ON THE E_2-TERM OF THE bo-ADAMS SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

A. BEAUDRY, M. BEHRENS, P. BHATTACHARYA, D. CULVER, AND Z. XU

ABSTRACT. The E_1-term of the (2-local) bo-based Adams spectral sequence for the sphere spectrum decomposes into a direct sum of a v_1-periodic part, and a v_1-torsion part. Lellmann and Mahowald completely computed the d_1-differential on the v_1-periodic part, and the corresponding contribution to the E_2-term. The v_1-torsion part is harder to handle, but with the aid of a computer it was computed through the 20-stem by Davis. Such computer computations are limited by the exponential growth of v_1-torsion in the E_1-term. In this paper, we introduce a new method for computing the contribution of the v_1-torsion part to the E_2-term, whose input is the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra. We demonstrate the efficacy of our technique by computing the bo-Adams spectral sequence beyond the 40-stem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

Let KO denote the (2-local) real K-theory spectrum, and let bo denote its connective cover. The bo-based Adams spectral sequence (ASS) for the sphere takes the form:
$$^{bo}E_1^{n,t} = \pi_t \mathrm{bo} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{bo}}^{\wedge n} \Rightarrow (\pi_{t-n}^s)_{(2)}.$$
Here, $\overline{\mathrm{bo}}$ denotes the cofiber of the unit
$$S \to \mathrm{bo} \to \overline{\mathrm{bo}}$$
and π_*^s denotes the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Many researchers have studied aspects of the bo-ASS, most notably Mahowald in his paper [Mah81], where this spectral sequence is used to prove the 2-primary v_1-periodic telescope conjecture. However, a systematic study of this spectral sequence as a tool to perform low-dimensional computations of the stable homotopy groups of spheres was not undertaken until [LM87] and [Dav87]. Early on, the structure of the E_1-term was known [Mah81], [Mil75]. Namely, there is a splitting
$$\mathrm{bo} \wedge \mathrm{bo} \simeq \bigvee_i \Sigma^{4i} \mathrm{bo} \wedge B_i$$
where B_i is the ith integral Brown-Gitler spectrum [CDGM88]. This splitting iterates to yield a splitting
$$\mathrm{bo} \wedge \overline{\mathrm{bo}}^n \simeq \bigvee_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} \Sigma^{4(i_1+\cdots+i_n)} \mathrm{bo} \wedge B_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i_n}$$
where each index satisfies $i_l > 0$. The homotopy type of the wedge summands in the above splitting were also determined in [Mah81], [Mil75]: for a muti-index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$, there is an equivalence
$$\mathrm{bo} \wedge B_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i_n} \simeq b_I \vee HV_I$$
where b_I is a certain Adams cover of bo or bsp (see Section 2), and HV_I is the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum associated to a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space V_I.

There is a corresponding decomposition
$$^{bo}E_1^{n,*} = \bigoplus_{I=(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} \pi_* b_I \oplus V_I.$$
The subspaces
$$V^{n,*} := \bigoplus_{I=(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} \Sigma^{4(i_1,\cdots,i_n)} V_I$$
are closed under the d_1-differential. Following [LM87], [Dav87], we define $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ to be the quotient complex
$$0 \to V^{*,*} \to {}^{bo}E_1^{*,*} \to \mathcal{C}^{*,*} \to 0$$
so that
$$\mathcal{C}^{n,*} \cong \bigoplus_{I=(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} \pi_* b_I,$$
resulting in a long exact sequence
$$(1.1) \qquad \cdots \to H^{*,*}(V) \to {}^{bo}E_2^{*,*} \to H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{*+1,*}(V) \to \cdots.$$

Historically, most of the applications of the bo-ASS have rested on analyzing $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ while bounding the effects of $H^{*,*}(V)$. In fact, a complete computation of $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ was accomplished in [LM87]. By contrast, the complex $V^{*,*}$, and its cohomology, have proven to be the major obstacle to using the bo-ASS to perform low-dimensional computations. Carlsson computed $V^{1,*}$ explicitly in [Car80], but the result is rather unwieldy. Davis [Dav87] used computer computations to compute $V^{n,t}$, and its cohomology, through the range $t \leq 25$. He observed there that a rapid exponential growth in the dimension of $V^{n,t}$ severely limits this approach. Furthermore, Davis's approach does not include a method for computing the map ∂ in (1.1). In essence, $V^{*,*}$ resembles the cobar complex for the Steenrod algebra (but seemingly without a good conceptual description), and as such, is too large for extensive computer computations.

Motivated by this disparity of computability, we shall refer to elements of $^{bo}E_2^{*,*}$ with non-trivial image in $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ as *good*, and we shall refer to non-zero elements of $^{bo}E_2^{*,*}$ which are in the image of the map from $H^{*,*}(V)$ as *evil*. The purpose of this paper is to present a method of computing the evil part of $^{bo}E_2^{*,*}$. This involves (1) computing $H^{*,*}(V)$, and (2) understanding the long exact sequence (1.1).

The basic idea is to use an algebraic analog of the bo-resolution (called the Mahowald spectral sequence in [Mil81]), studied by Davis, Mahowald, Miller and others, which we will refer to as the bo-MSS. The bo-MSS is a spectral sequence of the form

$$_{alg}^{bo}E_1^{n,s,t} = \operatorname{Ext}_{A(1)}^{s,t}(\overline{A /\!/ A(1)}^n, \mathbb{F}_2) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_A^{s+n,t}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Analogous to the topological decomposition, there is a decomposition

$$_{alg}^{bo}E_1^{*,*,*} = \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*} \oplus V_{alg}^{*,*,*}$$

and thus a long exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad \cdots \to H^{*,*,*}(V_{alg}) \to {}_{alg}^{bo}E_2^{*,*,*} \to H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{alg}} H^{*+1,*,*}(V) \to \cdots.$$

The key observation (implicit in [Dav87]) is:

Observation 1.3. *The terms $V_{alg}^{n,s,t}$ are zero unless $s = 0$, and there is an isomorphism of cochain complexes:*

$$V_{alg}^{n,0,t} \cong V^{n,t}$$

and therefore an isomorphism

$$H^{*,*}(V) \cong H^{*,0,*}(V_{alg}).$$

In fact, the map ∂ turns out to be computable in terms of the map ∂_{alg}. In short, a complete understanding of the evil part of $^{bo}E_2$ can be extracted from a complete understanding of the evil part of $_{alg}^{bo}E_2$.

Our methods rest on the idea that the groups $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ are easily understood (through a range) by computer computations using minimal resolutions [Bru93]. Furthermore, analogous to the topological situation, the groups $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ can be completely computed. One can then deduce $H^{*,*,*}(V_{alg})$ and ∂_{alg} from the existence of the bo-MSS, using knowledge of $\operatorname{Ext}_A^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, *provided one has a*

means of determining which elements of Ext *are detected by good classes and which are detected by evil classes in the* bo-*MSS.*

We shall say a non-trivial class $x \in \mathrm{Ext}_A^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is *evil* if there exists an evil class in the bo-MSS which detects it; otherwise we shall say x is *good*. Our main theorem establishes a precise relationship between being good, and being v_1-periodic (Lemma 7.6 and Theorem 7.11):

Theorem (Dichotomy Principle). *Suppose x is a non-trivial class in* $\mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

  (1) *If x is v_1-torsion, it is evil.*
  (2) *If x lies above the 1/3 line ($t - s < 3s$), then it is good and v_1-periodic.*
  (3) *Suppose x is v_1-periodic. There is an $M \gg 0$ for which $y = v_1^M x$ is defined and lies above the 1/3-line. Suppose y has* bo-*filtration n. The class x is good if and only if*

$$s \geq n.$$

Our technique of using knowledge of $\mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ to deduce $H^{*,*}(V)$ via the notions of good and evil is encoded more fluently in a refinement of the bo-MSS we develop, called the *agathokakological spectral sequence*

$$_{alg}^{akss}E_1^{*,*,*} = \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*} \oplus V^{*,*} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

The indexing in this spectral sequence is non-standard, but is set up in such a manner that good and evil classes actually live in distinct tri-degrees.

Mahowald's proof of the v_1-periodic telescope conjecture [Mah81] rested on two fundamental theorems: the *Bounded Torsion Theorem*, and the *Vanishing Line Theorem*. We note that our perspective on the bo-ASS E_2-term organically produces these results (Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 7.15).

We demonstrate the efficacy of our technique by computing the bo-ASS through the 40-stem. The previous computations of [LM87] and [Dav87] only run through the 20-stem. In principle, our method could be employed to compute the bo-ASS through a larger range, but it quickly becomes apparent that as a function of the stem, increasingly large portions of π_*^s are detected by evil classes in the bo-ASS, and beyond our range it appears that the bo-ASS is not much more effective than the classical ASS.

Besides being an attempt to streamline and extend previous work on the bo-ASS, this paper represents a developmental platform for methods the authors hope to employ to study the tmf-resolution (extending the program of [BOSS]).

It should be noted that González's work on the odd primary $BP\langle 1 \rangle$-resolution [Gon00] suggests an interesting alternative to the methodology of this paper. Namely, González shows that the analog of the complex $V^{*,*}$ for the Adams cover $S^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ of the sphere spectrum is isomorphic to a cobar complex for a relative Ext group. A similar observation carries over to the 2-primary case. It would be an interesting project to attempt to compute these relative Ext groups with some variant of the May spectral sequence or a minimal resolution technique.

Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the structure of the E_1-page of the bo-ASS, together with the good component of the d_1-differential. In Section 3 we describe the Lellmann-Mahowald weight spectral sequence, a spectral sequence for computing $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$. In Section 4, we describe the algebraic bo resolution (the bo-MSS), and compute the corresponding weight spectral sequence converging to $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$. In Section 5, we review the notion of v_1-periodicity in Ext, define the v_1-periodic bo-resolution, and discuss its convergence. In Section 6, we discuss the bo-MSS for $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}$. The Ext groups of $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}$ are identical to those of $\mathbb{F}_2$ away from the 0-stem, but the v_1-periodic behavior of the former is much more manageable. In Section 7, we define the agathokakological spectral sequence (AKSS) and prove the Dichotomy Principle. We also introduce a topological analog of the AKSS, which refines the bo-ASS. In Section 8, we use the results of the previous section, together with knowledge of $\mathrm{Ext}_A(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, to completely compute the algebraic bo-resolution through a large range. The information this affords us about $H^{*,*}(V)$, and the connecting homomorphism ∂, is then used to compute the bo-ASS through the same range in Section 9.

Conventions. In the remainder of this paper everything is implicitly localized at the $p = 2$.

Homology H_* will always be implicitly taken with $\mathbb{F}_2$ coefficients. We let A denote the 2-primary Steenrod algebra, and A_* its dual. For a sub-Hopf algebra $B \subseteq A$, we will use B_* to denote its dual, and we will use $A/\!\!/B_*$ to denote the dual of the Hopf-algebra quotient $A/\!\!/B$. We let ζ_i denote the conjugate of the element $\xi_i \in A_*$. We shall sometimes use the abbreviation

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(M) := \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, M).$$

For any connective (2-local) finite type spectrum X, we let $X^{\langle s \rangle}$ denote its sth Adams cover, so that

$$X \leftarrow X^{\langle 1 \rangle} \leftarrow X^{\langle 2 \rangle} \leftarrow \cdots$$

is a minimal Adams resolution of X. The associated classical Adams spectral sequence (ASS) will be denoted

$$^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(X) = \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(H_*X) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}X.$$

For a non-zero element $x \in \pi_*X$, we let

$$[x] \in {}^{ass}E_\infty(X)$$

denote the class in E_∞ which detects it. Cycles in the E_r-page of the ASS will be automatically regarded as representing elements of the E_{r+1}-page. Thus, given an element $y \in {}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}$, the expression

$$y = [x]$$

means that y detects x in the ASS. For a general ring spectrum R, we let $\{^R E_r^{*,*}(X)\}$ denote the R-based Adams spectral sequence for X.

For a non-negative integer i, we let $\alpha(i)$ denote the sum of the digits of its base 2 expansion. For a multi-index

$$I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$$

we define

$$|I| := n,$$
$$\|I\| := i_1 + \cdots + i_n,$$
$$\alpha(I) := \alpha(i_1) + \cdots + \alpha(i_n).$$

2. The E_1-term of the bo-ASS

Let B_i denote the ith integral Brown-Gitler spectrum (see, for example, [CDGM88]). The integral Brown-Gitler spectra are a sequence of finite complexes whose colimit is the integral Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum:

$$S = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \cdots \subset H\mathbb{Z}.$$

The image of their homology in

$$H_* H\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^2, \zeta_2, \ldots] \subset A_*$$

is the A_*-subcomodule spanned by monomials m of weight $wt(m) \leq 2i$, where

$$wt(\zeta_i) := 2^{i-1}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Mahowald [Mah81], Milgram [Mil75]). *We have*

$$\text{bo} \wedge \overline{\text{bo}}^n \simeq \bigvee_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|} \text{bo} \wedge B_I$$

where each term of the multi-index $I = (i_1, \ldots i_n)$ satisfies $i_l > 0$ and

$$B_I := B_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i_n}.$$

Mahowald and Milgram also determined the homotopy type of the wedge summands above.

Theorem 2.2 (Mahowald [Mah81], Milgram [Mil75]). *There are equivalences*

$$\text{bo} \wedge B_I \simeq b_I \vee HV_I$$

where

$$b_I := \begin{cases} \text{bo}^{\langle 2\|I\| - \alpha(I) \rangle}, & \|I\| \text{ even,} \\ \text{bsp}^{\langle 2\|I\| - \alpha(I) - 1 \rangle}, & \|I\| \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

and V_I is a graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of finite type.

As indicated in the introduction, we will define

$$V^{n,*} := \bigoplus_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|} V_I,$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{n,*} := \bigoplus_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|} \pi_* b_I.$$

The subspace $V^{*,*} \subseteq {}^{bo}E_1^{*,*}$ is a subcomplex with respect to the d_1 differential [LM87], and we endow $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ with the structure of the quotient complex. The direct sum decomposition

$${}^{bo}E_1^{*,*} = \mathcal{C}^{*,*} \oplus V^{*,*}$$

FIGURE 2.1. An example of the labeling system for generators in the Adams spectral sequence for b_I $(I = (2))$.

results in a decomposition of elements $x \in {}^{bo}E_1^{*,*}$ into *good* and *evil* components:

$$x = x_{good} + x_{evil}.$$

We shall refer to the d_1 differential, restricted to $V^{*,*}$, as d_1^{evil}. The induced differential on $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ will be denoted d_1^{good}.

Since the spectra b_I are Adams covers, it is useful to understand $\pi_* b_I$, and the associated differential d_1^{good} in the complex $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$, in terms of the Adams spectral sequence for $\pi_* b_I$. To this end, we will denote elements of $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ using notation $x(I)$ for x an element of $\pi_* b_I$. We denote the generators of ${}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}(b_I)$ using notation

$$v_0^k w^m h_1^a$$

where $v_0 = [2]$, w is the formal expression

$$w := v_1^2/v_0^2$$

(here v_1^4 detects the Bott element in $\pi_8(\text{bo})$) and $h_1 = [\eta]$. For example, in the case of $b_{(2)} = \text{bo}^{\langle 3 \rangle}$, generators of ${}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}(b_2)$ are labeled in Figure 2.1. With respect to this notation, up to terms of higher Adams filtration, the differential in the complex $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ is determined by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Mahowald [Mah81], Lellmann-Mahowald [LM87]). *The differential d_1^{good} in the complex $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ is determined modulo elements of higher Adams filtration by the formula*

$$[d_1^{good}(w^m(i_1, \ldots, i_n))] = \sum_{m'+m''=m} v_0^{\alpha(m')+\alpha(m'')-\alpha(m)} w^{m'}(m'', i_1, \ldots, i_n)$$

$$+ \sum_k \sum_{i_k'+i_k''=i_k} v_0^{\alpha(i_k')+\alpha(i_k'')-\alpha(i_k)} w^m(i_1, \ldots, i_k', i_k'', \ldots, i_n)$$

and the fact that the differential is v_0 and h_1-linear. (In the above formula, we are saying that for each multi-index J, the J-component of the differential d_1^{good} is detected by the indicated element in the Adams spectral sequence for b_J.)

Remark 2.4. Lellmann and Mahowald actually compute the differential d_1^{good} more precisely (i.e., not up to ambiguity of higher Adams filtration) but our presentation

of the Theorem 2.3 is precise enough to contain all of the relevant features of this differential.

We present a new proof of Theorem 2.3 which we think is much simpler than the approach of [LM87] (based on Adams operations and numerical polynomials).

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Consider the zig-zag of complexes:

$$C^*_{BP_*BP}(BP_*[1/2]) = v_0^{-1}\, {}^{BP}E_1^{*,*} \xrightarrow{f} v_0^{-1}\, {}^{E(1)}E_1^{*,*} \xleftarrow{g} \mathcal{C}^{*,*}$$

(where $C^*_{BP_*BP}(BP_*[1/2])$ denotes the normalized cobar complex for BP_*BP — with 2 inverted) induced by the zig-zag of ring spectra

$$BP[1/2] \to E(1)[1/2] \leftarrow \mathrm{bo}.$$

Since the map g is an injection in internal degrees $t \equiv 0 \mod 4$, it will suffice to lift the image of $w^m(I)$ to the localized cobar complex for BP_*BP, and verify the formula for the differential there. Define

$$\tau := t_1^2 + v_1 t_1 \in BP_*BP;$$

this primitive element detects ν in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Let e_i denote the generator of $\pi_0(b_{(i)})$. Under the zig-zag

$$BP_*BP \xrightarrow{f} E(1)_*E(1) \xleftarrow{g} \mathcal{C}^{1,*}$$

we have

$$f(\tau^i) = g(e_i).$$

It follows that we have

$$\left[f\left(\frac{v_1^{2m}}{2^{2m}} [\tau^{i_1} | \cdots | \tau^{i_n}] \right) \right] = [g(w^m(i_1, \ldots, i_n))].$$

Observe that in the Hopf algebroid $(BP_*[1/2], BP_*BP[1/2])$ we have the formulas:

$$\eta_R\left(\frac{v_1^2}{4} \right) = \frac{v_1^2}{4} + \tau,$$
$$\psi(\tau) = \tau \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tau.$$

By the binomial theorem, together with the fact that

$$\nu_2 \binom{a}{b} = \alpha(b) + \alpha(a - b) - \alpha(a)$$

we have

$$\left[\eta_R\left(\frac{v_1^{2m}}{2^{2m}} \right) \right] = \sum_{m = m' + m''} v_0^{\alpha(m') + \alpha(m'') - \alpha(m)} \frac{v_1^{2m'}}{2^{2m'}} \tau^{m''},$$
$$[\psi(\tau^i)] = \sum_{i' + i'' = i} v_0^{\alpha(i') + \alpha(i'') - \alpha(i)} \tau^{i'} \otimes \tau^{i''}.$$

We deduce

$$\left[d\left(\frac{v_1^{2m}}{2^{2m}}[\tau^{i_1}|\cdots|\tau^{i_n}] \right) \right] = \sum_{m'+m''=m} v_0^{\alpha(m')+\alpha(m'')-\alpha(m)} \frac{v_1^{2m'}}{v_0^{2m'}} [\tau^{m''}|\tau^{i_1}|\cdots|\tau^{i_n}]$$

$$+ \sum_k \sum_{i_k'+i_k''=i_k} v_0^{\alpha(i_k')+\alpha(i_k'')-\alpha(i_k)} \frac{v_1^{2m}}{v_0^{2m}} [\tau^{i_1}|\cdots|\tau^{i_k'}|\tau^{i_k''}|\cdots|\tau^{i_n}].$$

The result follows. $\square$

3. THE WEIGHT SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

In order to compute $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$, Lellmann and Mahowald [LM87] introduced a *weight spectral sequence*. This section is a summary of their work. Endow $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ with a decreasing filtration by weight (wt), where we define

$$wt(x(I)) = \|I\|.$$

We see from Theorem 2.3 that d_1^{good} does not decrease weight. There is a resulting spectral sequence

$$^{wss}E_0^{n,*,w} := [\mathcal{C}^{n,*}]_{wt=w} \Rightarrow H^{n,*}(\mathcal{C})$$

with differentials

$$d_r^{wss} : {}^{wss}E_r^{n,*,w} \to {}^{wss}E_r^{n+1,*,w+r}.$$

From Theorem 2.3, we see that d_0^{wss} is given (modulo terms of higher Adams filtration) by

$$(3.1) \quad [d_0^{wss}(x(i_1,\ldots,i_n))] = \sum_k \sum_{i_k'+i_k''=i_k} v_0^{\alpha(i_k')+\alpha(i_k'')-\alpha(i_k)} x(i_1,\ldots,i_k',i_k'',\ldots,i_n).$$

Even with this explicit differential, the calculation of $^{wss}E_1$ is not immediate.

To this end, paraphrasing [LM87], we will introduce an *Adams filtration spectral sequence* $\{^{AF}E_r\}_{r\geq0}$ to compute $^{wss}E_1$. We will be able to compute $^{AF}E_1$ directly, but the computation of $^{AF}E_2$ will be clarified by the use a *lexographical filtration spectral sequence* $\{^{LF}E_\alpha\}$ (technically speaking, this spectral sequence is indexed on a totally ordered set). The situation is summarized by the following:

$$^{wss}E_0 = {}^{AF}E_0 \rightsquigarrow {}^{AF}E_1 = {}^{LF}E_0 \Rightarrow {}^{AF}E_2 = {}^{wss}E_1 \Rightarrow H(\mathcal{C}).$$

The Adams filtration spectral sequence. Endow $^{wss}E_0^{*,*,*}$ with a decreasing filtration by Adams filtration (AF), where we define

$$AF(x(I)) := AF(x).$$

There is a resulting *Adams filtration spectral sequence* with

$$^{AF}E_0^{n,*,w,a} := [^{wss}E_0^{n,*,w}]_{AF=a} \Rightarrow {}^{wss}E_1^{n,*,w}$$

with differentials

$$d_r^{AF} : {}^{AF}E_r^{n,*,w,a} \to {}^{AF}E_r^{n+1,*,w,a+r}.$$

Proposition 3.2 (Lellman-Mahowald [LM87])**.** *An additive basis for $^{AF}E_1$ is given by elements*

$$x h_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} h_4^{k_4} \dots$$

indexed by $K = (k_2, k_3, \dots)$, detected by $x(I[K])$ where

$$I[K] = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k_2}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k_3}, \underbrace{4, \dots, 4}_{k_4}, \dots).$$

Here, the elements x run through a basis of $^{ass}E_2(b_{I[K]})$.

Proof. By (3.1),

$$(3.3) \qquad [d_0^{AF}(x(i_1, \dots, i_n))] = \sum_k \sum_{\substack{i_k' + i_k'' = i_k \\ \alpha(i_k') + \alpha(i_k'') = \alpha(i_k)}} x(i_1, \dots, i_k', i_k'', \dots, i_n).$$

The essential observation is that if $i = i' + i''$ then

$$\alpha(i) = \alpha(i') + \alpha(i''),$$

if and only if i' and i'' have dyatic expansions with 1's in complementary places. Consider the subcomplex $\mathcal{B}^n$ of $^{AF}E_0^{n,*,*,*}$ spanned by the terms $1(I)$. There is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{B}^* \cong \bar{C}^*(\mathbb{F}_2, E, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

(the normalized cobar complex for the primitively generated exterior algebra $E = \Lambda_{\mathbb{F}_2}[e_0, e_1, \dots]$) given by

$$1(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mapsto [e(i_1)| \dots |e(i_n)].$$

Here, for i expressed dyatically as

$$i = \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 2 + \epsilon_2 4 + \cdots$$

(with $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$), the element $e(i)$ denotes the element

$$e(i) := e_0^{\epsilon_0} e_1^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \in E.$$

We deduce

$$H^*(\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_E^*(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$$
$$\mathbb{F}_2[h_2, h_3, \cdots]$$

(where h_i is represented by the cocycle $[e_{i-2}]$ in the cobar complex). The structure of $^{AF}E_1$ follows from the fact that for

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$$

and

$$I' = (i_1, \dots, i_k', i_k'', \dots i_n)$$

with $i_k = i_k' + i_k''$ and

$$\alpha(i_k) = \alpha(i_k') + \alpha(i_k'')$$

we have

$$b_I \simeq b_{I'}.$$

$\square$

Remark 3.4. Our naming of the polynomial generators $h_2, h_3, \ldots$ of $^{AF}E_1$ may seem bizarre, and differs from [LM87], where these generators are named $h_0, h_1, \ldots$. Our reason for this different indexing is that in our notation, h_i will correspond to the element of the same name in the classical Adams spectral sequence for the sphere.

The lexigraphical filtration spectral sequence. In order to compute $^{AF}E_2$, we observe that from (3.1) we have

$$d_1^{AF} h_i = \begin{cases} v_0 h_{i-1}^2, & i \geq 3, \\ 0, & i = 2. \end{cases}$$

The Adams filtration spectral sequence, like all the spectral sequences we are employing, is multiplicative, and hence

$$d_1^{AF}(xh_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} \cdots h_l^{k_l}) = \sum_{\substack{3 \leq j \leq l \\ k_j \text{ odd}}} v_0 x h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{j-1}^{k_j+2} h_j^{k_j-1} \cdots h_l^{k_l}.$$

For the purposes of analyzing the resulting cohomology, it is useful to order the monomials

$$h_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} h_4^{k_4} \cdots$$

by left lexigraphical ordering on the sequence

$$K = (k_2, k_3, k_4, \ldots).$$

We will call the resulting filtration (indexed by the ordinal ω^ω) *lexigraphical filtration* (LF). The filtration is multiplicative, and the differential d_2^{AF} increases lexigraphical filtration. Amusingly, one way to organize the resulting cohomology is via the ω^ω-indexed spectral sequence based on this filtration (c.f. [Hu99], [Mat]):

$$^{LF}E_\alpha \Rightarrow {}^{AF}E_2.$$

The differentials in this spectral sequence (which for expediency of notation we do not index) are

$$(3.5) \qquad d^{LF}(xh_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} \cdots h_l^{k_l}) = v_0 x h_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} \cdots h_{l-1}^{k_{l-1}+2} h_l^{k_l-1}, \quad k_l \text{ odd}.$$

Proposition 3.6 (Lellman-Mahowald [LM87], [Mah81])**.** *The Adams filtration spectral sequence term $^{AF}E_2$ has a basis given by elements*

$$xh_2^\epsilon, \qquad \epsilon = 0, 1,$$

and

$$yh_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} \cdots h_l^{k_l}, \qquad k_l \geq 2,$$

where x runs through a basis of

$$\begin{cases} {}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}(\text{bo}), & \epsilon = 0, \\ {}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}(\text{bsp}), & \epsilon = 1, \end{cases}$$

and y runs through a basis of

$$^{ass}E^{0,*}(b_{I[k_2,\ldots,k_l]}).$$

Proof. Equation (3.5) implies that

$$d^{LF}(xh_2^\epsilon) = 0.$$

As nothing can hit these classes, these provide the first part of the basis. Note that for $K = (k_2, \ldots, k_l)$

$$\|I[K]\| = k_2 + 2k_3 + \cdots + 2^{l-2}k_l,$$
$$\alpha(I[K]) = k_2 + k_3 + \cdots + k_l$$

and therefore for $K' = (k_2, k_3, \cdots, k_l + 2, k_l - 1)$ we have

$$\|I[K']\| = \|I[K]\|,$$
$$\alpha(I[K']) = \alpha(I[K]) + 1.$$

It follows that

$$b_{I[K]} = b_{I[K']}^{\langle 1 \rangle}.$$

Therefore the differentials

$$d^{LF}(xh_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{k-1}^{k_{l-1}} h_l) = v_0 h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{l-1}^{k_{l-1}+2}$$

are all non-trivial. The only elements not hit by the differentials above are of the form

$$xh_2^{a_2} \cdots h_l^{a_m}$$

with $a_m \geq 2$ and $AF(x) = 0$. The remaining possible differentials

$$d^{LF}(xh_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{k-1}^{k_{l-1}} h_l^{k_l}) = v_0 h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{l-1}^{k_{l-1}+2} h_l^{k_l-1}$$

(with $k_l \geq 3$ odd) are all zero since their targets are already killed by the shorter differentials

$$d^{LF}(xh_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{k-1}^{k_{l-1}+2} h_l^{k_l-3} h_{l+1}) = v_0 h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_{l-1}^{k_{l-1}+2} h_l^{k_l-1}.$$

$\square$

We have at this point deduced Mahowald's "Bounded Torsion Theorem" [Mah81]:

Corollary 3.7. *For $n \geq 2$ and $a > 0$, we have*

$$^{AF}E_2^{n,*,*,a} = 0.$$

Because what remains in $^{AF}E_2$ (with the exception of the classes xh_2^ϵ) is concentrated in Adams filtration 0, there are no further differentials in the Adams filtration spectral sequence, and we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *We have*

$$^{AF}E_2 = {}^{AF}E_\infty$$

and $^{wss}E_1$ is essentially given by Proposition 3.6.

The higher differentials in the weight spectral sequence. We now compute the remaining differentials in the weight spectral sequence. By 2.3, these are given by

$$(3.9) \qquad [d_{2^r}^{wss}(w^m h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l})] = v_0^{\alpha(m-2^r)-\alpha(m)+1} w^{m-2^r} h_{r+2} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}.$$

(provided the source and target persist to ${}^{wss}E_{2^r}$).

Proposition 3.10 (Lellmann-Mahowald [LM87]). *The remaining differentials in the weight spectral sequence are given by*

$$[d_1^{wss}(v_0^i w^m)] = v_0^{i+\nu_2(m)} w^{m-1} h_2,$$
$$[d_{2^r}^{wss}(w^{2^r a} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l})] = w^{2^r(a-1)} h_{r+2} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}, \quad k_l \geq 2, \ a \text{ odd}.$$

Proof. The first formula follows from (3.9) and the fact that

$$\alpha(m-1) - \alpha(m) + 1 = \nu_2(m).$$

The second formula follows from the fact that, for $k_l \geq 2$, the classes

$$v_0^i w^m h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}$$

die in the lexigraphical filtration spectral sequence for $i > 0$. Thus the only possible non-trivial differentials coming from (3.9) are

$$[d_{2^r}^{wss}(w^m h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l})] = w^{m-2^r} h_{r+2} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}$$

for r such that the dyatic expansion of m has a 1 in the rth place. The first of these is $r = \nu_2(m)$. $\qquad \square$

In [LM87], Lellmann and Mahowald proceed to deduce a closed form computation for $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$. We give our own description of this cohomology, based on the algebraic good complex $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,0,*}$, in Corollary 4.9.

4. The algebraic bo-resolution

We now construct and analyze an algebraic parallel to the bo-ASS studied so far, the bo-Mahowald spectral sequence (bo-MSS).

Construction of the bo-MSS. Taking homology of the cofiber sequence

$$S \to \text{bo} \to \overline{\text{bo}},$$

we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_2 \to A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \to \overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_* \to 0$$

of A_*-comodules, and hence short exact sequences

$$0 \to \overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^n \to A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \otimes \overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^n \to \overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^{n+1} \to 0.$$

Piecing together the associated long exact sequences of Ext-groups, and using the change of rings isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \otimes \overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^n) \cong \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^n),$$

we get an associated "algebraic bo-resolution"

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \longleftarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s-1,t}(\overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*) \longleftarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s-2,t}(\overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*^2) \longleftarrow \cdots$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \qquad \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s-1,t}(\overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*) \qquad \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s-2,t}(\overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*^2)$$

This gives a spectral sequence (the bo-*Mahowald spectral sequence*)

$$_{alg}^{bo}E_1^{n,s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*^n) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s+n,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

with differentials

$$d_r^{alg} : {}_{alg}^{bo}E_r^{n,s,t} \to {}_{alg}^{bo}E_r^{n+r,s-r+1,t}.$$

The E_1-term of the bo-MSS. Let $\underline{B_i}$ denote the ith integral Brown-Gitler co-module, the A_*-comodule obtained by taking homology of the ith integral Brown-Gitler spectrum

$$\underline{B_i} := H_*(B_i).$$

The motivation behind Theorem 2.1 is that there is a splitting of $A(1)_*$-comodules:

$$(4.1) \qquad\qquad \overline{A/\!/A(1)}_*^n \cong_{A(1)_*} \bigoplus_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}\underline{B_I}$$

where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ with each $i_l > 0$ and

$$\underline{B_I} := \underline{B_{i_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{B_{i_n}}.$$

The $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}$-groups of these comodules are given by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{*,*}(\underline{B_I}) \cong {}^{ass}E_2(b_I) \oplus V_I$$

where the graded $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space V_I has cohomological degree zero:

$$V_I \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{0,*}(\underline{B_I}).$$

Thus the evil subcomplex $(V^{*,*}, d_1^{evil})$ with

$$V^{n,*} := \bigoplus_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}V_I$$

is a subcomplex of $({}_{alg}^{bo}E^{*,0,*}, d_1^{alg})$. We will define $(\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}, d_1^{alg,good})$ to be the quotient complex, where

$$(4.2) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t} := \bigoplus_{|I|=n} {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(\Sigma^{4\|I\|}b_I).$$

Analogous to Section 2, we will denote elements of $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}$ using notation $x(I)$ for x an element of ${}^{ass}E_2^{*,*}(b_I)$ using notation

$$x = v_0^k w^m h_1^a$$

where w is the formal expression

$$w := v_1^2/v_0^2.$$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 4.3. *The differential $d_1^{good,alg}$ in the complex $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}$ is given by the formula*

$$d_1^{good,alg}(w^m(i_1,\ldots,i_n)) = \sum_{\substack{m'+m''=m \\ \alpha(m')+\alpha(m'')=\alpha(m)}} w^{m'}(m'',i_1,\ldots,i_n)$$

$$+ \sum_k \sum_{\substack{i_k'+i_k''=i_k \\ \alpha(i_k')+\alpha(i_k'')=\alpha(i_k)}} w^m(i_1,\ldots,i_k',i_k'',\ldots,i_n)$$

and the fact that the differential is v_0 and h_1-linear.

The algebraic weight spectral sequence. Analogous to the weight spectral sequence of Section 3, we can set up an algebraic weight spectral sequence to compute $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$. Just as in the topological case, we endow $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}$ with a decreasing filtration by weight (wt), where we define

$$wt(x(I)) = \|I\|.$$

Proposition 4.3 implies that $d_1^{good,alg}$ does not decrease weight. There is a resulting spectral sequence

$$^{wss}_{alg}E_0^{n,s,t,w} := [\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}]_{wt=w} \Rightarrow H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$$

with differentials

$$d_r^{wss,alg} : {}^{wss}_{alg}E_r^{n,s,t,w} \to {}^{wss}_{alg}E_r^{n+1,s,t,w+r}.$$

From Proposition 4.3, we see that $d_0^{wss,alg}$ is given by

$$(4.4) \qquad d_0^{wss,alg}(x(i_1,\ldots,i_n)) = \sum_k \sum_{\substack{i_k'+i_k''=i_k \\ \alpha(i_k')+\alpha(i_k'')=\alpha(i_k)}} x(i_1,\ldots,i_k',i_k'',\ldots,i_n).$$

Note that this is precisely the formula for d_0^{AF} of Section 3 (see (3.3)). Therefore, the same proof for Proposition 3.6 yields the following:

Proposition 4.5. *An additive basis for $^{wss}_{alg}E_1$ is given by elements*

$$x h_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} h_4^{k_4} \ldots$$

indexed by $K = (k_2, k_3, \ldots)$, detected by $x(I[K])$ where

$$I[K] = (\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{k_2}, \underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{k_3}, \underbrace{4,\ldots,4}_{k_4}, \ldots).$$

Here, the elements x run through a basis of $^{ass}E_2(b_{I[K]})$.

By Proposition 4.3, the remaining differentials in the algebraic weight spectral sequence are given by

$$d_{2^r}^{wss,alg}(w^{2^r a} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^r(a-1)} h_{r+2} h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}, \ a \text{ odd.}$$

when the source and target persist to $^{wss}_{alg}E_{2^r}$.

Lemma 4.6. *The non-trivial differentials in the algebraic weight spectral sequence are given by*

$$d_{2^{r-2}}^{wss,alg}(w^{2^{r-2}a}h_{r'}^{k_{r'}}\cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^{r-2}(a-1)}h_r h_{r'}^{k_{r'}}\cdots h_l^{k_l}, \ k_{r'} > 0, \ r \le r', \ a \text{ odd},$$

where $r \ge 2$.

Proof. The differentials

$$d_{2^{r-2}}^{wss,alg}(w^{2^{r-2}a}h_{r'}^{k_{r'}}\cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^{r-2}(a-1)}h_r h_{r'}^{k_{r'}}\cdots h_l^{k_l}, \ k_{r'} > 0, \ r > r', \ a \text{ odd}$$

never get a chance to run, because the sources of these potential differentials are targets of the shorter differentials:

$$d_{2^{r'-2}}^{wss,alg}(w^{2^{r-2}a+2^{r'-2}}h_{r'}^{k_{r'}-1}\cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^{r-2}a}h_{r'}^{k_{r'}}\cdots h_l^{k_l}. \qquad \square$$

To describe $_{alg}^{wss}E_\infty$, we need some notation. Consider the "bo-pattern":

We shall use $bo_i[j]$ to denote the sub-pattern where we only include every (2^i)th v_0 tower, and truncate these v_0-towers to have length j. For example, $bo_1[3]$ is given by:

whereas $\mathrm{bo}_2[7]$ is given by:

We also need to consider the analogs of these patterns for bsp. As an example we show $\mathrm{bsp}_1[3]$:

Finally, we let $\mathrm{bo}_i[j]^{\langle k \rangle}$ denote the "kth Adams cover" of the pattern $\mathrm{bo}_i[j]$. The pattern $\mathrm{bo}_1[3]^{\langle 2 \rangle}$ is:

With regard to these patterns, $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ is described by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *The groups $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ have a basis given by*

$$v_0^i \cdot 1, i \geq 0,$$

$$x \cdot h_r^{k_r} \ldots h_l^{k_l}, r \geq 2, k_r \geq 1, \text{ all other } k_j \geq 0,$$

where

$$K = (0, \ldots, 0, k_r, \ldots, k_l)$$

and x runs through a basis of

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{bsp}_1[3]^{\langle 2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]| - 1\rangle}, & r = 2, k_2 \text{ odd}, \\ \mathrm{bo}_{r-1}[2^r - 1]^{\langle 2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]|\rangle}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.8. We note for the reader's convenience that

$$\|I[K]\| = k_2 + 2k_3 + 4k_4 + \cdots,$$
$$|I[K]| = k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + \cdots.$$

Proof. Proposition 4.5 gives a basis of ${}^{wss}_{alg}E_1$ as $xh_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}$ where x runs through a basis of

$$\begin{cases} {}^{ass}E_2\left(\mathrm{bsp}^{\langle 2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]| - 1\rangle}\right), & k_2 \text{ odd}, \\ {}^{ass}E_2\left(\mathrm{bo}^{\langle 2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]|\rangle}\right), & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.6 implies that if

$$K = (0, \ldots, 0, k_r, \ldots, k_l)$$

with $k_r \geq 1$, then only every 2^{r-1} v_0-tower in these patterns persist to ${}^{wss}_{alg}E_\infty$. Moreover, these v_0-towers are truncated by differentials emanating from the classes

$$yh_r^{k_r-1} \cdots h_l^{k_l}.$$

Assuming k_2 is even, (k_2 odd is a similar separate case) and letting

$$K' = (0, \ldots, 0, k_r - 1, \ldots, k_l)$$

we compute the height of the v_0-towers to be

$$(2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]|) - (2\|I[K']\| - |I[K']|) = 2^r - 1. \qquad \square$$

By Proposition 3.10, the differentials in the algebraic weight spectral sequence (in bo-filtration ≥ 2) are simply a restriction of the differentials in the topological weight spectral sequence. We can thus read off $H^{n,*}(\mathcal{C})$ from $H^{n,0,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ for $n \geq 2$. The resulting description of these cohomology groups is given below.

Corollary 4.9. *The cohomolology of the topological good complex $\mathcal{C}^{*,*}$ is given in degrees 0 and 1 by:*

$$H^{0,*}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{Z}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_1^{4i}h_1^j \ : \ i \geq 0, \ j = 1, 2\},$$
$$H^{1,*}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/8\{v_1^{4i}h_2 \ : \ i \geq 0\}$$
$$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{\nu_2(i+1)+4}\{v_1^{4i} \cdot v_0 w h_2 \ : \ i \geq 0\}$$
$$\oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_1^{4i}h_1^j \cdot v_0 w h_2 \ : \ i \geq 0, \ j = 1, 2\}.$$

In degrees $n \geq 2$, these cohomology groups are given by the subspace

$$H^{n,*}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq H^{n,0,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$$

generated by elements of the form

$$x \cdot h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}, \quad k_l \geq 2.$$

In particular, we can derive a vanishing line for $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$.

Corollary 4.10. *We have*

$$H^{n,t}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$$

for $n \geq 1/5(t - n) + 2$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.9, the lowest $t - n$ where a class

$$x h_r^{k_r} \cdots h_l^{k_l}$$

can contribute to $H^{n,t}(\mathcal{C})$ is

$$t - n = \underbrace{k_r(2^r - 1) + \cdots + k_l(2^l - 1)}_{|h_2^{k_2} \cdots h_l^{k_l}|} + \underbrace{2}_{|v_1|}(\underbrace{2\|I[K]\| - |I[K]| - \epsilon}_{\text{Adams cover}} - \underbrace{(2^r - 2)}_{v_0\text{-truncation}})$$
$$= (2^{r+1}k_2 + \cdots + 2^{l+1}k_l) - 3(k_2 + \cdots + k_l) - 2^{r+1} + 4 - 2\epsilon$$
$$\geq (2^{r+1} - 3)(k_2 + \cdots + k_l) - 2^{r+1} + 2.$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 0, & k_2 \text{ even}, \\ 1, & k_2 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$n = k_2 + \cdots + k_l$$

and $r \geq 2$, we deduce that such a class must satisfy

$$n \leq \frac{1}{2^{r+1} - 3}(t - n) + \frac{2^{r+1} - 2}{2^{r+1} - 3}$$
$$< \frac{1}{5}(t - n) + 2.$$

$\square$

5. v_1-PERIODICITY

In Section 4, we established that there is a long exact sequence

$$(5.1) \qquad \cdots \to H^{n,k}(V) \to {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,0,k} \xrightarrow{g_{alg}} H^{n,0,k}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{alg}} H^{n+1,k}(V) \to \cdots$$

which assembles ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2$ out of good and evil classes. Furthermore, we computed the good component $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ in its entirety (Theorem 4.7). Since the spectral sequence $\{{}^{bo}_{alg}E_r\}$ converges to $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, it stands to reason that if we know $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ through a range, we should be able to deduce the evil component $H^{*,*}(V)$. As summarized in the introduction, this is predicated on actually having a means of determining which elements of Ext are detected by good and evil classes. The Dichotomy Principle (Theorem 7.11) will relate this to v_1-periodicity. We review the definition and properties of v_1-periodic Ext groups in this section.

v_1**-periodic Ext groups.** Our approach to v_1-periodic Ext follows that of [MS87], [DM88], which is based on the Adams periodicity theorem [Ada66]. Let M be an $A(n)_*$-comodule. For $n \geq 2$, Adams produced elements

$$v_1^{2^n} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{2^n, 3 \cdot 2^n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

which are appropriately compatible under the maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(n+1)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

For any $A(n)_*$-comodule M, we can form the localized Ext groups

$$v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(M).$$

We then define

$$(5.2) \qquad v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(M) := \varprojlim_n v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(M).$$

For certain M, the inverse system in (5.2) stabilizes for large n for fixed bidegrees (s,t). When this is the case, the localized Ext groups are manageable.

One class of comodules for which this is true are those whose duals are $A(0)$-free. Indeed, as pointed out by [DM88], Adams proves:

Theorem 5.3 (Adams [Ada66])**.** *Suppose that M is a connective A_*-comodule whose dual is free over $A(0)$, and suppose $n \geq 2$.*

(1) *For $t - s < 3s$, the map*

$$v_1^{2^n} : \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(M) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s+2^n, t+3 \cdot 2^n}(M)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) *For $s > 0$ and $t - s < 2s + 2^{n+1} - 5$, the map*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(M) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(M)$$

is an isomorphism.

In other words, $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)}$ is $v_1^{2^n}$-periodic above the line of slope $1/3$ through the origin. Further, there is a sequence of lines of slope $1/2$ above which Ext_{A_*} agrees with $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}$. We deduce that above the line of slope $1/3$, every element of Ext_{A_*} is $v_1^{2^n}$-periodic for some n which depends on which "band" of slope $1/2$ it lies in. The typical picture one draws of this (and indeed a similar picture appears in [DM88]) is:

In the figure above, the Ext groups in the region R_1 are all trivial. In the region R_n, for $n \geq 2$ the Ext groups are $v_1^{2^n}$-periodic, and isomorphic to the corresponding $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}$-groups. The corresponding v_1-periodic Ext groups take the form:

Here the periodic Ext groups in the region R_n' are obtained by interpolating back the values of the Ext groups of the region R_n. In particular, the values of $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A(m)_*}$ stabilize in the region $R_n \cup R_n'$ for $m \geq n$.

The comodule $\mathbb{F}_2$ is not free over $A(0)$, but $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$ is. The following remark establishes an explicit relationship between their Ext groups.

Remark 5.4. The short exact sequence

$$(5.5) \qquad 0 \to \mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{i} A/\!\!/A(0)_* \xrightarrow{p} \overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_* \to 0$$

induces a long exact sequence of Ext groups. Since by change of rings we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{A(0)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[v_0],$$

the connecting homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s-1,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

is an isomorphism for $t \neq s$.

The short exact sequence (5.5) is the homology of the cofiber sequence

$$S \to H\mathbb{Z} \to \overline{H\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proposition 5.6.

(1) *For $0 < t - s < 2s + 2^{n+1} - 6$, there are well defined homomorphisms (compatible as n varies)*

$$v_1^{2^n} : \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s+2^n, t+3 \cdot 2^n}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

For $t - s < 3s - 4$ these homomorphisms are isomorphisms.

(2) *The maps*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

are isomorphisms for $t - s < -s + 2^{n+1}$.

Proof. Statement (1) follows from the isomorphism of Remark 5.4 by applying Theorem 5.3 to the comodule $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$, which is free over $A(0)$. Statement (2) follows from the fact that in degrees less than 2^{n+1}, the map

$$A_* \to A(n)_*$$

is an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 5.7. The line $t - s < 3s - 2$ of Proposition 5.6 can be upgraded to a line of slope $1/5$ (see [Rav86, Thm 3.4.6]).

Proposition 5.6 allows to define $v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by interpolating v_1-periodic families backwards across the slope $1/3$ line. [1]

Corollary 5.8. *For fixed s, t, the map*

$$v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

is an isomorphism for $n \gg 0$.

The v_1-periodic bo-MSS. Davis and Mahowald [DM88] considered a v_1-periodic version of the bo-MSS:

$$v_1^{-1}{}_{alg}^{bo}E_1^{n,s,t}(M) = v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(1)}_*^n \otimes M) \Rightarrow v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s+n,t}(M).$$

They use the stabilization of $v_1^{-1} \mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}$ in fixed bidegrees to prove the convergence of this spectral sequence for comodules M which are free over $A(0)$. Corollary 5.8 implies that the Davis-Mahowald convergence argument also applies to the case where $M = \mathbb{F}_2$.

---

[1] There is one exception: the v_0-tower in $t - s = 0$ is v_1-periodic, with "infinite period".

Lemma 5.9. *The maps*

$$v_1^{-1} {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,s,t} \xrightarrow{v_1^{-1}g_{alg}} H^{n,s,t}(v_1^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{alg})$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. The evil subcomplex

$$V^{n,*} \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{A(1)_*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(1)}^n_*)$$

is v_1-torsion, so we have an isomorphism

$$v_1^{-1} {}^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t} = v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{A(1)_*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(1)}^n_*) \xrightarrow{\cong} v_1^{-1}\mathcal{C}^{n,s,t}_{alg}$$

and hence an isomorphism

$$v_1^{-1} {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,s,t} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{n,s,t}(v_1^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{alg}). \qquad \square$$

6. Comparison with $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}$

We would like to leverage the results of Section 5 to relate v_1-periodicity in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ to being "good" in a precise manner. However, as we saw in Section 5, the groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{A_*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)$$

have much better behaved v_1-periodic phenomena. For instance, there is a sequence of lines L_n of slope $1/2$ above which the Ext groups are entirely $v_1^{2^n}$-periodic and simultaneously isomorphic to the corresponding $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(n)_*}$ groups, where $v_1^{2^n}$ is well defined.

While $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$ and $\mathbb{F}_2$ have essentially the same Ext groups (see Remark 5.4), their respective bo-MSS's differ. In this section, we give a complete dictionary between these two bo-MSS's. This will allow us to transport results on v_1-periodicity from $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$. There is an added bonus: since the two bo-MSS's are different, we will be able to deduce hidden extensions or differentials in one from non-hidden extensions or differentials *of different length* in the other.

Given any A_*-comodule M, we can consider the associated bo-MSS

$${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(M) = \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{A(1)_*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(1)}^n_* \otimes M) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+n,t}_{A_*}(M).$$

Suppose that the map

$${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(M) \to v_1^{-1} {}^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(M)$$

is injective for $s > 0$. Then we will define $V^{n,t}(M)$ to be the kernel of the above map for $s = 0$. Just as in the case of $M = \mathbb{F}_2$, the evil subgroup $V^{*,*}(M)$ is a subcomplex with respect to $d_1^{bo,alg}$, and we define the good complex

$$(\mathcal{C}^{*,*,*}_{alg}(M), d_1^{good,alg})$$

to be the quotient complex. Then there is a long exact sequence

$$(6.1) \quad \cdots \to H^{n,k}(V(M)) \to {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,0,k}(M) \xrightarrow{g^M_{alg}} H^{n,0,k}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(M))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial^M_{alg}} H^{n+1,k}(V(M)) \to \cdots$$

The following lemma is an algebraic analog of the "generalized connecting homomorphism theorem" [Rav86, Thm 2.3.4], and its proof is identical to the topological case.

Lemma 6.2 (Connecting Homomorphism Lemma)**.** *Associated to the short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{i} A /\!\!/ A(0)_* \xrightarrow{p} \overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_* \to 0$$

we have a sequence of spectral sequences

$$\substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{i_*} \substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p_*} \substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \xrightarrow{\partial} \substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,s+1,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

$$\Big\Downarrow \qquad\qquad \Big\Downarrow \qquad\qquad \Big\Downarrow \qquad\qquad \Big\Downarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n+s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow[i_*]{} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n+s,t}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \xrightarrow[p_*]{} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n+s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \xrightarrow[\bar{\partial}]{} \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n+s+1,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

The top and bottom rows are long exact sequences. The map ∂ induces a map of spectral sequences, converging to $\bar{\partial}$.

The bo-MSS for $A /\!\!/ A(0)$. We now study the spectral sequence

$$\substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{*,*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[v_0].$$

The next lemma computes the "good" part of the E_1-term.

Lemma 6.3. *We have*

$$\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[v_0, w] \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^4]^{\otimes n}$$

where $w = v_1^2/v_0^2$.

Proof. By change of rings, we have

$$\substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{*,*}((A /\!\!/ A(1)_*)^{\otimes n} \otimes A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$$

$$\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \otimes (A /\!\!/ A(1)_*)^{\otimes n} \otimes A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$$

$$\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{A(0)_*}^{*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \otimes (A /\!\!/ A(1)_*)^{\otimes n})$$

The latter is computed using Margolis homology. Using the fact that in

$$A /\!\!/ A(1)_* \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^4, \zeta_2^2, \zeta_3, \ldots]$$

we have

$$Q_0^* \zeta_i = \zeta_{i-1}^2$$

we deduce that the Margolis homology is given by

$$H_*((A /\!\!/ A(1)_*)^{\otimes n+1}; Q_0^*) = \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^4]^{\otimes n+1}.$$

Identifying the first factor of $\mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^4]$ with $\mathbb{F}_2[w]$, we have

$$\substack{bo \\ alg}E_1^{n,*,*} = \mathbb{F}_2[v_0, w] \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^4]^{\otimes n} \oplus V^{n,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*). \qquad \square$$

Just as in Section 4, we will use the notation

$$v_0^i w^m(i_1, \ldots, i_n) := v_0^i w^m \zeta_1^{4i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta_1^{4i_n}$$

to denote a generic element of $\substack{bo \\ alg}E_1(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$. From the map of good complexes

$$i_* : \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$$

(and the fact that $d_1^{good,alg}$ is v_0-linear), we find the formula for $d_1^{good,alg}$ is identical to that of Proposition 4.3. Let

$$\,^{wss}_{alg}E_0^{n,s,t,w}(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*) \Rightarrow H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*))$$

denote the associated algebraic weight spectral sequence. Just as in Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\,^{wss}_{alg}E_1^{*,*,*,*}(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*) = \mathbb{F}_2[v_0, w, h_2, h_3, \cdots].$$

Just as in Lemma 4.6, the non-trivial differentials in the algebraic weight spectral sequence are given by

$$d_{2^{r-2}}^{wss,alg}(w^{2^{r-2}a}h_{r'}^{k_{r'}} \cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^{r-2}(a-1)}h_r h_{r'}^{k_{r'}} \cdots h_l^{k_l}, \ k_{r'} > 0, \ r \leq r', \ a \text{ odd.}$$

We deduce

$$H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*)) = \mathbb{F}_2[v_0].$$

Proposition 6.4. *The* bo-*MSS for* $A/\!\!/ A(0)_*$ *collapses at* E_2.

Proof. With the exception of $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0]$, all of the good classes are targets and sources of d_1-differentials. Since $d_r^{bo,alg}$ changes s-degree for $r > 1$, and the evil classes are concentrated in $s = 0$, we deduce that

$$H^{*,*}(V(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*)) = 0.$$

The result follows. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

The structure of $\,^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A/\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$. In this subsection, we will describe the E_1-term $\,^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A/\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ in terms of $\,^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We shall find that while these E_1-terms are different, their relationship admits a complete description.

The primary tool in this analysis is the long exact sequence

$$(6.5) \quad \cdots \to \,^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{i} \,^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(A/\!\!/ A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p} \,^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(\overline{A/\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \,^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s+1,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \cdots$$

These long exact sequences decompose into a direct sum of long exact sequences

$$\cdots \to \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I}) \xrightarrow{i} \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I} \otimes A/\!\!/ A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I} \otimes \overline{A/\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s+1,t}(\underline{B_I}) \to \cdots$$

using the decomposition

$$\,^{bo}_{alg}E_1^{n,s,t}(M) = \bigoplus_{|I|=n} \text{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\Sigma^{4\|I\|}\underline{B_I} \otimes M)$$

induced by the splitting (4.1).

We first examine the behavior of the good classes. A useful schematic is depicted below.

In the figure above, there are two kinds of good classes in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}(\underline{B_I})$: those which are parts of v_0-towers, and those which are v_0-torsion. We shall refer to the former as v_0-*good* (marked with solid dots in the above figure) and the latter as h_1-*good* (so that there is a basis of ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\mathbb{F}_2)$ consisting of v_0-good, h_1-good, and evil classes). The good part of ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$ was computed in the last subsection, as depicted in the middle chart above. The righthand chart is then deduced by the long exact sequence. We see that in ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$, the v_0-towers get turned upside down (we will call these classes v_0-good as well), while the h_1-good classes get transported by the boundary homomorphism ∂ (which we also call h_1-good).

The extension

$$\tag{6.6} h_1 y_2 = p\left(\frac{i(v_0^3 wx)}{v_0}\right)$$

in the figure above is of special importance. One way of deducing it is to observe that associated to the cofiber sequence

$$\tag{6.7} \mathrm{bo} \to \mathrm{bo} \wedge H\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{bo} \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}}$$

there is a sequence of v_1-localized Adams spectral sequences

$$v_1^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I}) \xrightarrow{i} v_1^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I} \otimes A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p} v_1^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)}^{s,t}(\underline{B_I} \otimes \overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

$$\Big\Downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \Big\Downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \Big\Downarrow$$

$$\pi_{t-s}(\mathrm{KO} \wedge B_I) \longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}((\mathrm{KO} \wedge B_I)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}(\Sigma M_1(\mathrm{KO} \wedge B_I))$$

(where $M_1(-)$ is the first monochromatic layer). The localized spectral sequences converge as indicated because of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *Inverting the Bott element in the cofiber sequence (6.7) yields the cofiber sequence*

$$\mathrm{KO} \to \mathrm{KO}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \Sigma M_1 \mathrm{KO}$$

Proof. The computations earlier in this section specialize to show that the Adams spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*) \Rightarrow \mathrm{bo}_* H\mathbb{Z}$$

collapses to give

$$\mathrm{bo}_* H\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}[w]$$

with $w = v_1^2/4$. Inverting the Bott element v_1^4, we get

$$\mathrm{KO}_* H\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}[v_1^{\pm 2}].$$

The map

$$\mathrm{KO}_* \to \mathrm{KO}_* H\mathbb{Z}$$

is easily computed on the level of the v_1-localized Adams E_2-terms, and is seen to be a rational isomorphism. The result follows. $\qquad\square$

The extension (6.6) follows from:

- $\mathrm{KO} \wedge B_I$ is a suspension of KO,
- the Brown-Comenetz dual $IM_1(\mathrm{KO})$ is the Gross-Hopkins dual $I_1 \mathrm{KO}$,
- the Gross-Hopkins dual of KO is well known to be a suspension of KO (see, for example, [HS14, Cor.9.1]).

With the language introduced in the discussion above, we have (for $M = \mathbb{F}_2$, $A/\!\!/A(0)_*$, or $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$) decompositions

$$_{alg}^{bo} E_1^{n,*,*}(M) \cong \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(M) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,*,*}(M) \oplus V^{n,*}(M)$$

into v_0-good, h_1-good, and evil components. Note that for each of these M we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,s,t}(M) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}(M), & t - s \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,s,t}(M) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}(M), & t - s \not\equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(and for $M = A/\!\!/A(0)_*$ we have $\mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{*,*,*}(M) = 0$). The complete structure of these components is summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.9. *There are short exact sequences*

$$0 \to V^{n,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,0,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{i} V^{n,*}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p} V^{n,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*) \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*) \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*) \to 0$$

and isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,s,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,s+1,*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Thus, for every v_0-good tower

$$\{v_0^j x\}_{j \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

generated by $x \in \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,s,t}$ there is a corresponding truncated v_0-good tower

$$\left\{ p\left(\frac{i(x)}{v_0^j} \right) \right\}_{1 \leq j \leq s} \subset \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*).$$

Furthermore, in $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,*,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)$ we have

$$h_1 \partial^{-1}(h_1^2 x) = p\left(\frac{i(v_0^3 w x)}{v_0} \right).$$

Proof. This proposition mostly follows from the preceding discussion, using the long exact sequence (6.5) and Lemma 6.3. In particular, the second short exact sequence follows from the fact that the map i induces an inclusion

$$i : \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{n,*,*}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*).$$

The map i vanishes on $\mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ for $s > 0$ for dimensional reasons, and thus the latter must be in the image of ∂. However, i can map classes in $\mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ to evil classes in $V^{n,t}(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$, and in fact must do so in an injective fashion, because there are no non-trivial classes in $_{alg}^{bo}E^{n,-1,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ for ∂ to map into $\ker i$. The h_1-relation follows from the Gross-Hopkins duality argument discussed above.   □

To state the structure of $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ more clearly, we remark that the Ext groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) = {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(bo \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A(1)_*}^{s,t}(\underline{B_1} \otimes \overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) = {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(bsp \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})$$

take the following form:

Corollary 6.10. *There are decompositions*

$$\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \cong \bigoplus_{|I|=n} {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(\Sigma^{4\|I\|}b_I \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})$$

where

$$^{ass}E_2^{s,t}(b_I \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}}) = \begin{cases} {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}((bo \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})^{\langle 2\|I\| - \alpha(I)\rangle}), & \|I\| \text{ even}, \\ {}^{ass}E_2^{s,t}((bsp \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})^{\langle 2\|I\| - \alpha(I) - 1\rangle}), & \|I\| \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

The structure of $_{alg}^{bo}E_2(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$. We now turn to understanding the E_2-page of the bo-MSS for $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$. We shall let

$$w^m(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in {}_{alg}^{bo}E_1^{n,0,4\|I\|+4m}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

denote the image under p of the element of the same name in $_{alg}^{bo}E_1(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$. Since p induces a map of spectral sequences, we get the same formula for $d_1^{good,alg}$ on the classes above as in $_{alg}^{bo}E_1(A /\!\!/ A(0)_*)$ (the formula from 4.3). The formula for $d_1^{good,alg}$ on all of $\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{*,*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ follows from the fact that it is v_0 and h_1-linear. We use a weight spectral sequence:

$$_{alg}^{wss}E^{n,s,t,w}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \Rightarrow H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)).$$

The computation of ${}^{wss}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$ is just as in Proposition 4.5:

Proposition 6.11. *An additive basis for* ${}^{wss}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$ *is given by elements*

$$x h_2^{k_2} h_3^{k_3} h_4^{k_4} \ldots$$

indexed by $K = (k_2, k_3, \ldots)$, *detected by* $x(I[K])$ *where*

$$I[K] = (\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k_2}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{k_3}, \underbrace{4, \ldots, 4}_{k_4}, \ldots).$$

Here, the elements x run through a basis of ${}^{ass}E_2(b_{I[K]} \wedge \overline{H\mathbb{Z}})$.

The remaining differentials in the weight spectral sequence are induced by the map p from the weight spectral sequence for $A /\!/ A(0)_*$ (the h_1-good classes in ${}^{wss}_{alg}E_1(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$ are all permanent cycles):

$$(6.12) \quad d^{wss,alg}_{2^{r-2}}(w^{2^{r-2}a} h_{r'}^{k_{r'}} \cdots h_l^{k_l}) = w^{2^{r-2}(a-1)} h_r h_{r'}^{k_{r'}} \cdots h_l^{k_l},$$

$$k_{r'} > 0, \ r \leq r', \ a \text{ odd}.$$

The figure below illustrates the relationship between the weight spectral sequences (and consequently the corresponding ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2$-terms) for $\mathbb{F}_2$, $A /\!/ A(0)_*$, and $\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*$.


FIGURE 6.1.

We see that there is a bijective correspondence between the truncated v_0-towers that comprise the v_0-good classes in ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$, with the notable feature that their respective bo-filtrations differ by 1 while their s-degrees are identical. Similarly, there is a bijective correspondence between the h_1-good classes in each of these E_2-terms, but with identical bo-filtrations and s-degrees which differ by 1 (*except for h_1-good classes in* ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{*,0,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, *for which there is no corresponding class in* ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$). In the notation of Figure 6.1 above, we have

$$h_1 \cdot x' = \partial y_1' \quad \text{in } {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

while

$$h_1 \cdot z' = 0 \quad \text{in } {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

(with y' lying in higher bo filtration), and also we have

$$h_1 \cdot y_2 = p\left(\frac{i(v_0^3 wx)}{v_0}\right) \quad \text{in } {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)})$$

while

$$h_1 \cdot \partial y_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

(with $v_0^2 h_2 x$ lying in higher bo filtration). The map $\partial'(-)$ used in Figure 6.1 is one of the two connecting homomorphisms associated to long exact sequences associated to the short exact sequences of Proposition 6.9:

$$\partial' : H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \to H^{n+1,k}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\mathbb{F}_2)),$$
$$\partial' : H^{n,t}(V(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \to H^{n+1,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{*,0,*}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)).$$

A complete description of ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)$ in terms of ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is provided by the following proposition.

Proposition 6.13. *The maps ∂, ∂' induce isomorphisms*

$$H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{h_1}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} H^{n,s+1,t}(\mathcal{C}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)),$$

$$H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial'} H^{n+1,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\mathbb{F}_2)), \quad s \neq t,$$

$$H^{n,t}(V^{*,*}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial'} H^{n+1,t}(V^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{*,0,*}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)).$$

Here, the groups $H^{n,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{*,0,*}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2))$ are determined by

$$H^{n,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{*,0,*}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)) = \begin{cases} {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2), & t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ H^{n,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The connecting homomorphism $\partial_{alg}^{\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*}$ in the long exact sequence (6.1) is determined for $t \equiv 0 \mod 4$ by the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{n,0,t}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{alg}^{\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*}} & H^{n+1,t}(V(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \\
{\scriptstyle \partial'} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \cong} & & {\scriptstyle \cong} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \partial'} \\
H^{n+1,0,t}(\mathcal{C}_{v_0}(\mathbb{F}_2)) & \xrightarrow[\partial_{alg}^{\mathbb{F}_2}]{} & H^{n+2,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2))
\end{array}
$$

and for $t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$ by the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{n,0,t}(\mathcal{C}_{h_1}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{alg}^{\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*}} & H^{n+1,t}(V(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)) \\
{\scriptstyle \partial} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \cong} & & {\scriptstyle \cong} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \partial'} \\
H^{n,1,t}(\mathcal{C}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)) & & H^{n+2,t}(V(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{*,0,*}_{h_1}(\mathbb{F}_2)) \\
\| & & \| \\
{}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,1,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow[d_2^{bo,alg}]{} & {}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n+2,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The isomorphisms in the first part of the proposition follow from Proposition 6.9 and the fact that we have (Proposition 6.4)

$$H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*)) = 0, \quad \text{unless } n = 0 \text{ and } s = t,$$
$$H^{n,t}(V(A/\!\!/A(0)_*)) = 0.$$

The identification of $H^{*,*}(V(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{*,0,*}(\mathbb{F}_2))$ simply follows from the fact that for $t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$ we have

$$\substack{bo\\alg}E_1^{n,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) = V^{n,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{h_1}^{n,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The diagram computing $\partial_{alg}^{\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*}$ for $t \equiv 0 \mod 4$ follows from the fact that for such t there is a 3×3 diagram of short exact sequences of chain complexes

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
V^{\bullet,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & V^{\bullet,t}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*) & \longrightarrow & V^{\bullet,t}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\substack{bo\\alg}E_1^{\bullet,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & \substack{bo\\alg}E_1^{\bullet,0,t}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*) & \longrightarrow & \substack{bo\\alg}E_1^{\bullet,0,t}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{\bullet,0,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{\bullet,0,t}(A/\!\!/A(0)_*) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{v_0}^{\bullet,0,t}(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)
\end{array}
$$

(note there is no sign in the commutativity of the resulting diagram of connecting homomorphisms because we are working in characteristic 2). The proof of the commutativity of the diagram computing $\partial_{alg}^{\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*}$ for $t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$ will be deferred to the next subsection (Case (1) of Theorem 6.14, $r = 1$). $\square$

Higher differentials and hidden extensions in the bo-**MSS for** $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$**.** We have so far established a dictionary between classes in the bo-MSS's for $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$ and $\mathbb{F}_2$:

$$x \text{ is } h_1\text{-good} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial(x) \text{ is } h_1\text{-good}$$

$$x \text{ is not } h_1\text{-good} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial'(x) \text{ is not } h_1\text{-good}$$
$$\text{or } \partial'(x) \text{ is } h_1\text{-good and lies in } s = 0.$$

We will now extend this dictionary to all of the higher differentials. For the purpose of the statement of the next theorem, a non-trivial class $x \in \substack{bo\\alg}E_r(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*)$ will be regarded as h_1-good if and only if $\partial(x) \neq 0 \in \substack{bo\\alg}E_r(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Theorem 6.14. *Suppose that z and z' are classes in the* bo-*MSS for* $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$.

(1) *If z is h_1-good and z' not h_1-good, then*
$$d_r^{bo,alg}(z) = z' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_{r+1}^{bo,alg}(\partial(z)) = \partial'(z').$$

(2) *If both z and z' are h_1-good, we have*
$$d_r^{bo,alg}(z) = z' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_r^{bo,alg}(\partial(z)) = \partial(z').$$

(3) *If z is not h_1-good and z' is h_1-good, we have*

$$d_r^{bo,alg}(z) = z' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_{r-1}^{bo,alg}(\partial'(z)) = \partial(z').$$

(4) *If neither z nor z' are h_1-good, we have*

$$d_r^{bo,alg}(z) = z' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_r^{bo,alg}(\partial'(z)) = \partial'(z').$$

Proof. This theorem is proven using a combination of the Connecting Homomorphism Lemma (CHL) (Lemma 6.2) and an adaptation of the Geometric Boundary Theorem (GBT) [Beh12, Lem. A.4.1] to our algebraic setting — the bo-MSS's associated to the short exact sequence of A_*-modules:

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{i} A /\!/ A(0)_* \xrightarrow{p} \overline{A /\!/ A(0)}_* \to 0.$$

In general, the GBT has a great deal of potential ambiguity. However, in our case much of it goes away as the bo-MSS for $A /\!/ A(0)_*$ collapses at E_2, where it is virtually acyclic (Proposition 6.4).

Suppose first that z is h_1-good, so $\partial(z) \neq 0$. Suppose there is a non-trivial differential

(6.15) $$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0$$

and $\partial(z') = 0$ (i.e. z' is either v_0-good or evil). Then we apply Case (2) of the GBT to (6.15) deduce that there is a differential

$$d_{r+1}(\partial(z)) = \partial'(z') \neq 0.$$

This establishes:

(1)   If z is h_1-good and z' not h_1-good, then

$$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_{r+1}(\partial(z)) = \partial'(z') \neq 0.$$

Suppose however that the class z' of (6.15) is not h_1-good. Then it follows from the CHL that we have

$$d_r(\partial(z)) = \partial(z').$$

This implies

(2')   If both z and z' are h_1-good, we have

$$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_r(\partial(z)) = \partial(z').$$

Furthermore, we deduce

(2.5)   If z is an h_1-good permanent cycle, then $\partial(z)$ is a permanent cycle.

Suppose now that z is a non-trivial class which is not h_1-good, so $\partial(z) = 0$. By (6.1), we deduce that there is a $y \in {}^{bo}_{alg}E_1(A /\!/ A(0)_*)$ so that $p(y) = z$. Then there is a non-trivial differential (Proposition 6.4)

$$d_1(y) = y' \neq 0.$$

We apply the GBT to this differential. Note that by the definition of the connecting homomorphism ∂', there is a representative for $\partial'(z)$ in ${}^{bo}_{alg}E_1(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (which we abusively also call $\partial'(z)$) so that

$$i(\partial'(z)) = y'.$$

Case (2) of the GBT then implies that if there is a non-trivial differential

(6.16) $$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0$$

with z' h_1-good, then there is a differential

$$d_{r-1}(\partial'(z)) = \partial(z').$$

Thus we have shown

(3')   If z is not h_1-good and z' is h_1-good, we have

$$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{r-1}(\partial'(z)) = \partial(z').$$

Suppose however that z' of (6.16) is not h_1-good. Then we are in Case (3) of the GBT, and we have

$$d_r(\partial'(z)) = \partial'(z').$$

We have shown

(4')   If z and z' are not h_1-good, we have

$$d_r(z) = z' \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_r(\partial'(z)) = \partial'(z').$$

Finally, suppose that z is a permanent cycle. Then Cases (4)-(5) of the GBT imply that $\partial'(z)$ is a permanent cycle. Thus we have shown

(4.5)   If z is a permanent cycle which is not h_1-good, then $\partial'(z)$ is a permanent cycle.

Suppose inductively that we have established (2), (3), (4) for all $r < r_0$. Since we have also established (1), we have then established our dictionary between d_r differentials in the bo-MSS for $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$ for $r < r_0$ and corresponding differentials in the bo-MSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$. The differentials on the right-hand side of (2'), (3'), and (4') could in principle be trivial, but only if their targets were hit by non-trivial shorter differentials in the bo-MSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$. This would violate our inductive hypothesis. We conclude inductively that the differentials on the right-hand side of (2'), (3'), and (4') are actually non-trivial. This, combined with (2.5) and (4.5), upgrades these statements to (2), (3), and (4) of the theorem. $\qquad\square$

7. The agathokakological spectral sequence

At the end of the day we would like to deduce the evil classes $H^{*,*}(V)$ (in a range) directly from the known quantities $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. This is somewhat confusing, as the relationship of these three quantities occurs through the combination of a spectral sequence (the bo-MSS) and a long exact sequence (1.2). To mitigate this complication, we introduce a variant of the bo-MSS, called

the *agathokakological spectral sequence* (AKSS), which combines the three quantities directly:

$$\substack{akss\\alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(V) \oplus H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

(The indexing of this spectral sequence is unorthodox, and will be explained.)

The construction of the spectral sequence. For convenience, fix a splitting of the short exact sequence

(7.1) $$0 \to V^{n,*} \to \substack{bo\\alg}E_1^{n,*,*} \xrightarrow{g_{alg}} \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,*,*} \to 0.$$

Recall that with respect to this splitting, we can decompose elements $x \in \substack{bo\\alg}E_1$ as

$$x = x_{evil} + x_{good}$$

with $x_{evil} \in V$ and $x_{good} \in \mathcal{C}_{alg}$. While (7.1) does *not* split as a short exact sequence of chain complexes, it does introduce a micrograding on the filtration n-layer of the bo-MSS. We will regard the evil subcomplex $V^{n,*}$ as being in filtration $n+\epsilon$, where ϵ is regarded as being infinitesimal. The new grading is on the ordered set

$$\mathbb{N}^\epsilon := \{n + \alpha\epsilon \ : \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \alpha \in \{0,1\}\}.$$

A total ordering is defined by

$$n < n + \epsilon < n + 1.$$

The result is a spectral sequence indexed on $\mathbb{N}^\epsilon$ (see [Mat]) which we call the *agathokakological spectral sequence* (AKSS):

$$\{\substack{akss\\alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}\} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n+s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

with

$$\substack{akss\\alg}E_1^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}, & \alpha = 0, \\ V^{n,t}, & \alpha = 1, \ s = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The pages are indexed on the totally ordered set

$$\mathbb{N}^{\pm\epsilon} := \{n + \beta\epsilon \ : \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \beta \in \{-1,0,1\}\}$$

with

$$n - \epsilon < n < n + \epsilon < n + 1.$$

The differentials take the form

$$d_{r-\epsilon}^{akss} : \substack{akss\\alg}E_{r-\epsilon}^{n+\epsilon,s,t} \to \substack{akss\\alg}E_{r-\epsilon}^{n+r,s-r+1,t},$$

$$d_r^{akss} : \substack{akss\\alg}E_r^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} \to \substack{akss\\alg}E_r^{n+r+\alpha\epsilon,s-r+1,t},$$

$$d_{r+\epsilon}^{akss} : \substack{akss\\alg}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n,s,t} \to \substack{akss\\alg}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n+r+\epsilon,s-r+1,t}.$$

Given an element $x \in \substack{akss\\alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}$, we will define

$$n(x) := n,$$
$$s(x) := s,$$
$$t(x) := t.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, we will refer to the element x as *good*, and if $\alpha = 1$, we refer to x as *evil*. The d_1-differential

$$d_1^{akss} : \substack{akss\\alg}E_1^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} \to \substack{akss\\alg}E_1^{n+1+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}$$

is given by

$$d_1 = \begin{cases} d_1^{good}, & \alpha = 0, \\ d_1^{evil}, & \alpha = 1, s = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We therefore have

$$_{alg}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} = \begin{cases} H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}), & \alpha = 0, \\ H^{n,t}(V), & \alpha = 1, \ s = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The only nonzero $d_{1+\epsilon}$-differentials are of the form

$$H^{n,0,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) = {}_{alg}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,0,t} \xrightarrow{d_{1+\epsilon}} {}_{alg}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+1+\epsilon,0,t} = H^{n+1,t}(V),$$

for which we have

$$d_{1+\epsilon} = \partial_{alg}$$

where ∂_{alg} is the connecting homomorphism of (1.2). We therefore have

$$_{alg}^{akss}E_2^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} = \begin{cases} \text{Im}\left({}_{alg}^{bo}E_2^{n,s,t} \xrightarrow{g_{alg}} H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})\right), & \alpha = 0, \\ \text{Ker}\left({}_{alg}^{bo}E_2^{n,0,t} \xrightarrow{g_{alg}} H^{n,0,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})\right), & \alpha = 1, \ s = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thankfully, as the differentials $d_r^{bo,alg}$ in the bo-MSS decrease s by $r-1$, and the evil classes are concentrated in $s = 0$, the only other potentially non-trivial differentials ($r \geq 2$) in the AKSS are of the form:

$$d_r^{akss} : {}_{alg}^{akss}E_r^{n,s,t} \to {}_{alg}^{akss}E_r^{n+r,s-r+1,t},$$

$$d_{s+1+\epsilon}^{akss} : {}_{alg}^{akss}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n,s,t} \to {}_{alg}^{akss}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n+s+1+\epsilon,0,t}$$

in which case they are determined by

$$d_r^{akss}(x_{good}) = \left[d_r^{bo,alg}(x_{good})\right]_{good},$$

$$d_{s+1+\epsilon}^{akss}(x_{good}) = \left[d_r^{bo,alg}(x_{good})\right]_{evil}.$$

The behavior of the differentials in the AKSS is summarized by the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *In the agathokakological spectral sequence, given a non-trivial differential*

$$d_{r+\beta\epsilon}(x) = y$$

for $r \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, there are three possibilities:

(1) *x and y are both evil, $r = 1$, $\beta = 0$,*
(2) *x and y are both good, $r \geq 1$, $\beta = 0$,*
(3) *x is good and y is evil, $r = s(x) + 1$, $\beta = 1$.*

In other words, **evil can never triumph over good**.

The reader might wonder why the authors elected to index the AKSS on $\mathbb{N}^\epsilon$ rather than, say, half integers. The reason is that since the map g_{alg} of (7.1) is a map of algebras, $V^{*,*}$ gets the structure of an ideal, and the multiplicative structure of the bo-MSS descends to a multiplicative structure on the AKSS.

Specifically, define a monoid structure on $\mathbb{N}^\epsilon$ determined by the rule $\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon$, so we have
$$(n + \alpha\epsilon) + (n' + \alpha'\epsilon) = \begin{cases} n + n', & \alpha = \alpha' = 0, \\ (n + n') + \epsilon & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then there is a product map
$$\substack{akss \\ alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t} \otimes \substack{akss \\ alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n'+\alpha'\epsilon,s',t'} \to \substack{akss \\ alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{(n+\alpha\epsilon)+(n'+\alpha'\epsilon),s+s',t+t'}.$$

The differential $d_{r+\beta\epsilon}$ is a derivation with respect to this product, provided one interprets that to mean
$$d_{r-\epsilon}(xy) = 0$$
if x and y are both evil.

With respect to this multiplicative structure, the product of good classes is good, and the product of evil classes is evil (which is why we require $\epsilon + \epsilon = \epsilon$). For dimensional reasons, only certain kinds of hidden extensions can occur:

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose that there is a hidden extension in the AKSS given by*
$$\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y} = \widetilde{z} \neq 0$$
in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$*, where x, y, and z detect $\widetilde{x}$, $\widetilde{y}$, and $\widetilde{z}$ in the AKSS, respectively, with $xy = 0$ in $\substack{akss \\ alg}E_\infty$. Then either*
$$s(z) < s(x) + s(y)$$
or
$$s(z) = s(x) = s(y) = 0 \text{ and } x \text{ and } y \text{ are good, and } z \text{ is evil.}$$

Remark 7.4. When $s(x) \leq 1$, this imposes strict restrictions on y. If $s(x) = 0$ (for example if $x = h_i$ when $i \geq 2$), y cannot be evil, for this would force $s(z) < 0$. Similarly, if $s(x) = 1$ (for example, if $x = v_0$ or h_1), if y is evil, then $s(z) = 0$.

Comparison with $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$. The entire construction of the AKSS goes through without modification when the A_*-comodule $\mathbb{F}_2$ is replaced by $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$:
$$\{\substack{akss \\ alg}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)\} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$
with
$$\substack{akss \\ alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) = \begin{cases} H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)), & \alpha = 0, \\ H^{n,t}(V(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)), & \alpha = 1, \ s = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The analysis of Section 6, in particular Proposition 6.13, comparing the bo-MSS's of $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$ refines to give a comparison between the respective AKSS's.

Theorem 7.5. *We have*

$$
{}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n+\alpha\epsilon,s,t}_{1+\epsilon}\left(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*\right) \cong
\begin{cases}
{}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n+1,s,t}_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{F}_2), & \alpha = 0, t - s \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\
{}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n,s+1,t}_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{F}_2), & \alpha = 0, t - s \not\equiv 0 \mod 4, \\
{}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n+1+\epsilon,0,t}_{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{F}_2), & \alpha = 1,\ s = 0, t \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\
{}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n+1+\epsilon,0,t}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \\
\oplus\ {}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n+1,0,t}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_2), & \alpha = 1,\ s = 0, t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4, \\
0, & otherwise.
\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, under this isomorphism, all differentials commute (but potentially changing lengths as the indexing changes dictate).

As this theorem is essentially just a translation of the results of Section 6 into agathokakological indexing, we will not say anything more about the proof. However, the second to last case in the statement of the theorem ($\alpha = 1, s = 0, t \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$) does merit clarification. The h_1-good classes in ${}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n,s,t}_{alg}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ become h_1-good classes in ${}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n,s-1,t}\left(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*\right)$ for $s > 0$, but for $s = 0$ they become evil classes (in ${}^{akss}_{alg}E^{n-1+\epsilon,0,t}_{alg}\left(\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*\right)$). A $d^{akss}_{1+\epsilon}$ differential from an h_1-good class to an evil class in the AKSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$ becomes a d^{akss}_1 differential between the corresponding evil classes in the AKSS for $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$.

The principle of dichotomy. Given a non-trivial class $x \in \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{A_*}(M)$, for $M = \mathbb{F}_2$ or $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$, we will say that x is *good* if it is detected by a good class in the AKSS, and we will say x is *evil* if it is detected by an evil class. We will say x is v_1-*periodic* if its image in $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}$ is non-trivial, and otherwise we will say that x is v_1-*torsion*. We will now answer the following fundamental question:

How do you determine if a given Ext class is good or evil?

Recall from Theorem 5.3 (in the case of $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$) and Proposition 5.6 (in the case of $\mathbb{F}_2$), for every class $x \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with $t - s > 0$, there is an $N = N(x)$ such that

$$
v_1^{2^N \cdot k}x \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s+2^N\cdot k, t + 3 \cdot 2^N \cdot k}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)
$$

is defined for all k. For these classes, being v_1-periodic is equivalent to requiring

$$
v_1^{2^N \cdot k}x \neq 0
$$

for all k.

For $\mathbb{F}_2$, the only classes in $t - s = 0$ are v_0^i, and these are all good (and technically are v_1-periodic in the sense that their image in $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}$ is non-trivial, though they are v_1-periodic of "period ∞"). For $\overline{A/\!\!/A(0)}_*$, there are no non-trivial classes in $t - s \leq 1$. We may therefore restrict our attention to those classes with $t - s > 0$.

A naive hope would be: "x is v_1-periodic if and only if x is good." *This is not true.* However, something approximating it is.

By Proposition 5.6, any class

$$
x \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{A_*}
$$

with $s > 1/3(t - s)$ is automatically v_1-periodic. We shall refer to these classes as being *above the 1/3 line*.

Lemma 7.6. *Every class above the $1/3$-line is good.*

Proof. Elementary connectivity estimates yield $V^{n,t} = 0$ for $t < 4n$. The lemma follows from the fact that, in the AKSS, evil classes in $V^{n,t}$ detect elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{n,t}$. $\square$

The following is easily checked from the structure of $\mathcal{C}_{alg}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$.

Lemma 7.7. *Suppose $x \in \mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,s,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$. Then $v_1^{-4k}x$ exists if and only if $4k \leq s$.*

Remark 7.8. There are variants of the AKSS for computing $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Thus, we can define $N(x)$ on any page of the AKSS. Moreover $v_1^{2^N}$ is an actual element of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are spectral sequences of modules over the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Thus multiplication by $v_1^{2^N}$ commutes with differentials in these AKSS.

The following observation is crucial — it implies that good classes cannot detect v_1-torsion classes in Ext.

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose that x and y are good classes in the AKSS for $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$, and suppose that there is a non-trivial differential $d_r^{akss}(x) = y$. Let $N = N(x)$.*

(1) *If $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}y$ exists then $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x$ exists.*
(2) *For all such k,*
$$d_r^{akss}(v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x) = v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}y.$$

Proof. We use the comparison with the AKSS for computing $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$. By Theorem 5.3, this spectral sequence is isomorphic to the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$ in a range which includes both x and y.

Suppose inductively that we have proven the lemma for $r < r_0$. We need to prove it for $r = r_0$. Since we have
$$s(y) \leq s(x)$$
it follows from Lemma 7.7 that if $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}y$ exists, then $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x$ exists. Suppose it is not the case that
$$d_r(v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x) = v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}y.$$
Then $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x$ must support a shorter non-trivial differential
$$d_{r'+\beta\epsilon}(v_1^{-2^N \cdot k}x) = z$$
for $r' < r$. By the inductive hypothesis z must be evil (because if it was good we would have $d_{r'}(x) = v_1^{2^N \cdot k}z$). In particular, since $s(z) = 0$, we have
$$s(x) - 2^N \cdot k - r' + 1 = 0.$$

Since $v_1^{-2^N \cdot k} y$ exists, we have
$$s(y) \geq 2^N \cdot k.$$
Finally, we have
$$s(x) - r + 1 = s(y).$$
From all these equations we deduce $r \leq r'$, a contradiction. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 7.10. *Suppose x is a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}\left(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*\right)$.*

(1) *If x is v_1-torsion, it is evil.*
(2) *Suppose x is v_1-periodic, and let $N = N(x)$. Suppose k is taken large enough so that $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$ lies above the 1/3-line. Suppose y is a class which detects $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$ in the AKSS (y is necessarily good). The class x is good if and only if*
$$s \geq n(y).$$

Proof. Suppose x is v_1-torsion, but is detected by a good class $\widetilde{x}$ in the AKSS. Let $N = N(x)$ and suppose that k is chosen so that $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$ lies above the 1/3-line and is trivial. Then $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} \widetilde{x}$ is killed by a good class in the AKSS. Lemma 7.9 then implies that $\widetilde{x}$ is the target of a differential, which violates the non-triviality of x.

Now suppose that x is v_1-periodic, detected by an evil class $\widetilde{x}$ in the AKSS. Again we use the fact that both x and y lie in a range where the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}\left(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*\right)$ is isomorphic to the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}\left(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*\right)$. The AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}\left(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*\right)$ is a spectral sequence of modules over the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(N)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Since $\widetilde{x}$ is evil, we have
$$v_1^{2^N \cdot k} \widetilde{x} = 0.$$
We deduce that multiplication by $v_1^{2^N}$ must increase n (hidden extension). Thus
$$n(\widetilde{x}) < n(y).$$
Suppose that $s \geq n(y)$. Since we have
$$n(\widetilde{x}) + s(\widetilde{x}) = s \geq n(y)$$
we deduce that $s(\widetilde{x}) > 0$. This violates the assumption that $\widetilde{x}$ is evil, so we deduce that $\widetilde{x}$ is good.

Suppose that x is good, detected by $\widetilde{x}$ in the AKSS. Then by Lemma 7.9, $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} \widetilde{x}$ detects $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$. Then
$$s = s(\widetilde{x}) + n(\widetilde{x}) = s(\widetilde{x}) + n(y) \geq n(y).$$
$\qquad \square$

Theorem 7.11 (Dichotomy Principle). *Suppose x is a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

(1) *If x is v_1-torsion, it is evil.*
(2) *Suppose x is v_1-periodic, and let $N = N(x)$. Suppose k is taken large enough so that $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$ lies above the 1/3-line. Suppose y is a class which detects $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$ in the AKSS (y is necessarily good). The class x is good if and only if*
$$s \geq n(y).$$

Proof. This theorem follows from Theorem 7.10 using the fact that for $t \neq s$, there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s-1,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\bar{\partial}} \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

so we have $\bar{\partial}(x') = x$. Suppose x is v_1-torsion (then so is x'). By Theorem 7.10, x' is evil. The map $\bar{\partial}$ takes v_1-torsion evil classes to evil classes.

Suppose now that x is good, with y detecting $v_1^{2^N \cdot k} x$. First suppose that y is v_0-good. Then we have

$$y = \partial'(y')$$

so

$$n(y) - 1 = n(y').$$

and so

$$s \geq n(y) \Leftrightarrow s - 1 \geq n(y') \Leftrightarrow x' \text{ good}.$$

The theorem follows from the fact that x' is good if and only if x is good.

Now suppose that y is h_1-good, so we have $y = \partial(y')$ with

$$n(y) = n(y').$$

This would seem to pose a problem for $s = n(y)$; in this case

$$s - 1 < n(y')$$

and so x' is evil by Theorem 7.10. We claim such an x' is detected by an evil class $\widetilde{x}'$ with

$$\widetilde{x} := \partial'(\widetilde{x}')$$

h_1-good, detecting x. Indeed, suppose not. Then $\widetilde{x}$ is evil. Since

$$n(\widetilde{x}') = s - 1,$$

we have $n(\widetilde{x}) = s$. In the AKSS for $\text{Ext}_{A(N)}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, there cannot be a $v_1^{2^N \cdot k}$-extension from filtration $s + \epsilon$ to filtration s. □

The topological AKSS. There is a topological analog of the AKSS, which refines the bo-ASS just as the (algebraic) AKSS constructed in the beginning of this section refines the bo-MSS.

Fix a splitting of the short exact sequence

$$(7.12) \qquad\qquad 0 \to V^{n,*} \to {}^{bo}E_1^{n,*} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{C}^{n,*} \to 0.$$

Just as in the algebraic case, we will regard the evil subcomplex $V^{n,*}$ as being in filtration $n + \epsilon$. The result is a topological AKSS:

$$\{{}^{akss}E_{r+\beta\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,t}\} \Rightarrow \pi_{t-n}^s$$

with differentials

$$d_{r-\epsilon}^{akss} : {}^{akss}E_{r-\epsilon}^{n+\epsilon,t} \to {}^{akss}E_{r-\epsilon}^{n+r,t},$$

$$d_r^{akss} : {}^{akss}E_r^{n+\alpha\epsilon,t} \to {}^{akss}E_r^{n+r+\alpha\epsilon,t},$$

$$d_{r+\epsilon}^{akss} : {}^{akss}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n,t} \to {}^{akss}E_{r+\epsilon}^{n+r+\epsilon,t}.$$

The E_1-term takes the form

$$^{akss}E_1^{n+\alpha\epsilon,t} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^{n,t}, & \alpha = 0, \\ V^{n,t}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

The d_1-differential

$$d_1^{akss} : {}^{akss}E_1^{n+\alpha\epsilon,t} \to {}^{akss}E_1^{n+1+\alpha\epsilon,t}$$

is given by

$$d_1 = \begin{cases} d_1^{good}, & \alpha = 0, \\ d_1^{evil}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

We therefore have

$$^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon,t} = \begin{cases} H^{n,t}(\mathcal{C}), & \alpha = 0, \\ H^{n,t}(V), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

The only nonzero $d_{1+\epsilon}$-differentials are of the form

$$H^{n,t}(\mathcal{C}) = {}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,t} \xrightarrow{d_{1+\epsilon}} {}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+1+\epsilon,t} = H^{n+1,t}(V),$$

for which we have

$$d_{1+\epsilon} = \partial$$

where ∂ is the connecting homomorphism of (1.1). It turns out all of these differentials can be derived from the algebraic AKSS.

Lemma 7.13. *For $n = 0, 1$, the differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon} : {}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,t} \xrightarrow{d_{1+\epsilon}} {}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+1+\epsilon,t}$$

are trivial. For $n \geq 2$, they are determined by the following commutative diagram (see Corollary 4.9).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,t} & \xrightarrow{d_{1+\epsilon}} & {}^{akss}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+1+\epsilon,t} \\ \| & & \cap \\ ^{akss}_{alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,0,t} & \xrightarrow[d_{1+\epsilon}^{alg}]{} & {}^{akss}_{alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+1+\epsilon,0,t} \end{array}$$

Proof. Topologically, this connecting homomorphism derives from applying π_* to the composite

$$(7.14) \qquad \bigvee_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}b_I \hookrightarrow \text{bo} \wedge \overline{\text{bo}}^n \to \overline{\text{bo}}^{n+1} \to \text{bo} \wedge \overline{\text{bo}}^{n+1} \to \bigvee_{|I|=n+1} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}HV_I.$$

The first statement follows from the fact that the only elements in $H^{n,*}(\mathcal{C})$ for $n = 0, 1$ and $* > 0$ have Adams filtration greater than 0, and therefore cannot map topologically to elements of Adams filtration 0. The second statement follows from the fact that the algebraic connecting homomorphism derives from the ASS edge homomorphism of the composite (7.14):

$$\mathcal{C}_{alg}^{n,0,*} = {}^{ass}E^{0,*}\left(\bigvee_{|I|=n} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}b_I \right) \to {}^{ass}E^{0,*}\left(\bigvee_{|I|=n+1} \Sigma^{4\|I\|}HV_I \right) = V^{n+1,*}.$$

$\square$

The E_2-term of the bo-ASS is deduced from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to {}^{akss}E_2^{n+\epsilon,t} \to {}^{bo}E_2^{n,t} \to {}^{akss}E_2^{n,t} \to 0.$$

At this point we can deduce Mahowald's Vanishing Line Theorem. We only sketch the proof to emphasize the conceptual origin of this vanishing line without getting lost in the details.

Theorem 7.15 (Mahowald [Mah81]). *There is a C so that*

$$ {}^{bo}E_2^{n,t} = 0 $$

for $n > 1/5(t-s) + C$.

Sketch of proof. Since $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ has a 1/5-vanishing line by Corollary 4.10, it suffices to establish that the cohomology of the evil complex $H^{*,*}(V)$ has a 1/5-vanishing line. Suppose that x is a nontrivial class in $H^{*,*}(V)$. By the Dichotomy Principle (Theorem 7.11), there are three possibilities.

(1) x detects a v_1-torsion class of Ext in the algebraic AKSS.
(2) x detects a v_1-periodic class of Ext, which in the v_1-periodic bo-MSS, is detected in $v_1^{-1}\,{}^{bo}_{alg}E_2^{n,s,t}$ with $s < 0$.
(3) $x = \partial_{alg}(y)$, for $y \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{C})$.

Recall (Remark 5.7) that Ext is entirely v_1-periodic above a "periodicity line" of slope 1/5 (and above this periodicity line the Ext-groups are isomorphic to the v_1^{-1} Ext-groups). Thus if we are in case (1), we deduce that x must lie below this periodicity line. The same inequalities used in the proof of Corollary 4.10 also prove that there is a C' so that the maps

$$H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \to H^{n,s,t}(v_1^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{alg})$$

are isomorphisms for

(7.16) $$n + s > 1/5(t - s - n) + C'.$$

Thus in case (2), we deduce that x must lie below this line of slope 1/5. In case (3) for $M \gg 0$, the class $v_1^M y$ is either non-trivial, or is the source or target of a differential in the algebraic AKSS. In the former case, y cannot lie above the periodicity line. In the latter case, Lemma 7.9 implies that y must lie below both the 1/5 line given by (7.16). $\square$

The differentials in the topological AKSS determine and are determined by the differentials in the bo-ASS, with lengths dictated by whether the sources and targets of the bo-ASS differentials are good or evil. Unlike the algebraic case, in the topological case there are no dimensional restrictions: in principle good or evil classes can each kill either good or evil classes.

Remark 7.17. There is no Dichotomy Principle in the topological AKSS. Many v_1-torsion elements of π_*^s are detected by good classes in the bo-ASS (e.g. $\nu^2, \kappa, \theta_3, \bar{\kappa}, \ldots$). In fact, Mahowald showed in [Mah81] that a non-trivial class in π_*^s is v_1-periodic if and only if it has bo-filtration ≤ 1.

8. Computation of the algebraic bo-resolution

In this section we will compute the algebraic bo-resolution, or more specifically, the (algebraic) AKSS, through dimension 42. The computation uses the known computation of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ through this range (see, for example, the May spectral sequence computation of [Tan70] or the computer computation of [Bru93],[Bru]), as well as our computation of $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ (Theorem 4.7) to deduce the groups $H^{*,*,*}(V)$, and the subsequent differentials. Key to this is the determination of which classes of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are good and which are evil. This is done with the Dichotomy Prinicple (Theorem 7.11). A prerequisite to applying the Dichotomy Principle is the determination of which elements in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in our range are v_1-periodic, and which are v_1-torsion.

To this end we begin this section with an analysis of v_1-periodicity and torsion in our range. We then explicitly write out $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ in our range, and apply the Dichotomy Principle to determine which classes in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are good and which are evil. We then prove a couple of convenient lemmas which relate the d_2 differential in the AKSS to v_1^4-multiplication. We then compute the AKSS through our range stem by stem.

v_1-periodicity and v_1-torsion in low degrees. In order to invoke the Dichotomy Principle to determine which classes in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are good and evil in low degrees, it is necessary to determine which classes in this range are v_1-periodic, and which are v_1-torsion.

Ideally, this would be accomplished by actually having a complete computation of $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. To date, this has not been done. However, Davis and Mahowald have computed $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(H_*Y)$ where $Y = M(2)\wedge C\eta$ [DM88]. Note that Andrews [And15] has computed $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for p odd.

Remark 8.1. The best available tool to compute $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is probably the localized algebraic bo-resolution

$$(8.2) \qquad\qquad v_1^{-1}H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \Rightarrow v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The only difficulty is that this spectral sequence has many long differentials (as will be demonstrated in our low degree calculations in this section). Nevertheless, it seems plausible that with enough care the spectral sequence (8.2) could be completely computed. The odd primary analog of (8.2) actually collapses, giving a convenient alternative approach to Andrews' computation of $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for p odd.

In the absence of a complete understanding of $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}$, we instead manually identify the kernel of the homomorphism (a.k.a. the v_1-torsion)

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

in low degrees. Figure 8.1 shows an Ext chart in low degrees (courtesy of Perry's Ext software). The chart is broken into regions which indicate for which N multiplication by $v_1^{2^N}$ is well-defined (c.f. Proposition 5.6). The circled classes span the v_1-torsion (see the following proposition). The classes decorated with triangles

represent the only classes in this range which are v_1-periodic, but evil — this will be explained in the next subsection.

Proposition 8.3. *The v_1-torsion in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ for $0 \leq t - s \leq 43$ is spanned by the circled classes in Figure 8.1.*

Proof. This represents a tedious analysis of available Ext data, the highlights of which we summarize here. Basically, one must check that the images of the uncircled classes are linearly independent in $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and that the circled classes are v_1-torsion.

The vast majority of the uncircled classes are v_1^4-periodic. This can be verified by checking that for such classes x, the image of the iterated Adams P operator $P^N x$ is non-trivial, for N sufficiently large that $P^N x$ lies above the $1/3$-line. These verifications can be done using Bruner's tables [Bru93]. In many instances, the process is expedited by simply observing that the corresponding classes map non-trivially to $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(2)_*}$, where everything is v_1^4-periodic.

The only v_1-periodic classes this technique does not apply to are those in the v_0-towers $v_0^j h_i$ in $t - s = 2^i - 1$, the "broken" v_0-towers in $t - s = 2^i - 2$, and the v_0-towers with bottoms in bidegrees $(t - s, s) = (37, 5)$ and $(38, 6)$.

In the case of the v_0-towers $v_0^j h_i$, the tops of the towers are actually v_1^4-periodic (by the P-operator). That implies that the images of the top of the towers in $v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are non-trivial. It must therefore be the case that all of the classes $v_0^j h_i$ must be non-trivial.

In the case of the broken v_0 towers in $t - s = 2^i - 2$, we employ a bit of a cheat. The idea is that in the localized ASS

$$v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \Rightarrow v_1^{-1}\pi_* S$$

the tops of the broken v_0-towers in dimensions $s2^i - 2$ are the targets of differentials on the v_0-towers in dimensions $s2^i - 1$. If differentials of the same length happen in the unlocalized ASS, then the targets of these differentials must be non-trivial under the map of ASS's:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \Longrightarrow \pi_* S$$
$$\downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow$$
$$v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \Longrightarrow v_1^{-1}\pi_* S$$

We illustrate this principle with an example: we will show the class $v_0 h_3^2$ is v_1^8-periodic. In the unlocalized ASS's, there is a differential

$$d_2(v_0^4 Q') = v_0^5 i^2$$

(where Q' is in degree $(47, 13)$ and i^2 in $(46, 14)$) and this differential must map to a non-trivial d_2-differential in the localized ASS since we are working in a region where the map

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to v_1^{-1}\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

FIGURE 8.1. v_1-periodicity in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

is an isomorphism. In the localized ASS, this differential interpolates back to a differential

$$d_2(h_4) = v_1^{-16} v_0^5 i^2.$$

Since in the unlocalized ASS we have

$$d_2(h_4) = v_0 h_3^2$$

we deduce that $v_0 h_3^2$ must map to $v_1^{-16} v_0^5 i^2$ in v_1^{-1} Ext, and therefore in Ext we have

$$v_1^{16} v_0 h_3^2 = v_0^5 i^2.$$

Since $v_0^5 i^2$ is above the $1/3$ line, we deduce that $v_0 h_3^2$ is v_1-periodic. Because it is located in the region of Ext where multiplication by v_1^8 is well-defined, we deduce that in fact $v_0 h_3^2$ is v_1^8-periodic.

In the case of the towers in $(t - s, s) = (37, 5)$ and $(38, 6)$, we deduce they are v_1-periodic as follows. It suffices to show the tops of these towers $v_0^5 x$ and $v_0^3 y$ are v_1-periodic. We have

$$v_0^5 x = h_2^2 d_0 e_0,$$
$$v_0^3 y = h_2^2 l.$$

The classes $h_2 d_0$ and $h_2 l$ have already been shown to be v_1^4-periodic, and we have

$$v_0^8 P^2 x' = h_2^2 P^4 d_0 e_0,$$
$$v_0^8 R_1' = h_2 P^4 h_2 l,$$

for $P^2 x'$ in $(69, 18)$ and R_1' in $(70, 17)$. Moreover, we are working in a range (c.f. Theorem 5.3 where the composite

$$(8.4) \qquad \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t} \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s-1,t}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*) \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}(\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $v_1^{16} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and the composite (8.4) is h_2-linear, we deduce that

$$v_1^{16} v_0^5 x = v_0^8 P^2 x',$$
$$v_1^{16} v_0^3 y = v_0^8 R_1'.$$

Since $v_0^8 P^2 x'$ and $v_0^8 R_1'$ lie above the $1/3$ line, they are v_1-periodic. It follows that $v_0^5 x$ and $v_0^3 y$ are both v_1-periodic.

We now explain how to check that the circled classes are v_1-torsion. The classes which are divisible by h_i for $i \geq 4$ can be handled with the following trick, which we illustrate in the case of $i = 4$. Using the fact that h_4 is v_1-periodic, we can deduce that

$$v_1^{16} h_4 = v_0^4 Q'.$$

It follows that for all $x = h_4 y$,

$$v_1^{16} x = v_1^{16} h_4 y = v_0^4 Q' y.$$

Therefore if y is annihilated by v_0^4, $v_1^{16} h_4 y = 0$. It follows that v_1^{16} times the classes

$$h_4 h_1, \ h_4 h_2, h_4 c_0$$

are all zero. Therefore the same is true of their v_0, h_1, and h_2-multiples. The same trick shows that $v_2^{32} h_5 y = 0$ is true for all of the relevant values of y.

The remaining cases, such as c_1, n, etc. are handled by observing that the only classes which could detect their v_1^{16} (or v_1^{32}, as appropriate) multiples either (1) have non-trivial products with v_0 or h_1 which the original class does not have, or (2) map non-trivially to $v_1^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{A(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (and the original class maps trivially into this Ext group.) The case of f_1 in $(t-s, s) = (40, 4)$ is somewhat tricky. The only candidate to detect $v_1^{32} f_1$ has non-trivial product with d_0, whereas $f_1 d_0 = 0$. The same argument shows the class c_2 in $(41, 3)$ is v_1-torsion.   □

The cohomology of $\mathcal{C}_{alg}$. Throughout this section, by AKSS we refer to the *algebraic* AKSS. The cohomology groups $H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ can be read off from Theorem 4.7. The result, in low degrees, is depicted in Figure 8.2.

In this chart, the x-axis denotes $t - (s + n)$, and the y-axis denotes $s + n$. The bo-filtration n is encoded in a color:

n	color
0	black
1	blue
2	red
3	orange
4	green
5	cyan
6	pink
7	magenta
8	yellow
9	seagreen

Application of the Dichotomy Principle (Theorem 7.11) gives the following.

Proposition 8.5. *The classes in Figure 8.1 which are evil are precisely those classes which are marked with a circle (case (1) of Theorem 7.11) or a triangle (case (2) of Theorem 7.11).*

The propagation of good : v_1–periodic differentials. We now begin our stemwise computation of the algebraic AKSS

$$\substack{akss \\ alg} E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\alpha\epsilon, s, t} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A_*}^{s+n, t}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The full chart of this spectral sequence in the range we will be considering (starting at the $E_{1+\epsilon}$ page) is shown in Figure 8.3. The x-coordinate of this chart is $t - (s+n)$ and the y coordinate is $s + n$.

Notation. *We name the classes in Figure 8.3 by*

$$(x, y : n),$$

where $(x, y) = (t - (s+n), s+n)$ is the Adams coordinate and n is the bo–filtration. We use the same notation, but add a superscript to denote evil classes, i.e., $(x, y : n)^{ev}$. Call this the nature of the class. If multiple classes have the same nature, we distinguish them by a subscript $(x, y : n)_k$, respectively $(x, y : n)_k^{ev}$. The subscript

FIGURE 8.2. $H^{*,*,*}(\mathcal{C})$ in low degrees

FIGURE 8.3. The algebraic AKSS

k denotes that this is the k'th class from the left in our chart with this nature, (counting evil and good separately, since they have different natures).

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 8.6. *Let a and b be elements in the E_2-page of AKSS, which are in the Adams coordinate (x, y) and $(x - 1, y + 1)$. Suppose firstly that $v_0 \cdot a = 0, v_1^4 a \neq 0$ in the E_2-page of the AKSS, and secondly that the element $v_0^3(7, 1 : 1)$ annihilates all elements in the Adams coordinates $(x + 1, y)$ and $(x, y + 1)$. Then $d_2(a) = b$ implies that $d_2(v_1^4 a) = v_1^4 b$.*

Proof. We have a d_1 differential $d_1(v_1^4) = v_0^4(7, 1 : 1)$. Therefore, in the E_2-page of AKSS, we have the following Massey products with zero indeterminacies.

$$v_1^4 \cdot a = \left\langle a, v_0, v_0^3(7, 1 : 1) \right\rangle.$$

$$v_1^4 \cdot b = \left\langle b, v_0, v_0^3(7, 1 : 1) \right\rangle.$$

The first condition implies that the Massey products are well-defined. Note that by v_0-linearity, $v_0 \cdot a = 0$ and $d_2(a) = b$ imply that $v_0 \cdot b = 0$. The second condition implies the Massey products have zero indeterminacies. Note that the Adams bidegree of v_1^4 is zero starting from the E_2-page. Therefore, the lemma follows from the Leibniz's rule of Massey products. $\square$

Finally, recall from Proposition 5.6 that $N(x) \leq n$ if, for $(t - s, s)$ the Adams coordinates of x, we have $0 < t - s < 2s + 2^{n+1} - 6$.

We begin by establishing families of periodic differentials between good classes. By the Dichotomy Principle there can be no evil classes above the 1/3-line. This reduces the possibilities and allows us to make easy arguments. The differentials established in this region can then be "pulled back" using Lemma 7.9. Further, note that Lemma 7.9 implies that the relevant classes must survive long enough for the differentials stated below to occur.

Proposition 8.7. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((16, 3 : 1)) = (15, 4 : 3)$$

and a v_1^8–periodic family starting with

$$d_2((23, 6 : 1)) = (22, 7 : 3).$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(38, 15)$. Therefore, the class $(38, 15 : 3)$ cannot survive. This forces the differential $d_2((39, 14 : 1)) = (38, 15 : 3)$. By h_1–linearity, this implies that $d_2((40, 15 : 1)) = (39, 16 : 3)$. The classes $(40, 15 : 1)$ and $(39, 16 : 3)$ are v_1^4–periodic on the $E_{1+\epsilon}$–term of the AKSS. By Lemma 8.6, this differential is v_1^4–periodic (and, therefore, v_1^8–periodic). The classes $(39, 14 : 1)$ and $(38, 15 : 3)$ are v_1^8–periodic on the $E_{1+\epsilon}$–term. The reoccurrence of $d_2((40, 15 : 1)) = (39, 16 : 3)$ and h_1–linearity force the reoccurrence of $d_2((39, 14 : 1)) = (38, 15 : 3)$. Therefore, from this point on, the v_1^4, respectively v_1^8, multiples of these differentials

always occur. So using appropriate $v_1^{2^N}$–multiples, we apply Lemma 7.9 to pull-back these differentials. For example, we can apply Lemma 7.9 to the differential $d_2((48, 19 : 1)) = (47, 20 : 3)$ with $N = 3$ and $k = 2$ to deduce the differential $d_2((16, 3 : 1)) = (15, 4 : 3)$. We can apply Lemma 7.9 to the differential $d_2((55, 22 : 1)) = (54, 23 : 3)$ with $N = 4$ and $k = 1$ to deduce the differential $d_2((23, 6 : 1)) = (22, 7 : 3)$. □

Proposition 8.8. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((32, 3 : 1)) = (31, 4 : 3).$$

Proof. Since Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(71, 24)$, we must have $d_2((72, 23 : 1)) = (71, 24 : 3)$. The classes are v_1^4–periodic, so as in Proposition 8.7, we apply Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 7.9 to conclude the result. □

Proposition 8.9. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((29, 6 : 3)) = (28, 7 : 5) \quad and \quad d_2((30, 7 : 3)) = (29, 8 : 5).$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(44, 15)$. This forces the differential $d_2((45, 14 : 3)) = (44, 15 : 5)$. Then, h_1–linearity forces the differential $d_2((46, 15 : 3)) = (45, 16 : 5)$. These classes are v_1^4–periodic so Lemma 8.6 implies the periodicity of the differential. Finally, Lemma 7.9 allows us to pull the differentials backs. □

Proposition 8.10. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((35, 6 : 5)) = (34, 7 : 7) \quad and \quad d_2((36, 7 : 5)) = (35, 8 : 7).$$

Proof. This is the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 8.9, using the fact that Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(66, 23)$. □

Proposition 8.11. *There are v_1^4–periodic families of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((23, 5 : 2)) = (22, 6 : 4) \quad and \quad d_3((24, 6 : 2)) = (23, 7 : 5).$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(38, 14)$. Therefore, $(38, 14 : 4)$ cannot survive and this forces the differential $d_2((39, 13 : 2)) = (38, 14 : 4)$. As in Figure 8.4, let $x = (36, 12 : 4)$, $\partial y = (37, 13 : 4)$, $\partial h_1 y = (38, 14 : 4)$, $\partial w = (39, 13 : 2)$ and $\partial' z = (39, 13 : 5)$ and note that $\partial' z = h_2 x$. The differential $d_2(\partial w) = \partial h_1 y$ having been established, part (2) of Theorem 6.14 implies that $d_2(w) = h_1 y$. By h_1–linearity, $d_2(h_1 w) = h_1^2 y = v_0^2 z$. Then part (1) of Theorem 6.14 implies that $d_3(\partial h_1 w) = \partial' v_0^2 z = v_0^2 h_2 x$.

Note that once the d_2–differential is established, the d_3–differential is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.14. By Lemma 8.6, the d_2-differential is v_1^4–periodic, and hence, we have the same periodicity for the d_3–differential.

Finally, we use appropriate $v_1^{2^N}$–multiples to pull-back these differentials using Lemma 7.9. □

Proposition 8.12. *There are v_1^4–periodic families of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((29, 5 : 4)) = (28, 6 : 6) \quad and \quad d_3((30, 6 : 4)) = (29, 7 : 7).$$

FIGURE 8.4. An application of Theorem 6.14: a d_2–differential in the AKSS for $\overline{A /\!\!/ A(0)}_*$ (bottom) implies a d_3–differential in the AKSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$ (top).

Proof. This is the same argument as in Proposition 8.13, starting with the fact that Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(52, 18)$, which forces the d_2–differential $d_2((53, 17 : 4)) = (52, 18 : 6)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.13. *There are v_1^4–periodic families of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((23, 5 : 2)) = (22, 6 : 4) \quad and \quad d_3((24, 6 : 2)) = (23, 7 : 5).$$

Proof. This is the same argument as above, starting with the fact that Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(78, 26)$, so that $d_2((79, 25 : 2)) = (78, 26 : 4)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.14. *There are v_1^4–periodic families of differentials starting with*

$$d_2((43, 9 : 6)) = (42, 10 : 8) \quad and \quad d_3((44, 10 : 6)) = (43, 11 : 9).$$

Proof. This is the same argument as in Proposition 8.13, starting with the fact that Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(66, 22)$, which forces the d_2–differential $d_2((67, 21 : 6)) = (66, 22 : 8)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.15. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_7((41, 8 : 1)) = (40, 9 : 8).$$

Proof. These classes are v_1^4–periodic on the $E_{1+\epsilon}$–term of the AKSS, but they lie in the v_1^{16}–periodic region of Ext_{A_*}. So we proceed with appropriate care.

The fact that Ext_{A_*} is zero in degrees $(64, 21)$, $(72, 25)$, $(80, 29)$ and $(88, 33)$ forces differentials

$$d_7((65, 20 : 1)) = (64, 21 : 8) \qquad d_7((73, 24 : 1)) = (72, 25 : 8)$$
$$d_7((81, 28 : 1)) = (80, 29 : 8) \qquad d_7((89, 32 : 1)) = (88, 33 : 8).$$

We are in a range where the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to that of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Since $v_1^{16} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, this differential is v_1^{16}–linear in the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and thus, also in that of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Using Lemma 7.9, we can pull back the differentials as claimed. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 8.16. *There is are v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_5((41, 9 : 3)) = (40, 10 : 8) \quad and \quad d_6((42, 8 : 2)) = (41, 9 : 8).$$

Proof. This is an argument similar to Proposition 8.15. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degrees $(65, 21)$, $(73, 25)$, $(81, 29)$ and $(89, 33)$. For degree reasons, the only way the AKSS can realize this is if

$$d_5((65, 21 : 3)) = (64, 22 : 8) \qquad d_6((66, 20 : 2)) = (65, 21 : 8)$$
$$d_5((73, 25 : 3)) = (72, 26 : 8) \qquad d_6((74, 24 : 2)) = (73, 25 : 8)$$
$$d_5((81, 29 : 3)) = (80, 30 : 8) \qquad d_6((82, 28 : 2)) = (81, 29 : 8)$$
$$d_5((89, 33 : 3)) = (88, 34 : 8) \qquad d_6((90, 32 : 2)) = (89, 33 : 8).$$

Now apply Lemma 7.9. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 8.17. *There is a v_1^4–periodic family of differentials starting with*

$$d_5((44, 9 : 4)) = (43, 10 : 9).$$

Proof. We proceed as in Proposition 8.16. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degrees $(67, 22)$, $(75, 26)$, $(83, 30)$ and $(91, 34)$ and this forces the differential

$$d_5((68, 21 : 4)) = (67, 22 : 9)$$
$$d_5((76, 25 : 4)) = (75, 26 : 9)$$
$$d_5((84, 29 : 4)) = (83, 30 : 9)$$
$$d_5((92, 33 : 4)) = (91, 34 : 9).$$

We are in a range where the AKSS for $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to that of $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, so this differential is v_1^{16}–periodic and, further, we can pull it back with Lemma 7.9. $\qquad\square$

Remark 8.18. We will see that the only differentials between good classes which have not been accounted in our range are

$$d_5((42, 7 : 2) = (41, 8 : 7)$$
$$d_5((44, 8 : 4)) = (43, 9 : 9).$$

The same methods as above using v_1^{32}–periodicity would apply, but would require studying Ext_{A_*} in the stems ≥ 100 so we have decided to use direct arguments (see Proposition 8.43).

Proposition 8.19. *There is a v_1^8–periodic family of differentials*

$$d_5((46, 12 : 2)) = (45, 13 : 7) \quad and \quad d_5((46, 13 : 2)) = (45, 14 : 7).$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(61, 21)$. For degree reasons, $(61, 22 : 7)$ must be hit by a differential, which forces $d_5((62, 20 : 2)) = (61, 21 : 7)$. Then v_0–linearity gives $d_5((62, 21 : 2)) = (61, 22 : 7)$. Similarly, $d_5((78, 28 : 2)) = (77, 27 : 7)$ and $d_5((78, 29 : 2)) = (77, 28 : 7)$. As before, these differentials are v_1^{16}–periodic and can be pulled-back. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 8.20. *There is a v_1^{16}–periodic family of differentials*

$$d_5((47, 11 : 1)) = (46, 12 : 6) \quad and \quad d_5((47, 12 : 1)) = (46, 13 : 6).$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(78, 28)$, for degree reasons, we must have $d_5((79, 27 : 1)) = (78, 28 : 6)$ and by v_0–linearity, $d_5((79, 28 : 1)) = (78, 29 : 6)$. The v_1^{16}-periodicity of these differentials with Lemma 7.9 proves the claim. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 8.21. *After taking into account the above differentials, There is a bijection between Ext_{A_*} and the remaining classes of $H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ in the intersection of the regions $1/3x \leq y$ and $1/2(x - 26) + 1 \leq y$ for stems $0 \leq x \leq 90$.*

Proof. This is a simple dimension count. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 8.22. *There are no additional differentials between good classes whose source is in the intersection of the regions $1/2(x-26) \leq y$ and $1/3(x+32) \leq y+16$ for $x \leq 44$.*

Proof. Such a differentials would have a target in the region $1/2(x - 26) + 1 \leq y$ and would be v_1^{16} periodic. Multiplying it with v_1^{16} would give a differential with a target above the $1/3$-line in the region of Theorem 8.21, which has not already been accounted for, a contradiction. $\qquad\square$

Remark 8.23. *The lines $1/2(x - 26) + 1 = y$ and $y = 1/3x$ intersect at $(72, 24)$. Therefore, any class in the region $1/2(x - 26) + 1 \leq y$ has a v_1^{16}–multiple above the $1/3$-line in a stem less than or equal to 104. Therefore, an analysis of $H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ for $t - (s + n) \leq 104$ would allow us to improve the theorem and determine all differentials between good classes with source in the region $1/2(x - 26) \leq y$. However, we do not need this for the computation in our range and have decided to stop at this slightly weaker result.*

The calm before the storm : Stems 0-32. In the following subsections, we turn to differentials involving evil classes and the few good differentials our previous analysis missed. We make extensive use of Figure 8.1.

Stem 0-14

Proposition 8.24. *There are no non-trivial differentials d_r for $r \geq 1 + \epsilon$ in the AKSS in the range $0 \leq t - (s + n) \leq 14$ and, in this range, $^{akss}_{alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\epsilon,s,t} = 0$ and*

$$H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \cong {}^{akss}_{alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n,s,t} \cong {}^{akss}_{alg}E_{\infty}^{n,s,t}.$$

Proof. From Figure 8.1, all classes in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ for $0 \leq t - s \leq 15$ are detected by good and there is a bijection between $H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{A(2)_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in this range.   □

Stems 15-21

Proposition 8.25. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((16, 2 : 2)) = (15, 3 : 3)^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((18, 3 : 2)) = (17, 4 : 4)^{ev}.$$

Proof. The only class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in degree $(16, 2)$ and $(18, 3)$ are detected by evil, therefore, $(16, 2 : 2)$ and $(18, 3 : 2)$ cannot survive, forcing these differentials.   □

Proposition 8.26. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((21, 3 : 3)) = (20, 4 : 4)^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((21, 4 : 3)) = (20, 5 : 5)^{ev}.$$

Proof. It follows from Figure 8.1 that $h_2^2 h_4$ by $(21, 4 : 4)^{ev}$. All classes in Ext_{A_*} in degree $(21, 3)$ are accounted for and Ext_{A_*} is zero in $(21, 4)$. Both $(21, 3 : 3)$ and $(21, 4 : 3)$ must die, and the only possibility is for them to kill evil.   □

Stem 22-25

Proposition 8.27. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((22, 3 : 3)) = (21, 4 : 4)^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((23, 4; 3)) = (22, 5; 5)^{ev}.$$

Proof. The first differentials follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*} = 0$ in bidegree $(22, 3)$. The class $h_4 c_0$ is detected by $(23, 4 : 4)^{ev}$. It follows that all classes of Ext_{A_*} in $(23, 4)$ have been accounted for, and this forces the differential on $(23, 4; 3)$.   □

Proposition 8.28. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((24, 2; 2)) = (23, 3 : 3)^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((24, 4; 4)) = (23, 5 : 5)^{ev},$$
$$d_{2+\epsilon}((24, 5; 4)) = (23, 6 : 6)^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((25, 3; 3)) = (24, 4 : 4)^{ev}.$$

Proof. After taking into account the good differentials and the restrictions imposed by Figure 8.1 all classes in Ext_{A_*} in stem 24 and 25 have been accounted for. These are the only possibilities left.   □

Stem 26-27

Proposition 8.29. *There are no non-trivial differentials d_r, $r \geq 1 + \epsilon$ in the AKSS with source or target satisfying $t - (s + n) = 26, 27$. In these stems, $^{akss}_{alg}E_{1+\epsilon}^{n+\epsilon,s,t} = 0$ and $H^{n,s,t}(\mathcal{C}_{alg}) \cong {}^{akss}_{alg}E_\infty^{n,s,t}$.*

Stem 28-32

Proposition 8.30. *There are differentials*

$$d_{3+\epsilon}((30, 4 : 2)) = (29, 5 : 5)^{ev}, \qquad d_{4+\epsilon}((30, 5 : 2)) = (29, 6 : 6)^{ev}.$$

Proof. By Figure 8.1, both $v_0^2 h_4^2$ and $v_0^3 h_4^2$ are detected by evil classes $(30, 4 : 4)^{ev}$ and $(30, 5 : 5)^{ev}$ respectively. This implies that $(30, 4 : 2)$ and $(30, 5 : 2)$ do not survive. Taking into account the good differentials already established, this is the only possibility. $\square$

Proposition 8.31. $v_0^s h_5$ *for* $0 \le s \le 15$ *are detected by* $(31, s + 1 : 1)$.

Proof. By Figure 8.1, h_5 is good so must be detected by $(31, 1 : 1)$. By v_0–linearity, the whole tower consists of permanent cycles. For degree reasons they cannot be targets of differentials and the claim follows. $\square$

Proposition 8.32. *There are differentials*

$$d_{4+\epsilon}((31, 5 : 2)) = (30, 6 : 6)^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((32, 2 : 2)) = (31, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}.$$

Proof. Since n is detected by an evil class, all elements of Ext_{A_*} in degrees $(31, 5)$ have been accounted for, forcing the first differential. Since $h_1 h_5$ is detected by evil, $(32, 2 : 2)$ cannot survive. The $d_{1+\epsilon}$ is the only possibility. $\square$

The proliferation of evil: Stems 33-42. The developing phenomena in the remaining stems is that all good classes of low Adams filtration die killing evil classes, and the non-zero elements of Ext_{A_*} are detected by evil.

Stems 33-34

Proposition 8.33. *There are differentials*

$$d_{4+\epsilon}((33, 6 : 3)) = (32, 7 : 7)^{ev}$$
$$d_{3+\epsilon}((33, 5 : 3)) = (32, 6 : 6)^{ev}$$
$$d_{4+\epsilon}((33, 4 : 1)) = (32, 5 : 5)^{ev}.$$

Proof. $(33, 7 : 3)$ detects $h_1 q$ and, in Ext_{A_*}, it is not divisible by v_0. Hence, $(33, 6 : 3)$ and $(33, 5 : 3)$ cannot survive and these differentials are the only possibilities. No element of Ext_{A_*} in $(33, 4)$ is detected by a good class. This forces the $d_{4+\epsilon}$–differential. $\square$

Proposition 8.34. *There are differentials*

$$d_{2+\epsilon}((34, 3 : 2)) = (33, 4 : 4)_1^{ev},$$
$$d_{3+\epsilon}((34, 4 : 2)) = (33, 5 : 5)_1^{ev},$$
$$d_{4+\epsilon}((34, 5 : 2)) = (33, 6 : 6)^{ev}.$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} in degrees $(34, 3)$, $(34, 4)$ and $(34, 5)$ is either zero, or its elements are detected by evil classes. This forces these differentials. $\square$

Stems 35-43

Proposition 8.35. *The class x is detected by* $(37, 5 : 3)$.

Proof. Both x and $v_0 x$ are detected by good classes, and for $v_0 x$, the only possibility is $(37, 6 : 3)$. Since there cannot be an exotic v_0-extension from $(37, 5 : 4)$ to $(37, 6 : 3)$, we must have that x is detected by $(37, 5 : 3)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.36. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((36, 4 : 4)) = (35, 5 : 5)_2^{ev},$$
$$d_{2+\epsilon}((36, 5 : 4)) = (35, 6 : 6)^{ev},$$
$$d_{3+\epsilon}((36, 6 : 4)) = (35, 7 : 7)_1^{ev}.$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} in degrees $(36, 4)$ and $(36, 5)$ is zero and the only non-zero element of Ext_{A_*} in $(36, 6)$ is detected by an evil class. For degree reasons, we must have the first three differentials. $\square$

Proposition 8.37. *For $i = 1, 2$, there are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((37, 3 : 3)_i) = (36, 4 : 4)_i^{ev},$$
$$d_{2+\epsilon}((37, 4 : 3)_i) = (36, 5 : 5)_i^{ev}.$$

Proof. In these bi-degrees, Ext_{A_*} is zero or detected by evil. For degree reasons, we must have these differentials. $\square$

Proposition 8.38. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((38, 2 : 2)) = (37, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((38, 3 : 2)) = (37, 4 : 4)_1^{ev},$$
$$d_{3+\epsilon}((38, 4 : 2)) = (37, 5 : 5)^{ev}, \qquad d_{4+\epsilon}((38, 5 : 2)) = (37, 6 : 6)^{ev}.$$

and, for $i = 1, 2$, differentials

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((38, 3 : 3)_i) = (38, 4 : 4)_{i+1}^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((39, 4 : 3)_i) = (38, 5 : 5)_{i+1}^{ev}.$$

Proof. All classes of Ext_{A_*} in the stems 38 and 39 for $y \leq 5$ are detected by evil. No good class can survive. For both families, the $d_{1+\epsilon}$'s and the $d_{2+\epsilon}$'s are the only possibilities. By v_0–linearity, $(38, 4 : 2)$ is a $d_{2+\epsilon}$–cycle, hence it cannot kill $(37, 5 : 4)$. Therefore, we must have the claimed $d_{3+\epsilon}$. The $d_{4+\epsilon}$ is also the only possibility. $\square$

Proposition 8.39. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((36, 6 : 6)) = (35, 7 : 7)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((37, 5 : 4)) = (36, 6 : 6)_2^{ev}.$$

Proof. The class cannot $(36, 6 : 6)$ survive since the only non-zero element of Ext_{A_*} in $(36, 6)$ is detected by evil. The only other possibility is that $d_2((37, 5 : 4)) = (36, 6 : 6)$. However, this would imply that $d_2((45, 9 : 4)) = (44, 10 : 6)$, contradicting Proposition 8.14.

For the $d_{2+\epsilon}$–differential, by Proposition 8.35, x has already been accounted for, hence, $(45, 9 : 4)$ cannot survive. Proposition 8.38 eliminates the only other possibility. $\square$

Proposition 8.40. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((40, 2 : 2)) = (39, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((41, 3 : 3)) = (40, 4 : 4)_3^{ev}$$

and, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((39, 5 : 5)) = (38, 6 : 6)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((40, 4 : 4)_i) = (39, 5 : 5)_{i+1}^{ev}$$
$$d_{2+\epsilon}((39, 6 : 5)) = (38, 7 : 7)^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((40, 5 : 4)_i) = (39, 6 : 6)_i^{ev}.$$

Proof. Ext_{A_*} is zero in $(40, 2)$ and this justifies the first differential. Ext_{A_*} in $(39, 5)$ and $(39, 6)$ is either detected by evil or zero. Hence, the sources of these differentials cannot survive. Taking bo–filtration into account, this is the only possibility. The other differentials are justified in a similar way. $\square$

Proposition 8.41. *There are differentials*

$$d_{1+\epsilon}((41, 7 : 7)) = (40, 8 : 8)^{ev}, \qquad d_{1+\epsilon}((42, 6 : 6)) = (41, 7 : 7)_2^{ev},$$
$$d_{1+\epsilon}((42, 7 : 7)) = (41, 8 : 8)^{ev}, \qquad d_{2+\epsilon}((43, 8 : 7)) = (42, 9 : 9)^{ev}.$$

Proof. The only classes in Ext_{A_*} in degree $(41, 7)$ and $(42, 6)$ are detected by evil. Because of bo–filtrations, this forces the three $d_{1+\epsilon}$-differentials.

Ext_{A_*} is zero in degree $(43, 8)$. Hence the source of the $d_{2+\epsilon}$ cannot survive. The only other possibility is that $d_2((44, 7 : 5)) = (42, 7 : 7)$. However, this differential would be v_1^4–periodic, and this would contradict the fact that there is a non-zero element in Ext_{A_*} in degree $(83, 28)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.42. *There is a differential*

$$d_{2+\epsilon}((38, 7 : 6)) = (37, 8 : 8)^{ev}.$$

Proof. In bidegree $(38, 7)$, the element $v_0 y$ in Ext_{A_*}, is either detected by $(38, 7 : 6)$ or by $(38, 7 : 3)$. Therefore, one of them supports a nontrivial differential that kills an evil, and the other one survives.

Suppose that $(38, 7 : 6)$ survives in the AKSS. In bidegree $(46, 11)$, $d_0 l$ is the only element in Ext_{A_*}. Further, the only non-zero element in Ext_{A_*} in degree $(78, 27)$ is $l P^4 d_0$. We are in a region where $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_*} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{A(4)_*}$ and hence $l P^4 d_0$ maps to $v_1^{16} d_0 l$ in the latter. In particular, $d_0 l$ is v_1-periodic. This class is above the $1/3$-line, and the only element in the AKSS left to detect $l P^4 d_0$ is $(78, 27 : 6)$. By the Dichotomy Principle, $d_0 l$ is good and so must be detected by $(46, 11 : 6)$. Finally, in $_{alg}^{bo} E_1^{*,*,*}$, $v_1^4 (38, 7 : 6) = (46, 11 : 6)$, which implies that $P y v_0 = d_0 l$ (with zero indeterminacy). However, $P y = 0$ since this bidegree is zero in Ext_{A_*}, a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 8.43. *There are differentials*

$$d_5((42, 7 : 2), = (41, 8 : 7) \qquad d_5((44, 8 : 4)) = (43, 9 : 9).$$

Proof. The only class in Ext_{A_*} in degree $(42, 6)$ is detected by evil. Therefore, $(42, 7 : 2)$ must support a differential. If it kills evil, that differential would be a $d_{6+\epsilon}$–differential. We have $v_0(42, 7 : 2) = (42, 8 : 2)$, so such a $d_{6+\epsilon}$ would imply that $d_6((42, 8 : 2)) = 0$, contradicting Proposition 8.16. The only possibility is the first d_5.

The second differential follows from Proposition 8.17 by v_0–linearity. $\qquad\square$

9. COMPUTATION OF THE TOPOLOGICAL bo-RESOLUTION

In this section, we deduce the differentials in the topological bo-based Adams spectral sequence from known computations of the stable homotopy groups of spheres (see [Isa14] for example). We find that certain products in π_*, which are nontrivial extensions in the classical Adams spectral sequence, are products in E_2-page of the bo-based Adams spectral sequence, and therefore not extension problems.

Remark 9.1. *In the following computation, we will use the fact that the map from* bo *to* $H\mathbb{F}_2$ *induces a map of spectral sequences*

$$^{bo}E_2^{*,*} \to \mathrm{Ext}_{A_*}^{*,*}.$$

In particular, if an element $x \in \pi_*$ is detected in Ext_{A_*} by a class of Adams filtration s, then it must be detected in $^{bo}E_2^{*,*}$ by a class of bo–filtration $n \leq s$.

It is straightforward to see that there are no differentials up to stem 28.

Stems 29-31

Proposition 9.2. *The element $(30, 2 : 2)$ survives and detects θ_4.*

Proof. The element $(30, 2 : 2)$ maps to h_4^2 in Ext_{A_*}, which detects θ_4. Since there are no elements with bo-filtration lower than $(30, 2 : 2)$, by Remark 9.1, $(30, 2 : 2)$ survives and detects θ_4. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.3. *There are differentials $d_{2+\epsilon}((30, 3 : 3)) = (29, 5 : 5)^{ev}$, $d_2((30, 4 : 4)^{ev}) = (29, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ and $d_{2-\epsilon}((30, 5 : 5)^{ev}) = (29, 7 : 7)$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_{29} = 0$, none of the three elements in the 29-stem can survive. This forces these d_2–differentials. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.4. *One of $(31, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}$ and $(31, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}$ supports a d_3 differential that kills $(30, 6 : 6)^{ev}$, the other one survives and detects $\eta\theta_4$. Without loss of generality, we adopt the convention that $d_3((31, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}) = (30, 6 : 6)^{ev}$, and $(31, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}$ survives and detects $\eta\theta_4$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_{30} = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $(30, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ must be hit by a differential. The only possibility is that it be hit by one of $(31, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}$ and $(31, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}$ by a d_3–differential. The other one must survive and detect $\eta\theta_4$, since the Adams filtration of $\eta\theta_4$ is $s = 3$. $\qquad\square$

FIGURE 9.1. The topological AKSS

Corollary 9.5. *The element $(31, 5 : 5)^{ev}$ survives and detects $\{n\}$.*

Stems 32-34

Proposition 9.6. *The elements $(32, 2 : 2)^{ev}$, $(32, 4 : 4)^{ev}$, $(32, 5 : 5)^{ev}$ and $(33, 3 : 3)^{ev}$ survive and detect η_5, $\{d_1\}$, $\{q\}$ and $\eta\eta_5$ respectively. One of the elements $(33, 4 : 4)^{ev}_1$ and $(33, 4 : 4)^{ev}_2$, say $(33, 4 : 4)^{ev}_1$, survives and detects $\nu\theta_4$. (Note that in the classical Adams spectral sequence, p detects $\nu\theta_4$.)*

Proof. This follows from Remark 9.1. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.7. $d_2((33, 4 : 4)^{ev}_2) = (32, 6 : 6)^{ev}$. *One of the elements $(33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1$ and $(33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_2$, say $(33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1$, supports a d_2 differential that kills $(32, 7 : 7)^{ev}$. That is, $d_2((33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1) = (32, 7 : 7)^{ev}$.*

Proof. Since all classes in π_{32} are accounted for, this is the only possibility to kill $(32, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ and $(32, 7 : 7)^{ev}$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.8. *There is a differential $d_3((34, 2 : 2)^{ev}) = (33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_2$ and $(33, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ survives to detect $\eta\{q\}$.*

Proof. Since h_2h_5 is detected by $(34, 2 : 2)^{ev}$ and h_0p by $(33, 5 : 5)^{ev}_2$ in the algebraic bo spectral sequence, the d_3 differential $d_3(h_2h_5) = h_0p$ in the classical Adams spectral sequence implies the first claim and $(33, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ is the only class left to detect $\eta\{q\}$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 9.9. *The elements $(34, 3 : 3)^{ev}$, $(34, 4 : 4)^{ev}$, $(34, 6 : 6)^{ev}$, $(34, 7 : 7)$ survive and detect $\{h_0h_2h_5\}$, $\{h_0^2h_2h_5\}$, $\nu\{n\}$, $\kappa\overline{\kappa}$ respectively. (Note that it is d_0g that detects $\kappa\overline{\kappa}$ in the classical Adams spectral sequence.)*

Stems 35-36

Proposition 9.10. *The element $(35, 5 : 5)^{ev}$ survives and detects $\nu\{d_1\}$. One of the elements $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_1$ and $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_2$, say $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_1$, survives and detects $\eta\kappa\overline{\kappa}$.*

Proof. The first claim follows from Remark 9.1. Since $\kappa\overline{\kappa}$ is detected by $(34, 7 : 7)$ with bo-filtration 7, $\eta\kappa\overline{\kappa}$ has bo-filtration at least 7. (Note that η has bo-filtration 0). Therefore, the only possibility is that one of the elements $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_1$ or $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_2$ detects $\eta\kappa\overline{\kappa}$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.11. $d_2((36, 4 : 4)^{ev}) = (35, 6 : 6)^{ev}$. *One of the elements $(36, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1$ and $(36, 5 : 5)^{ev}_2$, say $(36, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1$, supports a d_2 differential that kills $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_2$. That is, $d_2((36, 5 : 5)^{ev}_1) = (35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_2$.*

Proof. Since all classes in π_{35} are accounted for, this is the only possibility to kill $(35, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ and $(35, 7 : 7)^{ev}_2$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 9.12. *The element $(37, 3 : 3)^{ev}_1$ survives and detects $\{h_2^2h_5\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Remark 9.1. □

Proposition 9.13. *The element* $(36, 6 : 6)_1^{ev}$ *survives and detects* $\{t\}$ *and there are differentials*

$$d_2((37, 3 : 3)_2^{ev}) = (36, 5 : 5)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_2((37, 4 : 4)_1^{ev}) = (36, 6 : 6)_2^{ev}.$$

Proof. The element $(36, 6 : 6)_1^{ev}$ maps to t in Ext_{A_*}. Since t is not a boundary in the classical Adams spectral sequence, $(36, 6 : 6)_1^{ev}$ is also not a boundary. Therefore, $(36, 6 : 6)_1^{ev}$ survives and detects $\{t\}$. The other two differentials are the only possibility left. □

Stems 37-38

Proposition 9.14. *The elements* $(38, 4 : 4)_1^{ev}$, $(38, 5 : 5)_1^{ev}$ *survive and detect* $\{h_0^2 h_3 h_5\}$, $\{h_0^3 h_3 h_5\}$ *respectively.*

Proof. The elements $(38, 2 : 2)^{ev}$, $(38, 3 : 3)^{ev}$, $(38, 4 : 4)_2^{ev}$ map to $h_3 h_5$, $h_0 h_3 h_5$, e_1 in Ext_{A_*}. Since $h_3 h_5$, $h_0 h_3 h_5$, e_1 support non-trivial differentials in the classical Adams spectral sequence, so do $(38, 2 : 2)^{ev}$, $(38, 3 : 3)^{ev}$ and $(38, 4 : 4)_2^{ev}$ in the bo-Adams spectral sequence. By Remark 9.1 and filtration reasons, $(38, 4 : 4)_1^{ev}$, $(38, 5 : 5)_1^{ev}$ survive and detect $\{h_0^2 h_3 h_5\}$, $\{h_0^3 h_3 h_5\}$ respectively. □

Proposition 9.15. *The element* $(38, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ *survives and detects* $\nu^2\{d_1\}$.

Proof. On one hand, $\nu^2\{d_1\}$ has Adams filtration 6, and therefore bo-Adams filtration at most 6. On the other hand, ν and $\nu\{d_1\}$ have bo-Adams filtration 1 and 5, therefore $\nu^2\{d_1\}$ has *bo*-Adams filtration at least 6. Therefore, $\nu^2\{d_1\}$ has bo-Adams filtration 6, and the claim then follows from the fact that $(38, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ is the only element left in bo-Adams filtration 6 in this stem. □

Proposition 9.16. $d_3((38, 5 : 5)_2^{ev}) = (37, 8 : 8)^{ev}$.

Proof. In π_{37}, all classes have Adams filtration at most 5, and therefore bo-Adams filtration at most 5. The target element $(37, 8 : 8)^{ev}$ has bo-Adams filtration 8, therefore must be killed. Since $(38, 6 : 6)^{ev}$ survives, by Proposition 9.14, the only possibility left to kill $(37, 8 : 8)^{ev}$ is an element in bo-Adams filtration 5, say $(38, 5 : 5)_2^{ev}$. □

Proposition 9.17. $d_2((39, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}) = (38, 5 : 5)_3^{ev}$.

Proof. Every classes in π_{38} have already been accounted for, therefore $(38, 5 : 5)_3^{ev}$ must either support a differential or get killed. Suppose it is not killed. Then it can only support a d_2 differential that kills $(37, 7 : 7)^{ev}$. It follows that the elements $(38, 2 : 2)^{ev}$, $(38, 3 : 3)^{ev}$, $(38, 4 : 4)_2^{ev}$ kill elements in *bo*-Adams filtration 6, 5, 4 respectively. This leaves only one element in stem 37. However, $\pi_{37} = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $d_2((39, 3 : 3)_1^{ev}) = (38, 5 : 5)_3^{ev}$. □

Proposition 9.18. *The elements* $(39,3:3)_2^{ev}$, $(39,4:4)^{ev}$, $(39,5:5)_1^{ev}$, $(40,4:4)_1^{ev}$, $(40,4:4)_2^{ev}$, $(40,5:5)_1^{ev}$ *survive and detect* $\sigma\eta_5$, $\{h_5c_0\}$, $\sigma\{d_1\}$, $\eta\sigma\eta_5$, $\{f_1\}$, $\epsilon\eta_5$ *respectively. (Note that* $\sigma\eta_5$, $\sigma\{d_1\}$, $\epsilon\eta_5$ *are detected by* $h_1h_3h_5$, h_1e_1, $h_1h_5c_0$ *in the classical Adams spectral sequence respectively).*

Proof. This follows from Remark 9.1. □

Proposition 9.19. $d_2((39,5:5)_2^{ev}) = (38,7:7)^{ev}$.

Proof. Since all classes in π_{38} are accounted for, this is the only possibility left. □

Proposition 9.20. $d_3((38,4:4)_2^{ev}) = (37,7:7)^{ev}$.

Proof. Since $(38,4:4)_2^{ev}$ maps to e_1 in Ext_{A_*}, it must supports a d_2 or d_3 differential. The other possibility is that it kills $(37,6:6)^{ev}$ by a d_2. This would force a d_4 differential: $d_4((38,3:3)^{ev}) = (37,7:7)^{ev}$ since this is the only possibility to kill $(37,7:7)^{ev}$. (The element $(38,2:2)^{ev}$ cannot supports a d_5 differential, since its image in Ext_{A_*} supports a d_4 differential.) This implies that $(37,7:7)^{ev}$ must map to $d_4(h_0h_3h_5) = h_0^2x \neq h_2^2n$. This is a contradiction since $(37,7:7)^{ev}$ maps to h_2^2n in Ext_{A_*}. Therefore, the differential $d_3((38,4:4)_2^{ev}) = (37,7:7)^{ev}$ is the only possibility. □

Proposition 9.21. $d_3((38,3:3)^{ev}) = (37,6:6)^{ev}$.

Proof. The element $(37,6:6)^{ev}$ in bo-Adams filtration 6 must be killed, since all classes in π_{37} have Adams filtration at most 5. The other possibility to kill it is by a d_4 differential: $d_4((38,2:2)^{ev}) = (37,6:6)^{ev}$. This would imply that $(37,6:6)^{ev}$ maps to $d_4(h_3h_5) = h_0x$ in Ext_{A_*}, which is not the case since it maps to the target of an algebraic $d_{1+\epsilon}$–differential (see Proposition 8.38). □

Proposition 9.22. $d_3((38,2:2)^{ev}) = (37,5:5)^{ev}$. *The element* $(37,4:4)_2^{ev}$ *survives and detects* $\sigma\theta_4$. *(Note that* $\sigma\theta_4$ *is detected by* x *in the classical Adams spectral sequence.)*

Proof. The other possibility is that $\sigma\theta_4$ is detected by $(37,5:5)^{ev}$. Since $\sigma\theta_4$ has Adams filtration 5, this implies that the element $(37,5:5)^{ev}$ maps to x in Ext_{A_*}, which would contradict Proposition 8.35 and Proposition 8.38. □

Stems 39-42

Proposition 9.23. *There are differentials*

$$d_2((41,3:3)^{ev}) = (40,5:5)_2^{ev}, \qquad d_2((41,4:4)^{ev}) = (40,6:6)_1^{ev}.$$

The elements $(41,5:5)^{ev}$, $(40,6:6)_2^{ev}$, $(41,7:7)^{ev}$, $(40,8:8)$, $(41,8:8)^{ev}$, $(42,6:6)^{ev}$, $(42,7:7)^{ev}$, $(42,8:8)^{ev}$ *survive and detect* $\{f_1\}$, $\{Ph_1h_5\}$, $\eta\{Ph_1h_5\}$, $\overline{\kappa}^2$, $\eta\overline{\kappa}^2$, $\{Ph_2h_5\}$, $2\{Ph_2h_5\}$, $4\{Ph_2h_5\}$ *respectively.*

Proof. This is the only possibility left. □

Proposition 9.24. *The elements* $(39, 6 : 6)_1^{ev}$, $(39, 7 : 7)^{ev}$ *survive and detect* $\{u\}$, $\nu\{t\}$. $d_2((40, 4 : 4)_3^{ev}) = (39, 6 : 6)_2^{ev}$.

Proof. On one hand, $\nu\{t\}$ has Adams filtration 7, and therefore bo-Adams filtration at most 7. On the other hand, ν and $\{t\}$ have *bo*-Adams filtration 1 and 6, therefore $\nu\{t\}$ has bo-Adams filtration at least 7. That $(39, 7 : 7)^{ev}$ detects $\nu\{t\}$ follows from the fact that this is the only element in bo-Adams filtration 7. All classes in π_{40} are accounted for. Therefore, the element $(40, 4 : 4)_3^{ev}$ must support a differential, and this is the only possibility. $\square$

We finish with a few remarks on the E_∞-page.

Remark 9.25 (Stems 8-9). Recall that $\epsilon \in \pi_8$ is defined to be the unique class with Adams filtration 3. Also recall that we have a relation in π_9:

$$\nu^3 = \eta\epsilon + \eta^2\sigma.$$

In π_9, ν^3 is detected by $(9, 3 : 3)$, since ν^2 is detected by $(6, 2 : 2)$. It follows from the above relation that $\epsilon + \eta\sigma$ is detected by $(8, 2 : 2)$. Since $\eta\sigma$ is detected by $(8, 1 : 1)$, we have that ϵ is also detected by $(8, 1 : 1)$. This is interesting since ϵ and $\eta\sigma$ are detected by different elements in the classical Adams spectral sequence and ϵ is not divisible by η.

Remark 9.26 (Stem 14). Recall that $\kappa \in \pi_{14}$ is defined to be the unique class with Adams filtration 4.

It is clear that $(14, 2 : 2)$ detects both σ^2 and $\sigma^2 + \kappa$, since both σ^2 and $\sigma^2 + \kappa$ have Adams filtration 2, and therefore bo-Adams filtration at most 2. Therefore, $(14, 3 : 3)$ detects κ.

Remark 9.27 (Stem 22). It is clear that $(22, 3 : 3)^{ev}$ detects both $\nu\overline{\sigma}$ and $\nu\overline{\sigma} + \eta^2\overline{\kappa}$, since both $\nu\overline{\sigma}$ and $\nu\overline{\sigma} + \eta^2\overline{\kappa}$ have Adams filtration 4, and therefore *bo*-Adams filtration at most 4. Therefore, $(22, 5 : 5)^{ev}$ detects $\eta^2\overline{\kappa}$.

Recall that in π_{22}, we have a relation:

$$\eta^2\overline{\kappa} = \epsilon\kappa = (\epsilon + \eta\sigma)\kappa,$$

which is both a nontrivial η-extension from $\eta\overline{\kappa}$ and a nontrivial κ-extension from $\epsilon + \eta\sigma$. However, in the *bo*-Adams spectral sequence, $\eta^2\overline{\kappa}$ has *bo*-Adams filtration 5, while $\epsilon + \eta\sigma$ and κ have *bo*-Adams filtration 2 and 3. Therefore, this relation is present in the E_2-page of the *bo*-Adams spectral sequence.

References

[Ada66]   J. F. Adams, *A periodicity theorem in homological algebra*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **62** (1966), 365–377. MR 0194486

[And15]   Michael Andrews, *The v_1-periodic part of the Adams spectral sequence at an odd prime*, 2015, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

[Beh12]   Mark Behrens, *The Goodwillie tower and the EHP sequence*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **218** (2012), no. 1026, xii+90. MR 2976788

[BOSS]   Mark Behrens, Kyle Ormsby, Nathanial Stapleton, and Vesna Stojanoska, *On the ring of tmf cooperations at the prime 2*, Preprint.

[Bru]   Robert R. Bruner, *The cohomology of the mod 2 steenrod algebra.*

[Bru93]   _____, Ext *in the nineties*, Algebraic topology (Oaxtepec, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 146, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 71–90. MR 1224908 (94a:55011)

[Car80]   Gunnar Carlsson, *On the stable splitting of* bo∧bo *and torsion operations in connective K-theory*, Pacific J. Math. **87** (1980), no. 2, 283–297. MR 592736 (81j:55003)

[CDGM88] Fred R. Cohen, Donald M. Davis, Paul G. Goerss, and Mark E. Mahowald, *Integral Brown-Gitler spectra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), no. 4, 1299–1304.

[Dav87]   Donald M. Davis, *The* bo-*Adams spectral sequence: some calculations and a proof of its vanishing line*, Algebraic topology (Seattle, Wash., 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1286, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 267–285. MR 922930 (89b:55021)

[DM88]   Donald M. Davis and Mark Mahowald, v_1-*periodic* Ext *over the Steenrod algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), no. 2, 503–516. MR 931531 (89g:55032)

[Gon00]   Jesús González, *A vanishing line in the* $BP\langle 1 \rangle$-*Adams spectral sequence*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 6, 1137–1153. MR 1783851 (2001j:55022)

[HS14]   Drew Heard and Vesna Stojanoska, *K-theory, reality, and duality*, J. K-Theory **14** (2014), no. 3, 526–555. MR 3349325

[Hu99]   Po Hu, *Transfinite spectral sequences*, Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 197–216. MR 1718081 (2001a:18010)

[Isa14]   D. C. Isaksen, *Classical and motivic Adams charts*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[LM87]   Wolfgang Lellmann and Mark Mahowald, *The* bo-*Adams spectral sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **300** (1987), no. 2, 593–623.

[Mah81]   Mark Mahowald, bo-*resolutions*, Pacific J. Math. **92** (1981), no. 2, 365–383. MR 618072 (82m:55017)

[Mat]   Benjamin Matschke, *Successive spectral sequences*, Preprint.

[Mil75]   R. James Milgram, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual for connective K-theory*, Conference on homotopy theory (Evanston, Ill., 1974), Notas Mat. Simpos., vol. 1, Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México, 1975, pp. 127–158. MR 761725

[Mil81]   Haynes R. Miller, *On relations between Adams spectral sequences, with an application to the stable homotopy of a Moore space*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **20** (1981), no. 3, 287–312.

[MS87]   Mark Mahowald and Paul Shick, *Periodic phenomena in the classical Adams spectral sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **300** (1987), no. 1, 191–206. MR 871672

[Rav86]   Douglas C. Ravenel, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 121, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. MR 860042

[Tan70]   Martin C. Tangora, *On the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra*, Math. Z. **116** (1970), 18–64. MR 0266205

University of Colorado at Boulder

E-mail address: `agnes.beaudry@colorado.edu`

University of Notre Dame

E-mail address: `mbehren1@nd.edu`

University of Notre Dame

E-mail address: `pbhattac@nd.edu`

University of Notre Dame

E-mail address: `dculver@nd.edu`

University of Chicago

E-mail address: `xu@math.uchicago.edu`