finally we shall prove the following

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $R(\Pi)$  denote the algebra of  $\Pi$  over  $R$ . Then any  $R(\Pi)$ -module is automatically a  $\Pi$ -group, and in this sense we have*

- (i)  $H_n(\Pi, G) = \text{Tor}_n^{R(\Pi)}(R, G)$ ,
- (ii)  $H^n(\Pi, G) = \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}^n(R, G)$ ,
- (iii)  $H^*(\Pi, R) = \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, R)$ ,

where  $\text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, R)$  is provided with the ring structure defined by the composition product  $\circ$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X_*$  be a free resolution of the  $Z(\Pi)$ -module  $Z$ . The augmented exact sequence  $X_* \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  is then *direct* as an exact sequence over  $Z$ . Therefore the lower sequence

$$(55) \quad X_* \otimes_Z R \rightarrow Z \otimes_Z R (= R) \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Now each  $X_n$  in  $X_*$  being  $Z(\Pi)$ -free,  $X_n \otimes_Z R$  can be considered as an  $R(\Pi)$ -free module, and (55) as a free resolution of the  $R(\Pi)$ -module  $Z \otimes_Z R = R$ . Thus  $\text{Tor}_n^{R(\Pi)}(R, G)$  is defined as the  $n$ -th homology factor of the lower 0-sequence

$$(X_* \otimes_Z R) \otimes_{R(\Pi)} G,$$

and  $\text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, G)$  as the  $n$ -th homology factor of the upper 0-sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(X_* \otimes_Z R, G).$$

We now show identities

$$\begin{aligned} (X_* \otimes_Z R) \otimes_{R(\Pi)} G &= X_* \otimes_{Z(\Pi)} G, \\ \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(X_* \otimes_Z R, G) &= \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(X_*, G), \end{aligned}$$

which will prove (i) and (ii). Since  $X_*$  is  $Z(\Pi)$ -free, it is sufficient to obtain natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (Z(\Pi) \otimes_Z R) \otimes_{R(\Pi)} G &= Z(\Pi) \otimes_{Z(\Pi)} G, \\ \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(Z(\Pi) \otimes_Z R, G) &= \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(Z(\Pi), G). \end{aligned}$$

These identities are both obvious, for we have natural identifications

$$(Z(\Pi) \otimes_Z R) \otimes_{R(\Pi)} G = R(\Pi) \otimes_{R(\Pi)} G = G = Z(\Pi) \otimes_{Z(\Pi)} G,$$

$$\text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(Z(\Pi) \otimes_Z R, G) = \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(R(\Pi), G) = G = \text{Hom}_{Z(\Pi)}(Z(\Pi), G).$$

To prove (iii) we first replace  $Z$  by  $R$  in (54) in the following way. Let  $X_*$  be a projective resolution of the  $R(\Pi)$ -module  $R$ . Then, quite in the same way as in the case of  $Z$ , we obtain the exact sequence over  $R(\Pi)$

$$X_*^2 (= X_* \otimes_R X_*) \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0,$$

and a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & X_* & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \tau & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & X_*^2 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

through which a bilinear multiplication

$$(54') \quad \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}^p(R, R) \otimes_Z \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}^q(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}^{p+q}(R, R)$$

is obtained. Obviously (54') agrees with (54) under the identification

$$\text{Ext}_{Z(\Pi)}(Z, R) = \text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, R)$$

obtained in (ii). Therefore what we have to prove is the coincidence of (54') and the composition product in the corollary to Theorem 4.1.

Now, since the product (54') and the composition product are both independent of the special choice of a projective resolution of the  $R(\Pi)$ -module  $R$ , we may take as  $X_*$  the special resolution 'non-homogeneous complex of  $\Pi$ ', namely the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_q \xrightarrow{\partial_q} C_{q-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \partial_0 \\ (-\rightarrow 0) \end{smallmatrix}]{\varepsilon} R \rightarrow 0$$

over  $R(\Pi)$ , where  $C_q$  is the  $R(\Pi)$ -free module with base

$$[s_1, \dots, s_q] \quad (s_1, \dots, s_q \in \Pi),$$

and where we have put

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q[s_1, \dots, s_q] = & s_1[s_2, \dots, s_q] + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^i [s_1, \dots, s_i s_{i+1}, \dots, s_q] \\ & + (-1)^q [s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}], \end{aligned}$$

$$e[\ ] = 1$$

Then a translation  $\tau: C_* \rightarrow C_*^2 (= C_* \otimes_R C_*)$  extending the identity mapping of  $R$  is given as follows:

$$\tau_q[s_1, \dots, s_q] = [ \ ] \otimes [s_1, \dots, s_q] + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} [s_1, \dots, s_i] \otimes s_{i+1} \dots s_i [s_{i+1}, \dots, s_q] + [s_1, \dots, s_q] \otimes s_1 \dots s_q [ \ ] .$$

Therefore (54') is given by the multiplication

$$\text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_p, R) \times \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_q, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_{p+q}, R)$$

defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot g)([s_1, \dots, s_p, s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}]) & \\ = f([s_1, \dots, s_p]) \cdot g(s_1 \dots s_p [s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}]) & \\ = f([s_1, \dots, s_p]) \cdot s_1 \dots s_p g([s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}]) & \\ (f \in \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_p, R), g \in \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_q, R)) & \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we define  $R(\Pi)$ -homomorphisms  $f_k: X_{p+k} \rightarrow X_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots$ ) for given  $f \in \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_p, R)$  by

$$f_k([s_1, \dots, s_p, s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+k}]) = f([s_1, \dots, s_p]) \cdot s_1 \dots s_p [s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+k}],$$

then it is easy to verify

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \circ f_k = f_{k-1} \circ \partial_{p+k} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots), \\ \varepsilon \circ f_0 = f, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $f = \{f, f_0, f_1, \dots\}$  defines a translation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \rightarrow & C_{p+q} & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & C_{p+1} & \rightarrow & C_p & \rightarrow R_p \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \cdots \rightarrow & C_q & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & C_1 & \rightarrow & C_0 & \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where  $C_p R_p R = f$ . Thus, for  $g \in \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_q, R)$ , the composition product  $f_q \circ g \in \text{Hom}_{R(\Pi)}(C_{p+q}, R)$  which, by definition, represents the composition product of the elements in  $\text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, R)$  represented respectively by  $f$  and  $g$  is so obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_q \circ g)([s_1, \dots, s_p, s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}]) \\ &= g(f_q([s_1, \dots, s_p, s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}])) \\ &= g(f([s_1, \dots, s_p]) \cdot s_1 \dots s_p [s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+q}]) \\ &= f([s_1, \dots, s_p]) \cdot s_1 \dots s_p g([s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+k}]). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the identity  $f_q \circ g = f \cdot g$  and therefore, the coincidence of the product in  $H^*(\Pi, R)$  and the composition product in  $\text{Ext}_{R(\Pi)}(R, R)$ , q.e.d.

University of Tokyo