

ON THE STRUCTURE OF ABELIAN HOPF ALGEBRAS

TILMAN BAUER

ABSTRACT. We study the structure of the category of graded, connected, countable-dimensional, commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebras over a perfect field k of characteristic p . Every p -torsion object in this category is uniquely a direct sum of explicitly given indecomposables. This gives rise to a similar classification of not necessarily p -torsion objects that are either free as commutative algebras or cofree as cocommutative coalgebras. We also completely classify those objects that are indecomposable modulo p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Building on work of Hopf [Hop41] and Borel [Bor54], Milnor and Moore’s classical paper [MM65] described the structure of graded, connected, commutative Hopf algebras over a field k as *algebras* and a classification as *Hopf algebras* in the case where they are primitively generated. In the simplest case, when the characteristic of k is 0 and the Hopf algebras in question are abelian (i.e., commutative and cocommutative), this is the end of the story. In that case, the primitives and indecomposables coincide and thus H is primitively generated. However, a classification in positive characteristic seemed less approachable. Some years later, Schoeller [Sch70] observed that the category of abelian Hopf algebras over a perfect field k of characteristic p is abelian and thus, by the Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem, isomorphic to the category of graded modules over a ring, which she described. This is Dieudonné theory in a graded setting.

Although Dieudonné theory has been very successfully applied in various topological and algebraic contexts, it seems that the original question of classification has fallen into a bit of neglect. This paper aims to fix this, to the extent possible.

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p . Let Hopf be the category of nonnegatively graded, connected (i.e. $H_0 = k$), abelian Hopf algebras over k and Hopf^ω the full subcategory of Hopf algebras that have countable dimension over k . We will refer to objects of Hopf simply as “abelian Hopf algebras” and to objects of Hopf^ω as “countable abelian Hopf algebras.”

If H is a *graded-commutative* Hopf algebra and $\text{char}(k) > 2$ then there is a natural splitting $H = H^{\text{even}} \otimes H^{\text{odd}}$ [Bou96, Prop. A.4] where H^{even} is concentrated in even degrees and H^{odd} is the exterior algebra on the odd-dimensional primitive elements of H . Thus odd Hopf algebras are simply classified by the underlying graded k -vector space of primitive elements. We will therefore concentrate on commutative Hopf algebras (i.e. not graded-commutative) without losing generality.

Date: March 3, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57T05, 16T05.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebras, Dieudonné theory, classification.

The author would like to thank the Mittag-Leffler Institute for supporting this research.

The following classification result concerns abelian Hopf algebras H that are p -torsion in the sense that the multiplication-by- p map $[p]$ in the abelian group $\text{End}(H)$ is trivial:

Theorem 1.1. *Every countable abelian p -torsion Hopf algebra decomposes uniquely into a tensor product of indecomposable Hopf algebras. An abelian p -torsion Hopf algebra is indecomposable if and only if it is isomorphic to a Hopf algebra $H(r, m, I)$ described below, indexed by a tuple (r, m, I) with $r \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbf{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ (resp. $I \subset \mathbf{N}$ if $m = \infty$).*

For Hopf algebras of finite type, this theorem was proven by Touz e [Tou21, Section 9] in the context of “exponential functors”, using results from [CB18] on representations of string algebras. The countability condition cannot be dropped, cf. Example 5.2.

Similarly to the classification of finitely generated modules over PIDs, the uniqueness statement is not (falsely) claiming that the decomposition is natural. It is instead to be understood as a Krull–Schmidt property, i.e. that the unordered sequence of indices (r, m, I) occurring in the decomposition into indecomposables is the same for every such decomposition.

Explicitly, the Hopf algebra $H(r, m, I)$ is given as an algebra by

$$H(r, m, I) = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m] / \left(x_{i-1}^p - \begin{cases} x_i; & i \in I \\ 0; & i \notin I \end{cases}, \quad |x_i| = rp^i, \right)$$

and it has a unique coalgebra structure that makes it indecomposable as a Hopf algebra. Note that $H(r, m, I)$ has dimension 1 in degrees $r, 2r, \dots, (p^{m+1} - 1)r$ and is trivial in all other dimensions.

A similarly satisfying classification does not exist for general (non- p -torsion) abelian Hopf algebras. There are indecomposable Hopf algebras of arbitrarily large dimension in any given degree. The situation greatly improves if H is free as an algebra or cofree as a coalgebra:

Theorem 1.2. *Given any tuple (r, m, I) , there exist*

- *a unique Hopf algebra $H_f(r, m, I)$ which is free as an algebra, and*
- *a unique Hopf algebra $H_c(r, m, I)$ which is cofree as a coalgebra*

such that $H_f(r, m, I)/[p] \cong H(r, m, I) \cong H_c(r, m, I)/[p]$. Any countable abelian Hopf algebra which is free as an algebra or cofree as a coalgebra decomposes uniquely into a tensor product of Hopf algebras isomorphic to $H_f(r, m, I)$ (resp. $H_c(r, m, I)$).

The condition of being free as an algebra is strictly weaker than being free over a coalgebra, or being a projective object in Hopf algebras.


In the general case, we can at least classify all Hopf algebras H with a given indecomposable reduction modulo $[p]$. We define:

Definition. A *basic Hopf graph* is a (finite or infinite) connected chain of arrows with end points in $\mathbf{N}_0 \times \mathbf{N}_0$ with the following properties:

- (1) Every arrow goes either from (i, j) to $(i + 1, j)$, from $(i + 1, j)$ to (i, j) , from $(i, j + 1)$ to $(i + 1, j)$, or from $(i + 1, j + 1)$ to (i, j) .
- (2) There is an arrow with end point $(0, 0)$.
- (3) Every arrow shares each of its end point with exactly one other arrow, unless the end point lies on the x -axis (i.e. is of the form $(i, 0)$), in which case it may share its end point with no other arrow.

Denote by \mathcal{H} the set of basic Hopf graphs.

So, a basic Hopf graph looks something like this:



To any basic Hopf graph Γ , we can associate a pair (m_Γ, I_Γ) as in Thm. 1.1, where m_Γ is the horizontal length of Γ and $i \in I_\Gamma$ iff there is a right or right-down pointing arrow from $i - 1$ to i in Γ .

In the following theorem, certain Hopf algebras are classified by the behavior of the Frobenius (p th power) map $F: H_i \rightarrow H_{pi}$ and its dual, the Verschiebung $V: H_{pi} \rightarrow H_i$:

Theorem 1.3. *For every basic Hopf graph Γ , there exists a unique Hopf algebra $H = H(\Gamma)$ such that $H/[p] \cong H(0, m_\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$ and with the properties:*

- (1) $V: H_{p^i} \rightarrow H_{p^{i-1}}$ is injective iff Γ contains an arrow from (i, j) to $(i - 1, j)$ or an arrow from $(i - 1, j)$ to $(i, j - 1)$;
- (2) $F: H_{p^{i-1}} \rightarrow H_{p^i}$ is injective iff Γ contains an arrow from $(i - 1, j)$ to (i, j) or an arrow from (i, j) to $(i - 1, j - 1)$.

Any Hopf algebra whose mod- $[p]$ reduction is indecomposable is isomorphic to $H(r, \Gamma)$ for exactly one pair (r, Γ) . Here $H(r, \Gamma)$ denotes the Hopf algebra $H(\Gamma)$ with all degrees multiplied by r : $H(r, \Gamma)_i = H(\Gamma)_{\frac{i}{r}}$.

Once translated into statements about Dieudonné modules, none of the methods and proofs in this paper use sophisticated techniques.

An early attempt at classifying abelian Hopf algebras was made in [Wra67], but that paper contains some key errors that invalidate its main results, such as that the category of abelian Hopf algebras does not have projectives or injectives (it does), that the homological dimension is 1 (it is 2 [Sch70]), and a classification of indecomposable objects. One such error is the assumption that two Hopf algebras which are isomorphic both as algebras and as coalgebras, must be isomorphic as Hopf algebras [Wra67, 4.9]. A counterexample to this is Kuhn's "cautionary example" [Kuh20, Example 1.13].

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Antoine Touzé for making me aware of his prior proof of Theorem 1.1 in the finite-type case and the essential role string algebras play in it.

2. p -TYPICAL SPLITTINGS AND THE DIEUDONNÉ EQUIVALENCE

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

Recall the classical Dieudonné equivalence in the graded context [Sch70]. Denote by $W(k)$ the ring of p -typical Witt vectors of k and by $\text{frob}: W(k) \rightarrow W(k)$ its Frobenius, i.e. the unique lift of the p th power map on k to a linear map on $W(k)$. Denote by DMod the category of Dieudonné modules, i.e. positively graded $W(k)$ -modules together with additive operators $F: M_n \rightarrow M_{pn}$ and $V: M_{pn} \rightarrow M_n$, for each n , satisfying

- $FV = VF = p$

- $F(\lambda x) = \text{frob}(\lambda)F(x)$ for $\lambda \in W(k)$, $x \in M$;
- $V(\text{frob}(\lambda)x) = \lambda V(x)$ for $\lambda \in W(k)$, $x \in M$.

By convention, $V(x) = 0$ unless $p \mid |x|$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Sch70]). *There is an equivalence of abelian categories*

$$D: \text{Hopf} \rightarrow \text{DMod}$$

Let us call a module $M \in \text{DMod}$ *p-typical of type j*, where j is an integer coprime to p , if $M_i = 0$ unless $i = jp^a$ for some $a \geq 0$. Denote the full subcategory of *p*-typical Dieudonné modules of type j by $\text{DMod}^{(j)}$. Similarly, a graded, connected, abelian Hopf algebra H is called *p-typical of type j* if $H_i = 0$ unless $i = jp^k$ for some k . Denote the full subcategory of *p*-typical Hopf algebras of type j by $\text{Hopf}^{(j)}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([Sch70, §2]). *A Hopf algebra H is p-typical of type j iff $D(H)$ is a p-typical Dieudonné module of type j. There are splittings of categories*

$$\text{DMod} \simeq \prod_{(j,p)=1} \text{DMod}^{(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hopf} \simeq \prod_{(j,p)=1} \text{Hopf}^{(j)}$$

compatible with the Dieudonné functor D . Finally, for any j coprime to p , there is an equivalence of categories

$$\Lambda^{(j)} \text{DMod}^{(j)} \simeq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}},$$

where $\mathcal{R} = W(k)[s, t]/(st - p)$ is a \mathbf{Z} -graded commutative ring with $|s| = 1$, $|t| = -1$, and $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$ denotes the category of nonnegatively graded modules over it.

Proof. The preservation of *p*-typicality under the Dieudonné functor follows from its construction. The *p*-typical splitting on the module level is obvious because F and V preserve the type j . The corresponding splitting of Hopf algebras follows.

Finally, construct a functor $\Lambda^{(j)}: \text{DMod}^{(j)} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$ by

$$\Lambda^{(j)}(M)_n = M_{jp^n} \quad \text{with } W(k)\text{-module structure given by } \lambda \cdot x = \lambda^{p^n} x$$

and define $s: \Lambda^{(j)}(M)_n \rightarrow \Lambda^{(j)}(M)_{n+1}$ by $s(x) = F(x)$ and similarly $t(x) = V(x)$. Since k is perfect, frob is bijective on $W(k)$ and $\Lambda^{(j)}$ is an equivalence of categories. \square

Essentially the same results were also obtained by Ravenel [Rav75]. Using them, the classification of abelian Hopf algebras is thus reduced to the classification of modules in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$. We let

$$(2.3) \quad D^{(j)}: \text{Hopf}^{(j)} \xrightarrow{D} \text{DMod}^{(j)} \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{(j)}} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}$$

denote the composite equivalence between *p*-typical Hopf algebras of type j and modules over \mathcal{R} .

3. THE CLASSIFICATION OF ABELIAN *p*-TORSION HOPF ALGEBRAS

In this section, we give a self-contained and elementary proof of Thm. 1.1.

As an object of an abelian category, any abelian Hopf algebra H has a multiplication-by- p map, classically denoted by $[p]: H \rightarrow H$. This map is given by the p -fold comultiplication followed by the p -fold multiplication:

$$[p]: H \xrightarrow{\Delta^{p-1}} H^{\otimes p} \xrightarrow{\mu^{p-1}} H.$$

In this section, we classify countable p -torsion Hopf algebras, i. e. abelian Hopf algebras for which $[p] = 0$. From an algebro-geometric point of view, these represent \mathbf{F}_p -module schemes rather than abelian group schemes. Since the Dieudonné equivalence is an equivalence of abelian categories, the full subcategory of p -torsion Hopf algebras is equivalent to the category of p -torsion Dieudonné modules. By Lemma 2.2, the category of p -typical p -torsion Hopf algebras (of any type) is thus equivalent to the category of nonnegatively graded modules over $\mathcal{R}/(p) \cong k[s, t]/(st)$, and the full subcategory of countable objects is equivalent to the subcategory of modules that are countable-dimensional as k -modules.

Purity. For any ring R , a submodule P of an R -module M is called *pure* if the inclusion map stays injective after tensoring with any other R -module. Direct summands are examples of pure submodules, and in fact every pure submodule is a filtered colimit of direct summands [AR94, 2.30]. Thus the notion of purity is really only interesting when studying infinitely generated modules. An equivalent characterization of purity is: $P < M$ is pure iff each linear equation $Ax = b$ with $b \in P^m$, $A \in R^{m \times n}$, that is solvable in M , is also solvable in P (cf. [Lam99, Thm. 4.89]).

In the category of graded $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -modules, purity can thus be characterized concretely:

Lemma 3.1. *A submodule $P < M$ of a $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module is pure iff for each i ,*

$$sM_i \cap P_{i+1} = sP_i \quad \text{and} \quad tM_{i+1} \cap P_i = tP_{i+1}.$$

□

Definition. Let $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ ($I \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ if $m = \infty$). Define an $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module

$$M(m, I) = k\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle \quad (k\langle x_0, x_1, \dots \rangle \text{ if } m = \infty)$$

with

$$tx_i = \begin{cases} x_{i-1}; & i \notin I \\ 0; & i \in I \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad sx_{i-1} = \begin{cases} x_i; & i \in I \\ 0; & i \notin I. \end{cases}$$

One can graphically depict $M(m, I)$ by a chain of arrows pointing either left or right, depending on whether or not $i \in I$:

$$(3.2) \quad M(5, \{1, 3, 4\}): x_0 \xrightarrow{s} x_1 \xleftarrow{t} x_2 \xrightarrow{s} x_3 \xrightarrow{s} x_4 \xleftarrow{t} x_5.$$

Obviously, neither the arrow labels nor the “ x_i ” carry any additional information, so we omit them.

When $M(m, I)$ is a submodule of a module M , purity is easy to test:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $j: M(m, I) \hookrightarrow M$ be a monomorphism. Then j is pure iff*

- (1) $m = \infty$, or
- (2) $x_m \notin tM_{m+1}$.

Proof. The direction \Rightarrow is clear from Lemma 3.1. To verify the converse, suppose that j is not pure and hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists an i such that either $x_i \in sM_{i-1} - sP_{i-1}$ or $x_i \in tM_{i+1} - tP_{i+1}$.

However, if $x_i \in sM_{i-1}$ then $tx_i = 0$ because $ts = 0$ and hence, by the definition of $M(m, I)$, $x_i = sx_{i-1}$, so the first case cannot occur.

For the second case, suppose $x_i \in tM_{i+1}$. This implies that $sx_i = 0$. Thus if $i < m$, by the definition of $M(m, I)$, $x_i = tx_{i+1}$, so this case can only occur if $i = m < \infty$ and $x_m \in tM_m + 1$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be a nonnegatively graded module over $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ and $x_0 \in M_0 - \{0\}$. Then there exists an index (m, I) and a pure injection $i: M(m, I) \rightarrow M$ with $i(x_0) = x_0$.*

Proof. An injection $M(m, I) \rightarrow M$ is given by a sequence of nonzero elements $(x_j \in M_j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$ such that either $sx_j = x_{j+1}$ or $tx_{j+1} = x_j$. We construct this sequence by induction, the base case dictated by $i(x_0) = x_0$. Suppose x_j is constructed. If $sx_j \neq 0$, let $x_{j+1} = sx_j$. Otherwise, if $x_j \in tM_{j+1}$, choose $x_{j+1} \in t^{-1}(x_j)$ arbitrarily. Finally, if $sx_j = 0$ and $x_j \notin tM_{j+1}$, set $m = j$ and stop.

By Prop. 3.3, i is pure. \square

Initial submodules. We define a well-ordering on the set of all (m, I) as follows: $(m, I) \leq (m', I')$ if

- (1) $m \leq m'$ and $I' \cap \{1, \dots, m\} = I$, or
- (2) There exists an $i \in I' - I$ such that $I \cap \{1, \dots, i-1\} = I' \cap \{1, \dots, i-1\}$.

In other words, this is the lexicographic order on the (finite or infinite) words in s and t as in (3.2), where the letter t comes before the letter s .

Definition. Let M be a nonnegatively graded module over $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ and $m_0 \in M_0 - \{0\}$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(m, I) \mid \text{There exists a pure injection } i: M(m, I) \rightarrow M \text{ with } i(x_0) = m_0.\}$$

We call the minimal element (m, I) of \mathcal{S} in the order defined above the *initial index* for m_0 in M , and any pure injection $i: M(m, I) \rightarrow M$ with $i(x_0) = m_0$ an *initial submodule* for m_0 in M .

Note that the set \mathcal{S} is never empty by Lemma 3.4, and hence an initial submodule always exists for any nontrivial $m_0 \in M$.

The following result allows us to always split initial submodules off of an $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module M :

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a nonnegatively graded module over $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ and $m_0 \in M_0 - \{0\}$. Let $i: M(m, I) \rightarrow M$ be an initial submodule for m_0 in M . Then i has a retraction.*

Proof. We will freely consider $M(m, I)$ as a submodule of M and identify $i(x_j)$ with x_j (and in particular, $x_0 = m_0$).

The structure of the argument is as follows. In a first step, we construct an ‘‘orthogonal’’ submodule $V_M(m, I)$, so that $M(m, I) \oplus V_M(m, I) \leq M$. As a second step, we construct a complement M' of $M(m, I) \oplus V_M(m, I)$ as k -vector spaces in a particular way that allows us to show, in the third step, that although M' is not an $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module complement to $M(m, I) \oplus V_M(m, I)$, $V_M(m, I) \oplus M'$ is an $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -complement to $M(m, I)$.

Step 1. Define the submodule $V_M(m, I) < M$ as follows. For $0 \leq a < b \leq m$, define the truncation $M(m, I)_a^b$ to be the subquotient of $M(m, I)$ generated by

x_a, \dots, x_{b-1} with $tx_a = 0, sx_{b-1} = 0$. Set

$$V_M(m, I) = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in I \cup \{0\} \\ a < b \in I \\ f: M(m, I)_a^b \rightarrow M}} \text{im}(f),$$

or $V_M(m, I) = 0$ if $I = \emptyset$. For a given $i \geq 0$, let $a \in I \cup \{0\}$ be the largest index smaller or equal to i . Then we have that

$$V_M(m, I)_i = \bigcup_{\substack{a < b \in I \\ f: M(m, I)_a^b \rightarrow M}} \text{im}(f)_i$$

is an increasing union of k -vector spaces and hence itself a k -vector space. Hence $V_M(m, I)$ is a sub- $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -modules of M . I claim that $M(m, I) \cap V_M(m, I) = 0$. It suffices to show that $x_i \notin V_M(m, I)_i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq m$, where x_i is the defining generator of $M(m, I)$ in degree i . Suppose to the contrary that there exists a map $f: M(m, I)_a^b \rightarrow M$ such that $f(x'_i) = x_i$, where we denote the defining generators of $M(m, I)_a^b$ by x'_i to avoid confusion. We may choose $a = \max\{j \in I \cup \{0\} \mid j \leq i\}$ and b minimal. Then we must have that $f(x'_{b-1}) \neq 0$ and $sx'_{b-1} = 0$ by definition. Thus $(x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, \dots, x'_{b-1})$ defines an injective map $M(b-1, I \cap \{1, \dots, b-1\}) \rightarrow M$, contradicting the minimality of (m, I) .

Thus we conclude that $N := M(m, I) \oplus V_M(m, I) \subseteq M$.

Step 2. We proceed by induction to construct a k -linear complement M' of N in M in such a way that $M' \oplus V_M(m, I)$ is a $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module.

Let M'_0 be any k -vector space complement of N_0 in M . Suppose M'_{i-1} is constructed. For the construction of M'_i , we distinguish between two cases:

- (1) $i \notin I$ (including the case $i > m$).

I claim that $t^{-1}M'_{i-1} + N_i = M_i$. Indeed, let $y \in M_i$ be any element. By induction, $ty = x + z$ for $x \in N_{i-1}$ and $z \in M'_{i-1}$. We have that $t: N_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}$ is surjective by the construction of $V_M(m, I)$ and the pureness of $M(m, I)$. Thus there exists $\tilde{x} \in N_i$ such that $t\tilde{x} = x$. Then we have that $t(y - \tilde{x}) = z \in M'_{i-1}$, hence $y = \tilde{x} + (y - \tilde{x}) \in N_i + t^{-1}M'_{i-1}$, proving the claim. Define M'_i to be a complement of N_i inside $t^{-1}M'_{i-1}$.

- (2) $i \in I$.

We define M'_i to be an arbitrary complement of N_i in M_i containing sM'_{i-1} . For this to work, we need to see that $sM'_{i-1} \cap N_i = 0$. So suppose that there exists a $y \in M'_{i-1}$ with $sy = \alpha x_i + z$ with $z \in V_M(m, I)$. Let $f: M(m, I)_a^b \rightarrow M$ be a map such that $z = f(x_i)$; we may without loss of generality choose $a = i$. Let a^- be the largest element in $I \cup \{0\}$ smaller than a . Then the existence of the map

$$\tilde{f}: M(m, I)_{a^-}^b \rightarrow M; \quad \tilde{f}(x_j) = \begin{cases} f(x_j); & j \geq a \\ t^{a-j-1}(y - \alpha x_{i-1}); & a^- \leq j < a. \end{cases}$$

shows that $y - \alpha x_{i-1} \in V_M(m, I)$, and hence $y \in M(m, I) \oplus V_M(m, I)$, a contradiction.

Step 3. It remains to show that $V_M(m, I) \oplus M'$ is closed under multiplication with s and t . Since $V_M(m, I)$ already is, we only have to show this for elements of M' . Let $y \in M'_i$ and $ty = \alpha x_{i-1} + z$, where $x_{i-1} \in M(m, I)_{i-1}$ is the defining generator and $z \in V_M(m, I) \oplus M'$. To show $\alpha = 0$, we again distinguish cases:

- (1) $i > m + 1$. There is nothing to show since $M(m, I)_{i-1} = 0$.
- (2) $i \leq m, i \in I$. Then $0 = sty = \alpha sx_{i-1} + sz = \alpha x_i + sz$. Since tz and x_i are linearly independent, $\alpha = 0$.
- (3) $i \leq m + 1, i \notin I$. Then $\alpha = 0$ because $ty \in M'_{i-1}$ by construction.

Similarly, for $sy = \alpha x_{i+1} + z$, we have the cases

- (1) $i \geq m$. There is nothing to show since $M(m, I)_i = 0$.
- (2) $i + 1 \notin I$. Then $0 = tsy = \alpha tx_{i+1} + tz = \alpha x_i + tz$. Since tz and x_i are linearly independent, $\alpha = 0$.
- (3) $i + 1 \in I$. By construction of M'_{i+1} , $F(y) \in M'$ and hence $\alpha = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *An $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module is indecomposable if and only if it is isomorphic to a suspension of some $M(m, I)$.*

Proof. By construction, $M(m, I)$ is indecomposable. Given any nontrivial $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module M of connectivity $s \geq -1$, the desuspension $\Sigma^{-s-1}M$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $M(m, I)$ by Thm. 3.5, hence $\Sigma^{s+1}M(m, I)$ is a direct summand of M . In particular, if M is indecomposable, $M \cong \Sigma^{s+1}M(m, I)$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a nonnegatively graded module over $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ which has a countable basis over k . Then M is isomorphic to a direct sum of suspensions of indecomposable modules of the form $M(m, I)$ defined above.* \square

Proof. We will nest two countable constructions: *Claim:* M can be written as a sum $M^{(0)} \oplus M^{(\geq 1)}$, where $M^{(0)}$ is a countable direct sum of indecomposable modules of the form $M(m, I)$ and $M_0^{(\geq 1)} = 0$.

Given this claim, we can iterate its decomposition for $\Sigma^{-1}M^{(\geq 1)}$ to produce direct summands $M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots$ such that $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{(i)}$.

To prove the claim, we suppose M_0 is countably infinite dimensional over k with basis x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots ; the finite-dimensional case is similar but easier. By induction, we will construct a decomposition $M \cong N^1 \oplus N^2 \oplus \dots \oplus N^j \oplus R^{j+1}$, where N^i if of the form $M(m, I)$, $R_j \twoheadrightarrow R_{j+1}$, $N^j < R^j$, and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^j N_0^i = \text{span}(x_0^1, \dots, x_0^j)$ for all j . Indeed, suppose this decomposition is constructed for $j - 1$, the base case $j = 0$ being given by $R^1 = M$. Then $x_j = y_j + z_j$ for $y_j \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j-1} N^i$ and $z_j \in R^j$. Furthermore, $z_j \neq 0$ because $x_j \notin \text{span}(x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{j-1}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j-1} N^i$. By Theorem 3.5, there exists an initial submodule N^j for z_j in R_j , completing the inductive step.

Now let $M^{(\geq 1)} = \text{colim}_j R_j$. Then $M \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} N^i \right) \oplus M^{(\geq 1)}$, and $M_0^{(\geq 1)} = 0$. \square

We next address the question of uniqueness of the decomposition of Cor. 3.7. This is essentially the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem in a graded context. We use it in the form proved by Gabriel:

Theorem 3.8 ([Gab62, §I.1, Théorème 1]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a Grothendieck category. If $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(N_j)_{j \in J}$ are two families of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} with local endomorphism rings such that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} N_j$ then there exists a bijection $h: I \rightarrow J$ such that $M_i \cong N_{h(i)}$.* \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $M \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \Sigma^{n_j} M(m_j, I_j) \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m'} \Sigma^{n'_i} M(m'_i, I'_i)$, where $0 \leq m, m' \leq \infty$. Then the unordered sequences of triples (n_j, m_j, I_j) and (n'_i, m'_i, I'_i) are equal.*

Proof. A Grothendieck category is an AB5 category with a generator, and the category of nonnegatively graded $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -modules satisfies these conditions. Any indecomposable object is of the form $\Sigma^n M(m, I)$ by Cor. 3.6, and $\text{End}(\Sigma^n M(m, I)) \cong k$ is indeed local. Thus Theorem 3.8 applies to give the result. \square

With this, all ingredients are in place to prove the first main theorem.

Proof of Thm. 1.1. Let $r = jp^{r'}$ with $p \nmid j$.

Define $H(r, m, I) = D^{(j)} \Sigma^{r'} M(m, I)$, using the p -typical Dieudonné equivalence (2.3). By Lemma 2.2, every abelian Hopf algebra splits naturally and uniquely into a tensor product of p -typical parts of various types, so it suffices to show the theorem for p -typical Hopf algebras of type j . The existence of the splitting follows from Cor. 3.7, and the uniqueness from Cor. 3.9.

It suffices to show that the characterization of $H(r, m, I)$ in the introduction is correct. Let H be any abelian Hopf algebra isomorphic as algebras to

$$k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m] / \left(x_{i-1}^p - \begin{cases} x_i; & i \in I \\ 0; & i \notin I \end{cases}, \quad |x_i| = rp^i \right).$$

Then H is j -typical, and $M = D^{(j)}(H) \cong \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle$ with $s(x_{i-1} = x_i)$ iff $i \in I$. In order for H , and hence M , to be indecomposable, it is necessary that $tx_i = x_{i-1}$ iff $i \notin I$, because otherwise, M would split as $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{i-1} \rangle \oplus \langle x_i, \dots, x_m \rangle$. Thus $M \cong \Sigma^{r'} M(m, I)$. \square

Remark 3.10. Note that the uniqueness of the decomposition holds true even for uncountable-dimensional Hopf algebras, but such a decomposition into indecomposables need not exist (cf. Example 5.2).

Example 3.11. Primitively generated Hopf algebras are Hopf algebras for which the canonical map $PH \rightarrow \bar{H} \rightarrow QH$ from primitives to indecomposables is surjective. For the associated \mathcal{R} -modules M , this translates to the map ${}_t M \rightarrow M/sM$ being surjective, where ${}_t M = \{m \in M \mid tm = 0\}$. Thus for each $m \in M_i$, there exists an $m' \in M_{i-1}$ such that $t(m + sm') = 0$. By induction, $tm' = 0$ and hence $tm = 0$. This shows that primitively generated Hopf algebras have trivial Verschiebung, and in particular are p -torsion. Thus if they are countable, they split into copies of $H(r, m, I)$. The Hopf algebra $H(r, m, I)$ is primitively generated exactly if $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ (resp. $I = \mathbf{N}$ when $m = \infty$), recovering the abelian case of the classification in [MM65, Thm. 7.16]. Countability is actually not a necessary assumption in this case because *any* connected $\mathbf{F}_p[s]$ -module splits into a sum of cyclic modules [Web85].

4. HOPF ALGEBRAS THAT ARE FREE AS ALGEBRAS OR COFREE AS COALGEBRAS

A classification of general abelian Hopf algebras seems unfeasible; we will give some hopefully illuminating examples of the complexity of the problem in the next section. However, we can classify the Hopf algebras that reduce to the Hopf algebras $H(m, I)$ of Section 3 and use this to classify all Hopf algebras that are either free as algebras or cofree as coalgebras.

Remark 4.1. The forgetful functor from the category Hopf to connected, graded, commutative algebras has a right adjoint, the cofree Hopf algebra on an algebra. Similarly, the forgetful functor from Hopf to connected, graded, cocommutative

coalgebras has a left adjoint, the free Hopf algebra on a coalgebra. A Hopf algebra that is free on a coalgebra is also free as an algebra, but not vice versa. Similarly, a Hopf algebra that is cofree on an algebra is also cofree as a coalgebra, but not vice versa.

Definition. An abelian Hopf algebra H is called *basic* if its mod- p reduction $H/[p]$ is indecomposable and $H_1 \neq 0$.

By Thm. 1.1, H is thus basic iff $H/[p] \cong H(0, m, I)$ for some $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ ($I \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ if $m = \infty$).

Recall the graphical model of “basic Hopf graphs” from the introduction, which we will use for indexing basic Hopf algebras.

Projection to the x -axis associates to each basic Hopf graph Γ a pair (m_Γ, I_Γ) , where m is the maximal x -coordinate of all arrow end point (possibly ∞) and $i \in I$ iff there is an arrow $(i-1, j)$ to (i, j') for some j, j' . Moreover, each Hopf graph Γ comes with a vector v_Γ of y -coordinates of length $m_\Gamma + 1$.

Conversely, a pair (m, I) and a vector v of length $m + 1$ gives rise to a unique basic Hopf graph iff

- (1) $v_0 = 0$
- (2) if $i \in I$ then $v_i \in \{v_{i-1} - 1, v_{i-1}\}$;
- (3) if $i \notin I$ then $v_i \in \{v_{i-1}, v_{i-1} + 1\}$;
- (4) if $m < \infty$ then $v_m = 0$.

Fig. 4.2 and 4.3 show the two examples of basic Hopf graphs from the introduction together with data m, I, v explained above.




FIGURE 4.2. $m_\Gamma = 4$, $I_\Gamma = \{2, 4\}$, $v_\Gamma = (0, 1, 1, 1, 0)$




FIGURE 4.3. $m_\Gamma = \infty$, $I_\Gamma = \{1\}$, $v_\Gamma = (0, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$

Definition. Let Γ be a basic Hopf graph. Define an \mathcal{R} -module $M(\Gamma)$ as follows:

$$M(\Gamma)_i = \begin{cases} W(k)/p^{(v_\Gamma)_i+1} \langle x_i \rangle; & i \leq m_\Gamma \\ 0; & i > m_\Gamma \end{cases}$$

together with s - and t -multiplications given, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, by

$$(4.4) \quad sx_{i-1} = \begin{cases} x_i; & i \in I_\Gamma \\ px_i; & i \notin I_\Gamma \end{cases}; \quad tx_i = \begin{cases} px_{i-1}; & i \in I_\Gamma \\ x_{i-1}; & i \notin I_\Gamma. \end{cases}$$

We call a \mathcal{R} -module M *basic* if its associated Hopf algebra $(D^{(j)})^{-1}(M)$ is basic, or equivalently, by Thm. 1.1, if $M/(p) \cong M(m, I)$ for some (m, I) .

Theorem 4.5. *An \mathcal{R} -module M is basic with $M/(p) \cong M(m, I)$ if and only if $M \cong M(\Gamma)$ for some basic Hopf graph Γ with $(m_\Gamma, I_\Gamma) = (m, I)$. Moreover, M is uniquely determined by the properties*

- (1) $t: M_i \rightarrow M_{i-1}$ is injective iff Γ contains an arrow from (i, j) to $(i-1, j)$ or an arrow from $(i-1, j)$ to $(i, j-1)$, and
- (2) $s: M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i$ is injective iff Γ contains an arrow from $(i-1, j)$ to (i, j) or an arrow from (i, j) to $(i-1, j-1)$.

Proof. It is evident from the definition that $M(\Gamma)/(p) \cong M(m_\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$, so the “only if” direction is clear.

Let M be a basic \mathcal{R} -module with $M/(p) \cong M(m, I)$. Then $M_i = W_{v_i+1}(k)$ for some positive integers v_i for $i \leq m$ and $M_i = 0$ for $i > m$. Note that the condition $p = st = ts$ implies that $v_i \in \{v_{i-1} - 1, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ for all $i \geq 0$.

We inductively construct lifts $\tilde{x}_i \in M_i$ of the defining generators $x_i \in M(m, I)_i$ and show that the v_i satisfy the conditions for being v_Γ of a basic Hopf graph Γ with $(m, I) = (m_\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$. Since $tM_0 = 0$ for dimensional reasons, $pM_0 = 0$ as well, so $M_0 = k$ and $v_0 = 0$. Let \tilde{x}_0 be the unique lift of x_0 .

Suppose now that $\tilde{x}_0, \dots, x_{i-1}$ are constructed satisfying (4.4). If $i \in I$, define $\tilde{x}_i = s(x_{i-1})$. Then \tilde{x}_i is a lift of x_i and hence a generator, and we have that $t\tilde{x}_i = tsx_{i-1} = px_{i-1}$, so (4.4) is satisfied for the index i . Since $s: M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i$ is a surjection (it maps a generator to a generator), we cannot have $v_i = v_{i-1} + 1$.

Conversely, if $i \notin I$, but $i \leq m$, $t: M_i/(p) \rightarrow M_{i-1}/(p)$ is bijective, hence $t: M_i \rightarrow M_{i-1}$ is surjective. Let \tilde{x}_i be any preimage of \tilde{x}_{i-1} under t . Then \tilde{x}_i is a lift of x_i and hence a generator. Again, $v_i = v_{i-1} - 1$ is not possible because of the surjectivity of $t: M_i \rightarrow M_{i-1}$. \square

Proof of Thm. 1.3. Given Γ , define $H(\Gamma) = (D^{(1)})^{-1}(M(\Gamma))$, using the p -typical Dieudonné functor of type 1 from (2.3). By construction, $H(\Gamma)/[p] \cong H(0, m_\Gamma, I_\Gamma)$. For any p -typical Hopf algebra H of type 1 with $M = D^{(1)}(H)$, we have that

$$D^{(1)}(F: H_{p^i} \rightarrow H_{p^{i+1}}) = s: M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}$$


and

$$D^{(1)}(V: H_{p^{i+1}} \rightarrow H_{p^i}) = t: M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i$$

The claimed classification of basic Hopf algebras thus follows directly from Thm. 4.5. Moreover, let H be any Hopf algebra whose mod- p reduction is indecomposable. Let r be the smallest positive integer such that $H_r \neq 0$. Then by Thm. 1.1, H is concentrated in degrees rp^j . Denote by H' the regraded Hopf algebra with $H'_j = H_{rp^j}$ and $M' = D^{(1)}(H')$ satisfies $M'_0 \neq 0$, $M = \Sigma^{r'} M'$. Thus $M' = M(\Gamma)$ is basic, and $H \cong H(r, \Gamma)$. \square

It seems that most abelian Hopf algebras one encounters “in nature” are tensor products of basic ones. We will not attempt to support this claim with many examples, but one important one is the following:

Example 4.6. Consider the Hopf algebra $H = H^*(BU; k) \cong k[c_1, c_2, \dots]$, where BU is the classifying space of the infinite unitary group and c_i are the universal Chern classes in degree $2i$. By dimensional considerations, H splits into a tensor product of one p -typical part of type j for each $p \nmid j$. The type-1 part Λ_p is the Hopf algebra representing the p -typical Witt vector functor. It is basic: $\Lambda_p \cong H(\Gamma)$ for



Lemma 4.7. *An abelian Hopf algebra H is free as an algebra iff the Frobenius F on DH is injective. It is cofree as a coalgebra iff the Verschiebung V on DH is surjective.*

Proof. The Frobenius map of H is a Hopf algebra morphism $F: H \rightarrow H(1)$ inducing the maps $F: DH \rightarrow DH(1)$ of Dieudonné modules. If H is free as an algebra, F will therefore be injective. Conversely, by the classification of algebra structures on connected, graded Hopf algebras [MM65], a Hopf algebra that is not free as an algebra has a noninjective Frobenius. The argument for the Verschiebung is analogous. \square

This gives a simple characterization of when an \mathcal{R} -module corresponds to a free resp. cofree Hopf algebra:

Corollary 4.8. *The Hopf algebra $H(\Gamma)$ is*

- *free as an algebra if Γ consists of horizontal right arrows and diagonal left arrows only;*
- *cofree as a coalgebra if Γ consists of horizontal left arrows and diagonal left arrows only.*

Thus the only basic Hopf algebra that is both free as an algebra and cofree as a coalgebra is Λ_p of Example 4.6.

Theorem 4.9. *Let H be a countable abelian Hopf algebra. If H is free as an algebra or free as a coalgebra then H is a tensor product of basic Hopf algebras.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that H is p -typical and M its associated \mathcal{R} -module. Choose a decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bar{\gamma}_i: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{r_i} M(m_i, I_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} M/(p)$$

as in Thm. 1.1, where $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. By Thm. 1.3, there are basic Hopf graphs Γ_i and maps

$$\gamma_i: \Sigma^{r_i} M(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow M$$

such that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ is a surjective map and $\bar{\gamma}_i = \gamma_i/(p)$.

We denote the defining generator of $\Sigma^{r_i} M(\Gamma_i)$ in degree j by $x_{i,j}$ and identify it with its image in M under γ_i . To see that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ is an injection, we need to show that

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_{i,j} = 0 \implies \alpha_i x_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha_i \in k.$$

Here we implicitly assume that only finitely many α_i are nontrivial if $n = \infty$.

We show this by induction in the degree j . For $j = 0$, $M(\Gamma_i)_0 \cong M(m_i, I_i)_0$ is p -torsion, and by definition, the nonzero $x_{i,0}$ are linearly independent. Assume that (4.10) holds for $j - 1$. Since modulo p , the $x_{i,j}$ are linearly independent, we have that $p \mid \alpha_i$ for all i . But $p = st$, and since s is injective by assumption, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{p} tx_{i,j} = 0.$$

We have that $tx_{i,j} \in \{x_{i,j-1}, px_{i,j-1}\}$, so by induction, $\frac{\alpha_i}{p} tx_{i,j} = 0$ and hence $s(\frac{\alpha_i}{p} tx_{i,j}) = \alpha_i x_{i,j} = 0$ for all i .

This completes the proof that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$ is an isomorphism.

The result for Hopf algebras which are cofree as coalgebras follows from dualization. \square

Proof of Thm. 1.2. Given Thm. 4.9, the only thing that remains is to classify those basic Hopf algebra of mod- p type (m, I) which are free as an algebras (the other case being dual). This corresponds to s being injective. The characterization of basic \mathcal{R} -modules with injective s from Thm. 4.5 shows that there is exactly one basic Hopf graph Γ with this property for any given (m, I) . \square

We will now compare the property of H being free as an algebra to two stronger conditions. The first condition is being a projective object. The second condition is, morally, to be free over a graded, connected coalgebra. However, this notion is unnecessarily restrictive and is incompatible with the property of being p -typical – a p -typical Hopf algebra can never be free in this sense. Instead, we consider the property of being free over a p -polar graded coalgebra [Bau22a, Bau22b].

Definition. A *graded p -polar k -coalgebra* is a graded vector space C together with a k -linear map

$$\Delta: C_{pn} \rightarrow (C_n \otimes \cdots \otimes C_n)^{\Sigma_p}$$

which, with this structure, is a retract of a graded k -coalgebra.


The dual definition of this notion was given in [Bau22b], along with the dual version of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.11. *The functor which associates to a graded k -coalgebra the free graded Hopf algebra over it factors naturally through the category of p -polar coalgebras.*

In other words, there exists a free Hopf algebra functor on p -polar coalgebras, and the usual free Hopf algebra functor on coalgebras really only depends on the underlying p -polar coalgebra structure. This free functor sends p -typical p -polar coalgebras (in the obvious meaning) to p -typical Hopf algebras of the same type.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $H = H(r, \Gamma)$ be an irreducible abelian Hopf algebra. Then*

- (1) *H is free on a graded, connected p -polar coalgebra iff Γ has $m = \infty$, $I_H = \{i \mid i \geq n\}$, $(v_H)_i = \min(i, n)$ for $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ (Figs. 4.13 and 4.14)*
- (2) *H is projective if, in addition, $p \nmid r$.*

FIGURE 4.13. $m = \infty$, $I = \{4, 5, \dots\}$, $v_H = (0, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, \dots)$ FIGURE 4.14. $m = \infty$, $I = \emptyset$, $v_H = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

Proof. (1) In [Kuh20], Kuhn showed that for every finitely generated $\mathcal{R}/(s)$ -module M , there exists a Hopf algebra $H(M)$ with indecomposables M , and in [Bau22b], the author showed that $H(M)$ is free on a p -polar coalgebra and (noncanonically) unique with this property. Moreover, the construction H sends direct sums to tensor products. Indecomposable $\mathcal{R}/(s)$ -modules are suspensions of modules of the form $k[t]/(t^{n+1})$, with corresponding Hopf algebra isomorphic to $H(\Gamma_n)$ with Γ_n as displayed in Fig. 4.13 for $n = 4$, or of the form $k[t, t^{-1}]/k[t]$, with corresponding Hopf algebra $H(\Gamma_\infty)$ as displayed in Fig. 4.14.

(2) This is well-known, e.g. by [Sch70, Théorème 3.2]. □

5. BESTIARY

In this section, we collect a few examples that show that our results are sharp in many respects.

Example 5.1. This example is to show that the grading is crucial and that, in particular, the connectedness assumption on H cannot be dropped.

Suppose H is an ungraded abelian Hopf algebra over a perfect field k . By [Fon77], H naturally splits into $H^u \otimes H^m$, where H^u denotes the unipotent part (also known as conilpotent) and H^m is the part of multiplicative type. The latter is classified by an abelian group A with a continuous action of the absolute Galois group Γ of k ; if the Γ -action is trivial then the associated Hopf algebra is just the group ring $k[A]$. So a classification of H^m , under some finiteness assumptions, is feasible. Note that connected, graded Hopf algebras are automatically unipotent for degree reasons.

Dieudonné theory works as expected for ungraded Hopf algebras (at least when H^m represents a functor taking values in abelian p -groups), and unipotent Hopf algebras correspond to Dieudonné modules on which V acts nilpotently. Let W be an n -dimensional \mathbf{F}_p -vector space, $\phi: W \rightarrow W$ an endomorphism, and construct a Dieudonné module $M_\phi = V \oplus W$ with $V(x, y) = (0, x)$ and $F(x, y) = (0, \phi(x))$. Then it is easy to see that $M_\phi \cong M_\psi$ if and only if ϕ and ψ are conjugate, and M_ϕ is decomposable iff W has a ϕ -invariant direct sum decomposition. So already this finite-dimensional example shows that the moduli of indecomposable modules is rather large and, in an imprecise meaning, positive-dimensional (it grows with the size of k).

Example 5.2. p -torsion connected abelian Hopf algebras that are not countable do not need to decompose into indecomposables. Let

$$M_{p^n} = \prod_{i \geq n} k; \quad t(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = (0, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots); \quad s = 0.$$

This $\mathcal{R}/(p)$ -module is not a sum of cyclic modules. It is also not a sum of finitely generated modules, or even a sum of indecomposable modules.

Example 5.3. In this example, we will construct arbitrarily large \mathcal{R} -modules (and hence Hopf algebras), showing in particular that non- p -torsion Hopf algebras do not decompose into tensor products of basic Hopf algebras.

Let Γ_i be the basic Hopf graph



with $m = i + 4$.

One checks readily that there are no nontrivial maps $M(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow M(\Gamma_j)$ unless $i = j$.

There is a unique nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow \Sigma k \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow 0$ for each i , resulting in a basic Hopf algebra with graph



Thus $\text{Ext}^1(M(\Gamma_i), \Sigma k) \cong k\langle \alpha_i \rangle$. For any $N > 0$, the class

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \text{Ext}^1\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N M(\Gamma_i), \Sigma k\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \text{Ext}^1(M(\Gamma_i), \Sigma k)$$

thus defines an \mathcal{R} -module M . I claim that this module is indecomposable. We use the following result, which is a special case of [HW06, Thm. 2.3]:

Lemma 5.4. *Let Q be a finitely generated R -module of positive depth, T an indecomposable finitely generated R -module of finite length, and $\alpha \in \text{Ext}^1(Q, T)$. Suppose that for each $f \in \text{End}(Q) - \{0\}$, $f^*\alpha \neq 0$. Then α represents an indecomposable module.*

In our case, $Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N M(\Gamma_i)$, $T = \Sigma k$, and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$. We have that $\text{End}(Q) \cong k^N$ because there are no nontrivial maps $M(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow M(\Gamma_j)$ for $i \neq j$. For $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in \text{End}(Q)$, we have that $f^*\alpha = (f_1\alpha_1, \dots, f_N\alpha_N)$ and since all α_i are nontrivial, $f^*\alpha \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [AR94] Jiří Adámek and Jiří Rosický. *Locally presentable and accessible categories*, volume 189 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [Bau22a] Tilman Bauer. Affine and formal abelian group schemes on p -polar rings. *Math. Scand.*, 128:35–53, 2022.
- [Bau22b] Tilman Bauer. Graded p -polar rings and the homology of $\Omega^n \Sigma^n X$. preprint, arXiv:2203.05286, 2022.
- [Bor54] Armand Borel. Sur l'homologie et la cohomologie des groupes de Lie compacts connexes. *Amer. J. Math.*, 76:273–342, 1954.
- [Bou96] A. K. Bousfield. On p -adic λ -rings and the K -theory of H -spaces. *Math. Z.*, 223(3):483–519, 1996.
- [CB18] William Crawley-Boevey. Classification of modules for infinite-dimensional string algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(5):3289–3313, 2018.

- [Fon77] Jean-Marc Fontaine. *Groupes p -divisibles sur les corps locaux*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1977. Astérisque, No. 47-48.
- [Gab62] Pierre Gabriel. Des catégories abéliennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 90:323–448, 1962.
- [Hop41] Heinz Hopf. Über die Topologie der Gruppen-Mannigfaltigkeiten und ihre Verallgemeinerungen. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 42:22–52, 1941.
- [HW06] Wolfgang Hassler and Roger Wiegand. Big indecomposable mixed modules over hypersurface singularities. In *Abelian groups, rings, modules, and homological algebra*, volume 249 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 159–174. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [Kuh20] Nicholas J. Kuhn. Split Hopf algebras, quasi-shuffle algebras, and the cohomology of $\Omega\Sigma X$. *Adv. Math.*, 369:107183, 30, 2020.
- [Lam99] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*, volume 189 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [MM65] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:211–264, 1965.
- [Rav75] Douglas C. Ravenel. Dieudonné modules for abelian Hopf algebras. In *Conference on homotopy theory (Evanston, Ill., 1974)*, volume 1 of *Notas Mat. Simpos.*, pages 177–183. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México, 1975.
- [Sch70] Colette Schoeller. Étude de la catégorie des algèbres de Hopf commutatives connexes sur un corps. *Manuscripta Math.*, 3:133–155, 1970.
- [Tou21] Antoine Touzé. On the structure of graded commutative exponential functors. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):13305–13415, 2021.
- [Web85] Cary Webb. Decomposition of graded modules. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 94(4):565–571, 1985.
- [Wra67] G. C. Wraith. Abelian Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 6:135–156, 1967.