

#### 4. Permutation representations.

If  $G$  is a finite group and  $S$  a finite  $G$ -set we can consider the associated permutation representation  $V(S, F)$  of  $S$  over the commutative ring  $F$ . The assignment  $S \mapsto V(S, F)$  induces a ring homomorphism

$$h = h_F : A(G) \longrightarrow R(G; F)$$

of the Burnside ring into the representation ring. We shall describe some aspects of this homomorphism in particular when  $F$  is a field or the ring of integers  $Z$ . We describe the connection to the  $J$ -homomorphism of section 2 and to  $\lambda$ -rings.

##### 4.1. $p$ -adic completion.

Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. Let

$$A(G)_p^\wedge = \text{inv}_n \lim A(G)/p^n A(G) \cong A(G) \otimes_Z Z_p$$

be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $A(G)$ .

If  $|G| = p^n$  and  $m = q(1, p)$  we have seen in exercise 1.9.4 that  $m^{n+1} \subset p A(G) \subset m$ . Hence

##### Proposition 4.1.1.

If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group the  $p$ -adic and the  $m$ -adic topology on  $A(G)$  coincide.

Let now  $q$  be a prime different from  $p$ . Let  $e: R(G, F_q) \rightarrow Z: x \mapsto \dim x$  be the augmentation and  $I(G, F_p) = \text{Kernel } e$  the augmentation ideal.

The ring  $A(G)_p^\wedge$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $m^\wedge$ , the completion of  $m$ .

We now consider the case  $p \neq 2$ . Since  $A(G) [q^{-1}] \subset A(G)_p^\wedge$  we obtain from 2.1 the  $J$ -homomorphism

$$(4.1.2) \quad J : R(G, F_q) \longrightarrow A(G)_p^\wedge .$$

We notice that for an  $F_p G$ -module  $V$   $eJ(V - \dim V) = 1$ . Hence

$$(4.1.3) \quad JI(G, F_q) \subset 1 + m^\wedge .$$

The set  $1 + m^\wedge \subset A(G)_p^\wedge$  is compact and a topological group with respect to multiplication. A fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 1 is given by  $(1+m^\wedge)^i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , or  $(1+m^\wedge + p^j m^\wedge)$ . Since

$$J(p^i I(G, F_q)) \subset (1+m^\wedge)^{p^i} \subset 1+m^\wedge{}^{i+1}$$

we see that  $J : I(G, F_q) \longrightarrow 1+m^\wedge$  is  $p$ -adically continuous and therefore induces a continuous map

$$(4.1.4) \quad J^\wedge : I(G, F_q)_p^\wedge \longrightarrow 1+m^\wedge$$

homomorphic from addition to multiplication.

#### 4.2. Permutation representations over $F_q$ .

We still assume that  $p$  is odd and consider the permutation representation map and its  $p$ -adic completion

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} h : A(G) & \longrightarrow & R(G, F_q) \\ h^\wedge : A(G)_p^\wedge & \longrightarrow & R(G, F_q)_p^\wedge . \end{array}$$

Since  $h(m) \subset p R(G, F_q) + I(G, F_q)$  and because the  $p$ -adic and  $I(G, F_q)$ -adic topology on  $R(G, F_q)$  coincide (see [6]) we obtain an induced continuous map between multiplicative topological groups

$$(4.2.2) \quad h^\wedge: 1+m^\wedge \longrightarrow 1+I(G, F_q)^\wedge.$$

Definition 4.2.3.

We call the prime  $q$   $p$ -generic if it generates a dense subgroup of the  $p$ -adic units (i. e. if  $q$  generates  $Z/p^2Z^*$ ).

Theorem 4.2.4.

Let  $q$  be a  $p$ -generic prime. Then the composition

$$h^\wedge J^\wedge: I(G, F_q)^\wedge \longrightarrow 1+I(G, F_q)^\wedge$$

is an isomorphism.

In fact the proof will show that this is one of the isomorphisms which we had considered in the previous chapter on  $\lambda$ -rings, namely the map

$$\mathfrak{g}_q.$$

Proof.

In order to prove the equality  $h^\wedge J^\wedge = \mathfrak{g}_q$  we need only consider cyclic groups  $G = Z/p^nZ$  because  $J^\wedge$ ,  $h^\wedge$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_q$  are compatible with restriction to subgroups and elements in  $R(G, F_q)^\wedge$  are detected by their restriction to cyclic subgroups.

We begin with the computation of  $\mathfrak{g}_q$  for  $G = Z/p^nZ$ . The group algebra  $F_q G = F_q[x]/(x^a-1)$ ,  $a = p^n$ , decomposes as  $\bigoplus_{1 \leq t \leq n} F_q[x]/\phi_t(x)$ , where  $\phi_t(x)$  is the  $p^t$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. If  $q$  is  $p$ -generic then  $\phi_t(x)$  is irreducible. Hence the  $F_q[x]/\phi_t(x) =: V_t$  are the irreducible

$F_q G$ -modules in our case. By 3.12.2 we have the identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_q(V_t - \dim V_t) \Theta_q(\dim V_t) = \Theta_q(V_t).$$

Over a splitting field  $F$  of  $G$  the module  $V_t$  splits  $V_t = \bigoplus_j V_t(j)$ , where  $V_t(j)$  is onedimensional and a generator of  $G$  acts as multiplication with  $u^j$ , where  $u$  is a primitive  $p^t$ -th root of unity and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}/p^t \mathbb{Z}^*$ . Since the  $\Theta_q$ -operations are compatible with field extension we obtain from 3.7.2

$$\Theta_q(V_t) = \prod \Theta_q(V_t(j)) = \prod (1 + V_t(j) + \dots + V_t(j)^{q-1}).$$

It is enough, by naturality, to study this for  $t = n$ . We claim that in  $R(G, F) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^a - 1)$   $\Theta_q(V_n) = h(1 + bG)$  where  $b$  satisfies  $1 + bp^n = q^a$ . This means we have to check

$$\prod_j (1 + y^j + \dots + y^{j(q-1)}) = 1 + b(1 + y + \dots + y^{a-1}).$$

But this is true if we replace  $y$  by  $a$ -th roots of unity  $v$  and evaluation at such  $v$  determines elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^a - 1)$ . (This is essentially a computation with modular characters.) Now an easy checking of fixed point dimensions shows that  $J(V_n) = 1 + bG$ . This shows  $hJ(V_t) = \Theta_q(V_t)$  and therefore  $h^\wedge J^\wedge(V_t - \dim V_t) = \mathfrak{S}_q(V_t - \dim V_t)$ . The equality  $h^\wedge J^\wedge = \mathfrak{S}_q$  is now proved.

We now check that we are in a situation where 3.14.1 and 3.14.5 can be applied. To prove  $\psi^k V = V$  for  $(k, p) = 1$  and  $F_q G$ -modules  $V$  we again need only consider cyclic  $G$  and then this follows from the determination of the irreducible  $F_q G$ -modules above.

Remark 4.2.5.

If  $q$  is  $p$ -generic then the decomposition homomorphism

$$d : R(G, Q) \longrightarrow R(G, F_q)$$

(Serre [147], 15.2) is an isomorphism.

4.3. Representations of 2-groups over  $F_3$ .

We now consider the analogue of 4.2 for 2-groups and restrict attention to representations over  $F_3$ . We first recall what the theory of oriented  $\gamma$ -rings tells us in this case.

In this section  $G$  shall be a 2-group. We have the following objects

$$R(G, F_3) \supset RO(G, F_3) \supset RSO(G, F_3) \supset ISO(G, F_3) .$$

Here  $R(G, F_3)$  is the representation ring of  $F_3G$ -modules,  $RO$  the subring of those modules possessing a  $G$ -invariant quadratic form,  $RSO$  the subring of  $F_3G$ -modules on which each  $g \in G$  acts with determinant one, and  $ISO$  is the augmentation ideal of zero-dimensional objects.

The ring  $RSO(G, F_3)$  is an oriented  $\lambda$ -ring (3.10.2) and  $ISO(G, F_3)$  is an oriented  $\gamma$ -ring. Let  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  denote 2-adic completion. We have from 3.14.10

Proposition 4.3.1.

The map

$$\mathfrak{S}_3^{\text{or}} : ISO(G, F_3)^{\hat{\phantom{x}}} \longrightarrow 1 + ISO(G, F_3)^{\hat{\phantom{x}}}$$

is an isomorphism.

In order to relate this isomorphism to the J-homomorphism and to permutation representations we compute the map for cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ . We start with the representation ring.

We have a decomposition of the group ring

$$\mathbb{F}_3 G \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq t \leq n} \mathbb{F}_3[x]/\phi_t(x)$$

where  $\phi_t(x)$  is the  $2^t$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. The  $\phi_t$  are no longer irreducible for  $t \geq 3$ . If  $K_t = \mathbb{F}_3[u_t]$ , where  $u_t$  is a primitive  $2^t$ -th root of unity then  $[K_t : \mathbb{F}_3] = 2^{t-2}$ ,  $t \geq 3$ . Moreover  $\phi_2(x) = x^2 + 1$  is irreducible and  $K_2 = \mathbb{F}_3[u_2] = \mathbb{F}_9$ .

First assume  $t \geq 3$ . Let  $V_t$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_3 G$ -module  $K_t$  where a fixed generator  $g \in G$  acts as multiplication with  $u_t$ . Then the dual module  $V_t^* = \text{Hom}(V_t, \mathbb{F}_3)$  is  $K_t$  and  $g$  acting as  $u_t^{-1}$ . Moreover  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/\phi_t(x) \cong V_t \oplus V_t^*$  and  $V_t$  is not isomorphic to  $V_t^*$ . The module  $V_t$  cannot carry a  $G$ -invariant quadratic form, because this would imply  $V_t \cong V_t^*$ . But

$$V_t \oplus V_t^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3 : (x, y) \longmapsto \text{Tr}(xy)$$

is a  $G$ -invariant, non-degenerate quadratic form (where  $\text{Tr} : K_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$  is the trace map).

If  $t = 2$  let  $V_t = \mathbb{F}_3[u_2] = \mathbb{F}_9$  with  $g$  acting as multiplication with  $u_2$ . Then the norm map  $N : \mathbb{F}_9 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$  is a  $G$ -invariant quadratic form. The associated bilinear form is

$$b : \mathbb{F}_9 \times \mathbb{F}_9 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3 : (x, y) \longmapsto \varphi(x)y + x \varphi(y)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the Frobenius automorphism. The determinant of  $b$  is one.

Any  $G$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form must have determinant one in this case.

Finally there are two one dimensional representations,  $V_0$  the trivial representation, and  $V_1 = F_3$  with  $g$  acting as multiplication with  $-1$ . They both carry quadratic forms  $q : x \mapsto x^2$  or  $q^- : x \mapsto -x^2$ .

We now enter the computation of  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}$  for the elements  $V_1 - \dim V_1$ ,  $V_2 - \dim V_2$ ,  $V_t + V_t^* - \dim(V_t + V_t^*)$ . It is sufficient to compute  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}$  of the corresponding modules. Since character computations are easier, we compute for  $QG$ -module and then use the decomposition homomorphism. Let

$$W_t = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \phi_t(x), \quad t \geq 1$$

with  $g$  acting as multiplication with  $x$ . Let  $S_t$  be the homogeneous  $G$ -set with  $2^t$  elements and  $V(S_t)$  its permutation representation. Let  $a_t$  be the cardinality of  $K_t$ . Then we have

Proposition 4.3.1.

For  $t \geq 3$ :

$$\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_t) = V(S_1) - V(S_0) + 2^{-t}(a_t - 1)V(S_t).$$

Moreover

$$\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_2) = V(S_0) - V(S_1) + V(S_2)$$

$$\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_1 \oplus W_1) = V(S_0) - 2V(S_1) .$$

Proof.

Suppose  $t \geq 3$ . We compute the character of  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_t)$ . Over a splitting field  $W_t$  decomposes as  $W_t = \bigoplus_j (W_t(j) + W_t(-j))$  where  $W_t(j)$  is one-dimensional with  $g$  acting as multiplication with  $(u_t)^j$  and  $1 \leq j = 2k + 1 < 2^{t-1}$ . From 3.10.12 we obtain

$$\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_t) = \prod_j (1 + W_t(j) \oplus W_t(-j))$$

with character value at  $g$  equal to

$$\prod_j (1 + u^j + u^{-j}), \quad u = u_t.$$

This product is  $-1$ , as can be seen by using the identity

$$\prod_j (x + x^{-1} - (u^j + u^{-j})) = x^{-2^{t-2}} \phi_t(x)$$

and evaluating at  $x$  a cubic root of unity. The character value of  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_t)$  at non-generators  $x \neq 1$  of  $G$  is  $-1$ . The character value at  $1$  is  $a_t$ . It is an easy matter to check that the permutation representation of  $S_1 - S_0 + 2^{-t}(a_t - 1)S_t$  has the same character.

Finally  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_2) = 1 + W_2$ ,  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}(W_1 \oplus W_1) = 1 + W_1 \oplus W_1$  and the assertion of the proposition is easily verified.

Connecting  $\theta_3^{\text{or}}$  with the quadratic  $J$ -homomorphism and permutation representations presents the difficulty that permutation representations do not generally preserve the orientation. We deal therefore with this problem first.

Let  $A_0(G) \subset A(G)$  be the subring generated by finite  $G$ -sets  $S$  on which each  $g \in G$  acts through even permutations.



If  $S$  is any finite  $G$ -set we can assign to it a homomorphism

$$s(S) : G \longrightarrow Z^* : g \longmapsto \text{signum}(l_g)$$

where  $l_g : S \longrightarrow S$  is left translation by  $g$ . The assignment  $S \longmapsto s(S)$  induces a homomorphism

$$s : A(G) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, Z^*)$$

from the additive group of  $A(G)$  into the multiplicative group  $\text{Hom}(G, Z^*)$ . The kernel of  $s$  is  $A_0(G)$ . Let

$$j : \text{Hom}(G, Z^*) \longrightarrow A(G)$$

be given by

$$j(f) = |G/H_f| - |G/H_f| + 1$$

where  $H_f = \text{kernel } f$ . Then  $j$  maps into  $A(G)^*$ . Since  $2A(G) \subset \text{kernel } s$  everything passes to the 2-adic completions. Let  $\text{sign}$  be the composition

$$(4.3.3) \quad \text{sign} : A(G)^\wedge \xrightarrow{s} \text{Hom}(G/Z^*) \xrightarrow{j} \hat{A}(G) \subset A(G)^\wedge$$

Then  $A(G)^\wedge \longrightarrow A(G)^\wedge : x \longmapsto x + \text{sign}(x) - 1$  has an image in  $A_0(G)^\wedge$  and does not change the cardinality.

Let  $QS(G, F_3)$  be the monoid of orientation preserving  $F_3G$ -modules with quadratic form under orthogonal sum. Denote  $f : QS(G, F_3) \longrightarrow \text{ISO}(G, F_3)$  the map  $(M, q) \longmapsto M - \dim M$ .

We define a modified quadratic J-map

$$J' : QS(G, F_3) \longrightarrow A_0(G)^\wedge$$

by  $J'(M, q) = (JQ(M, q) + \text{sign } JQ(M, q) - 1)_1$  where  $(-)_1$  means that we divide the value in the bracket by its cardinality (which is a power of 3, hence invertible in  $A_0(G)^\wedge$ ).

Theorem 4.3.4.

The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QS(G, F_3) & \xrightarrow{J'} & A_0(G)^\wedge \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow h \\ ISO(G, F_3) & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{3} \text{ or } \frac{2}{3}} & RSO(G, F_3)^\wedge \end{array} .$$

Proof.

It is sufficient to consider cyclic groups  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ . In that case any  $(M, q)$  is orthogonal sum of forms carried by one of the modules  $V_t + V_t^*$ ,  $t \geq 3$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $V_1 \oplus V_1$ . In the case of  $V_t + V_t^*$  the form must be hyperbolic. From 2.3.4 one obtains  $JQ(V_t \oplus V_t^*, q) = 1 + 2^{-t}(a_t - 1)S_t$  (compare 4.3.2). Since  $\text{sign } S_t = S_1 - 1$  we compute  $J'(V_t \oplus V_t^*, q) = a_t^{-1}(S_1 - 1 + 2^{-t}(a_t - 1)S_t)$  and with 4.3.2 we obtain the desired commutativity. The remaining cases give the following results:

$$JQ(V_2, q) = 1 - S_2, \quad J'(V_2, q) = \frac{1}{3}(1 - S_1 + S_2)$$

$$JQ(V_1 \oplus V_1, q \oplus q) = JQ(V_1 \oplus V_1, q^- \oplus q^-) = 1 - 2S_1$$

$$J'(V_1 \oplus V_1, q \oplus q) = \frac{1}{3}(2S_1 - 1)$$

$$JQ(V_1 \oplus V_1, q \oplus q^-) = 1 + S_1, \quad J'(V_1 \oplus V_1, q \oplus q^-) = \frac{1}{3}(2S_1 - 1).$$

Again with 4.3.2 we obtain the desired commutativity.

#### 4.4. Permutation representations over $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The previous investigations can be used to give a very round-about prove of

##### Theorem 4.4.1.

Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. Then

$$h_{\mathbb{Q}} : A(G) \longrightarrow R(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective.

We make various remarks how this is related to the forgoing results. We have decomposition homomorphisms  $d_q : R(G, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow R(G, \mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $d_3 : R(G, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow RO(G; \mathbb{F}_3)$ . If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group,  $p \neq q$  and  $q$  is  $p$ -generic then  $d_q$  is an isomorphism. If  $G$  is a 2-group then  $d_3$  is an isomorphism. In order to show that  $h_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is surjective one can therefore try to show the same for  $h_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  or  $h_{\mathbb{F}_3}$ .

It is now easy to show that the cokernel of  $h_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is annihilated by the order of the group  $G$ . This can be seen as follows. The characters in  $R(G, \mathbb{Q})$  are constant on conjugacy classes and the set of generators of a cyclic group. If  $H \triangleleft G$  is cyclic then  $h(G/H)(g)$  is non-zero if and only if  $g$  is conjugate to an element in  $H$  and  $h(G/H)(g) = |G/H^g|$  is divisible by  $|NH/H|$ . Hence any class function which is constant on generator sets of cyclic groups is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $|NH/H|^{-1} h(G/H)$ ,  $H \triangleleft G$  cyclic. As a consequence  $h_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is surjective for a  $p$ -group if the  $p$ -adic completion is surjective. For  $p \neq 2$  this follows immediately from 4.2.4. For  $p = 2$  one deduces from 4.3.4 that

$A_0(G) \longrightarrow RSO(G)$  is surjective. But if  $V$  is any  $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module let  $D(V)$  be its determinant module. Then  $D(V) \oplus 1$  is a permutation representation and  $V \oplus D(V) \oplus 1$  is orientation preserving. Hence  $V = V \oplus D(V) \oplus 1 - D(V) \oplus 1$  is in the image of  $d_0$ .

#### 4.5. Comments.

The material in this section is taken from Segal [146]. The presentation in 4.3 is unsatisfactory; I hope some reader can elaborate on it. There are important connections between the Burnside ring and integral permutation representations, see Oliver [121], [122] and the references there to earlier work of Dress and Endo-Miyata. For 4.4.1 see also Ritter [133].