THE STRUCTURE OF BP*BP MODULO AN INVARIANT PRIME IDEAL

Douglas C. Ravenel†

(Received 16 October 1975)

The purpose of this note is to show how the structure formulae for BP_*BP originally given by Quillen in [5] and subsequently by Adams in [1], can be simplified when one passes to

$$BP_{\star}BP/I_n = BP_{\star}/I_n \otimes_{BP_{\star}} BP_{\star}BP = BP_{\star}BP \otimes_{BP_{\star}} BP_{\star}/I_n$$

where $I_n = (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \subset BP_*$ is the *n*th invariant prime ideal (see [3]). The generators v_i will be defined below. We will obtain simplification of the formulae for the right unit of v_{i+n} (Theorems 6 and 7) and the coproduct (Theorem 8) and conjugation (Theorem 9) of t_i , for $i \le 2n$. We will also obtain an expression for the formal group law over BP_*/I_n (Theorem 5).

These results will be applied to problems related to the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in a series of papers beginning with [4].

I wish to thank Steve Wilson and Dave Johnson for suggesting some improvements in the manuscript, and Manuel Moreira for pointing out some errors in an earlier version of this paper. I am also grateful to the Institute for Advanced Study for providing financial support and pleasant surroundings while this paper was being written.

We will use the notation of [1].

Recall $BP_* = Z_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, ...]$ where dim $v_i = 2(p^i - 1)$, $BP_* \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}[l_1, l_2, ...]$ where $l_i = m_{p^{i-1}} = (CP^{p^{i-1}}/p^i)$ and $BP_*BP = BP_*[t_1, t_2, ...]$ where dim $t_i = 2(p^i - 1)$. The structure maps are $\eta_R : BP_* \to BP_*BP$ given by

$$\eta_R(l_n) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n} l_i t_{n-i}^{p^i}, \quad (t_0 = 1)$$
 (1)

the coproduct $\psi: BP_*BP \to BP_*BP \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*BP$ given by

$$\sum_{i+l=k} l_i (\psi t_i)^{p^i} = \sum_{h+i+l=k} l_h t_i^{p^h} \otimes t_i^{p^{h+i}}, \tag{2}$$

and the conjugation $c: BP_*BP \to BP_*BP$ given by

$$\sum_{h+l+1=k} l_k t_i^{p^h} c(t_i)^{p^{h+1}} = l_k.$$
 (3)

We will also use the formula of Hazewinkel ([2]), i.e. that multiplicative generators $v_i \in BP_*$ can be defined by the inductive formula

$$v_n + \sum_{0 \le i \le n} l_i v_{n-i}^{p^i} = p l_n. \tag{4}$$

There is a formal group law $F(x, y) \in BP_*[[x, y]]$ associated with BP given by

$$F(x, y) = \exp(\log x + \log y) \tag{5}$$

where

$$\log x = \sum_{i \ge 0} l_i x^{p^i} \qquad (l_0 = 1) \tag{6}$$

and

$$\exp\left(\log x\right) = x. \tag{7}$$

We will denote

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i}\log a_{i}\right)$$
 by $\sum_{i}^{F}a_{i}$.

[†]Partially supported by NSF grant MPS72-05055 A02.

Notice that $F(x, y) \in BP_*[[x, y]]$ even though $\exp x$, $\log x \in (Q \otimes BP_*)[[x]]$.

Our first result is an expression for the right unit of the Hazewinkel generators mod p. This will be further simplified below.

THEOREM 1.

$$\sum_{\substack{i \ge 0 \\ i > 0}}^{F} t_i \eta_R(v_i)^{p^i} \equiv \sum_{\substack{i > 0 \\ i > 0}}^{F} v_i t_i^{p^i} \bmod (p).$$
 (8)

Proof. We apply η_R to (4) and get

$$\sum_{0 \le i < n} \eta_R(l_i) \eta_R(v_{n-i})^{p^i} = p \eta_R(l_n) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Then apply (1) to both sides and get

$$\sum_{0 \le i+j < n} l_i t_j^{p^i} \eta_R (v_{n-i-j})^{p^{i+j}} = p \sum_{0 \le i \le n} l_i t_{n-i}^{p^i}.$$

Then substitute (4) in the right-hand side and get

$$\sum_{0 \leq i+j < n} l_i t_j^{p^i} \eta_R (v_{n-i-j})^{p^{i+j}} = p t_n + \sum_{0 \leq j < i \leq n} l_j v_{i-j}^{p^j} t_{n-i}^{p^i}.$$

Summing over all n > 0 gives

$$\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0\\ i\geq 0}} \log t_i \eta_R(v_i)^{p^i} = \sum_{n>0} pt_n + \sum_{\substack{i\geq 0\\ i\geq 0}} \log v_i t_i^{p^i}.$$

Applying exp gives us

$$\sum_{\substack{i \ge 0 \\ j > 0}}^{F} t_i \eta_R(v_i)^{p^i} = F\left(\exp \sum_{n > 0} pt_n, \sum_{\substack{i > 0 \\ j \ge 0}}^{F} v_i t_j^{p^i}\right).$$

Hence it suffices to show that each term of $\exp \sum_{n>0} pt_n$ is in pBP_*BP , which is a consequence of the following:

LEMMA 2. The element $\exp px \in (\mathbf{Q} \otimes BP_*)[[x]]$ lies in $pBP_*[[x]]$.

Proof. First note that for any $t \in BP_*[[x]]$, $\log pt \in pBP_*[[x]]$ since $p^il_i \in BP_*$. Now let $\exp px = \sum_{i>0} u_i x^i$ with $u_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes BP_*$. We will show inductively that $u_i \in pBP_*$. Now $\log (\exp px) = px$ so $u_1 = p$. Suppose $u_i \in pBP_*$ for i < k. Then $px = \log \sum_{i=0}^{k} u_i x^i \equiv u_k x^k + y_k \mod (x^{k+1})$ where $y_k \in pBP_*[[x]]$. Hence $u_k \in pBP_*$.

In order to simplify (2), (3) and (8) modulo I_n we will need to get a more explicit expression for the formal group law. First we need the following result about p th powers.

LEMMA 3. Let A and B be ideals in a commutative $Z_{(p)}$ algebra with $A \subset B$, and let $x, y \in B$ such that $x \equiv y \mod A$. Then

$$x^{p^n} \equiv y^{p^n} \bmod \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} (p^{n-i}) \dot{B}^{p^n - p^i} A^{p^i}.$$

Proof. Let x = y + a with $a \in A$. Then

$$x^{p^n} - y^{p^n} = \sum_{j>0} {p \choose j} y^{p^n - j} a^j$$

$$\in \sum_{j>0} {p \choose j} B^{p^n - j} A^j$$

$$\supset \sum_{i} (p^{n-i}) B^{p^n - p^i} A^{p^i}.$$

To show the opposite inclusion we consider the terms in which j is not a power of p. Let $j = cp^i$ with c > 1 and (c, p) = 1. Then

$${\binom{p^{n}}{j}}B^{p^{n}-cp^{i}}A^{cp^{i}} = (p^{n-i})B^{p^{n}-cp^{i}}A^{(c-1)p^{i}}A^{p^{i}}$$

$$\subset (p^{n-i})B^{p^{n}-cp^{i}}B^{(c-1)p^{i}}A^{p^{i}}$$

$$= (p^{n-i})B^{p^{n}-p^{i}}A^{p^{i}}.$$

We now define a symmetric polynomial in k-variables for m > 0

$$C_{p^m}(x_1,\ldots,x_k)=\frac{(\sum x_i)^{p^m}-\sum (x_i^{p^m})}{p}$$

with the following elementary properties. (We will often abbreviate the above by $C_{p^m}(x_i)$).

Proposition 4.

- (a) $C_{p^m}(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ has integer coefficients
- (b) $C_{p^m}(x_i) \equiv C_p(x_i)^{p^{m-1}} \equiv C_p(x_i)^{p^{m-1}} \mod p$

(c)
$$C_{p^m}(x_1 + x_2, x_3, ...) = C_{p^m}(x_1, x_2, x_3, ...) - C_{p^m}(x_1, x_2).$$

Now let $J_m \subset R[[x_1, \ldots, x_k]]$ for a commutative ring R denote the ideal generated by monomials of degree $\geq m$. Then we have

THEOREM 5. The formal group law over BP_*/I_n is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = \left(\sum_{i} x_i\right) - \sum_{0 \le i < n} v_{n+i} C_{p^{n+i}}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$
modulo $J_{p^{2n-1} + (p-1)p^{n-i}}$

Proof. Let $z = F(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. If we reduce Hazewinkel's formula (4) to $BP_*/(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ we get

$$\log x = x + \sum_{0 \le i \le n} v_{n+i} \frac{x^{p^{n+i}}}{p} \mod J_{p^{2n}}.$$
 (5.1)

In particular

 $\log x \equiv x \mod J_{n^n}$

so

$$z \equiv \sum x_i \bmod J_{p^n}. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $\log z = \sum \log x_i$, by 5.1 we have

$$z + \sum_{0 \le j < n} v_{n+i} \frac{z^{p^{n+j}}}{p} \equiv \sum_{i} x_i + \sum_{0 \le j < n} v_{n+i} \frac{x_i^{p^{n+j}}}{p} \bmod J_{2p^n},$$

or

$$z \equiv \sum_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{0 \le j < n} \frac{v_{n+j}}{p} \left(\sum_{i} x_{i}^{p^{n+j}} - z^{p^{n+j}} \right) \mod J_{p^{2n}}.$$
 (5.3)

Now if we apply Lemma 3 (setting $A = J_{p^n}$ and $B = J_1$) to 5.2 we see that

$$z^{p^{n+j}} \equiv (\sum x_i)^{p^{n+j}} \mod (p^2) + (p) J_{(p-1)k^{j+n-1} + p^{2n+j-1}} + J_{p^{2n+j}}$$
(5.4)

If we substitute 5.4 in the right-hand side of 5.3, we get the desired expression for z.

We are now in a position to make (2), (3) and (8) more explicit.

THEOREM 6. In $BP_{*}BP/I_n$ for $k \leq n$

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le k} t_i \eta_R (v_{n+k-i})^{p^i} = \sum_{0 \le i \le k} v_{n+i} t_{k-i}^{p^{n+i}}$$
(9)

i.e.,

$$\eta_{\mathcal{R}}(v_{n+k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^m t_{i_1} t_{i_2}^{a_1} \dots t_{i_m}^{a_{m-1}} v_{n+j_1}^{a_{m+j_1}} t_{j_2}^{d}$$
(10)

where the sum is over all subscripts with $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m + j_1 + j_2 = k$, $0 \le m \le i_s > 0$, $j_s \ge 0$, and

D. C. RAVENEL

where $a_1 = p^{i_1}$, $a_s = p^{i_s} a_{s-1}$ and $d = a_m p^{n+j_1}$.

Proof. Modulo I_n (8) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{i\geq 0\\j\geq n}}^F t_i \eta_R(v_j)^{p^i} \equiv \sum_{\substack{i\geq n\\j\geq 0}}^F v_i t_i^{p^i}.$$

Since $\eta_R(v_n) = v_n$, we can rewrite this as

$$F\left(v_{n}, \sum_{i,j\geq0}^{F} t_{i} \eta_{R}(v_{n+j})^{p^{i}}\right) \equiv F\left(v_{n}, \sum_{i,j\geq0}^{F} v_{n+i} t_{j}^{p^{n+i}}\right)$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j\geq 0}^{F} t_{i} \eta_{R} (v_{n+j})^{p^{i}} \equiv \sum_{i,j\geq 0}^{F} v_{n+i} t_{j}^{p^{n+j}}$$

where the symbol Σ^F indicates that the term with i = j = 0 is excluded. It follows from Theorem 5 that the expression for $\eta_R(v_{n+k})$ will not involve any of the cross terms in the formal group law if $k \le n$, so we get the first formula of the Theorem. The derivation of the second formula from the first is routine.

Examples. In BP*BP/In we have

$$\eta_R(v_{n+1}) = v_{n+1} + v_n t_1^{p^n} - v_n^p t_1 \quad \text{for } n \equiv 1$$
 (11)

$$\eta_{R}(v_{n+2}) = v_{n+2} + v_{n+1}t_{1}^{p^{n+1}} + v_{n}t_{2}^{p^{n}} - v_{n+1}^{p}t_{1} - v_{n}^{p^{2}}t_{2}
+ t_{1}^{1+p}v_{n}^{p^{2}} - t_{1}^{1+p^{n+1}}v_{n}^{p} \quad \text{for } n \ge 2$$
(12)

$$\eta_{R}(v_{n+3}) = v_{n+3} + v_{n+2}t_{1}^{p^{n+2}} + v_{n+1}t_{2}^{p^{n+1}} + v_{n}t_{3}^{p^{n}} - v_{n+2}^{p}t_{1} \\
- v_{n+1}^{p^{2}}t_{2} - v_{n}^{p^{3}}t_{3} - t_{1}^{1+p^{n+2}}v_{n+1}^{p} - t_{1}t_{2}^{p^{n+1}}v_{n}^{p} - t_{2}t_{1}^{p^{n+2}}v_{n}^{p^{2}} \\
+ t_{1}^{1+p}v_{n+1}^{p^{2}} + t_{1}t_{2}^{p}v_{n}^{p^{3}} + t_{2}t_{1}^{p^{2}}v_{n}^{p^{2}} + t_{1}^{1+p+p^{n+2}}v_{n}^{p^{2}} - t_{1}^{1+p+p^{2}}v_{n}^{p^{3}} \\
\text{for } n \ge 3.$$
(13)

THEOREM 7. In BP_*BP/I_n for $n < k \le 2n$

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le k} t_{i} \eta_{R} (v_{n+k-i})^{p^{i}} - \sum_{0 \le j \le k-n-1} v_{n+j} C_{p^{n+j}} (\eta_{R} (v_{k-j}), t_{1} \eta_{R} (v_{k-j-1})^{p}, \dots, t_{k-j-n} v_{n}^{p^{k-j-n}})$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le i \le k} v_{n+i} t_{k-i}^{p^{n+i}} - \sum_{0 \le j \le k-n-1} v_{n+j} C_{p^{n+j}} (v_{k-j}, v_{k-j-1} t_{1}^{p^{k-j-1}}, \dots, v_{n} t_{k-j-n}^{p^{n}}). \tag{14}$$

Proof. In the mod I_n reduction of (8) the first terms (after cancelling v_n on both sides) occur in dimension $2(p^{n+1}-1)$. Hence Theorem 5 is sufficient for getting an explicit expression up to dimension $2(p^{n+1}-1)(p^{2n-1}+(p-1)p^{n-1})$, which is greater than $2(p^{3n}-1)$ and therefore adequate for our purposes. The right-hand side of (8) becomes (in our range)

$$\sum_{\substack{i>0\\i\geq 0}} v_{n+i}t_i^{p^{n+i}} - \sum_{0\leq m< n} v_{n+m}C_p(v_{n+i}^{p^{n+m-1}}t_h^{p^{2n+i+m-1}})$$

and the left-hand expression has a similar form. The variables to which $C_p()$ is applied all have dimensions of the form $2(p^a - p^b)$ with $b \ge n$ and $a \ge 2n$, so it follows that the only terms that will have the dimension of v_{n+k} are those indicated above.

Examples. For n = 1, p > 2

$$\eta_R(v_3) = v_3 + v_2 t_1^{p^2} + v_1 t_2^p - v_2^p t_1 - v_1^{p^2} t_2 - v_1^p t_1^{1+p^2} + v_1^{p^2} t_1^{1+p} - v_1 c_p (v_2, v_1 t_1^p, -v_1^p t_1),$$
(15)

and for n = 2, p > 2

$$\eta_{R}(v_{5}) = v_{5} + v_{4}t_{1}^{p^{4}} + v_{3}t_{2}^{p^{3}} + v_{1}t_{3}^{p^{2}} - v_{4}^{p}t_{1} - v_{3}^{p^{2}}t_{2} - v_{2}^{p^{3}}t_{3} - v_{3}^{p}t_{1}^{1+p^{4}} \\
- v_{2}^{p}t_{1}t_{2}^{p^{3}} - v_{2}^{p^{2}}t_{1}^{p^{4}}t_{2} + v_{3}^{p^{2}}t_{1}^{1+p} + v_{2}^{p^{3}}t_{1}t_{2}^{p} + v_{2}^{p^{3}}t_{1}^{p^{2}}t_{2} + v_{2}^{p^{2}}t_{1}^{1+p+p^{4}} \\
- v_{2}^{p^{3}}t_{1}^{1+p+p^{2}} - v_{2}C_{p^{2}}(v_{3}, v_{2}t_{1}^{p^{2}}, -v_{2}^{p}t_{1}).$$
(16)

For p = 2, add $v_1^5 v_1^2$ to (15) and $v_2^9 t_1^4$ to (16).

We now turn our attention to the coproduct. (2) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i \ge 0}^{F} \psi(t_i) = \sum_{i,j \ge 0}^{F} t_i \otimes t_j^{P^i}$$
 (17)

so we get

THEOREM 8. In BP_*BP/I_n for $k \le 2n$

$$\psi(t_k) = \sum_{0 \le i \le k} t_i \otimes t_{k-i}^{p^i} - \sum_{0 \le i \le k-n-1} v_{n+j} C_{p^{n+j}} (t_i \otimes t_{k-n-j-i}^{p^i}).$$
 (18)

Proof. The argument is similar to that of Theorem 7 and is left to the reader.

For the conjugation we can rewrite (3) as

$$\sum_{i, j \ge 0}^{F} t_i c(t_j)^{p^j} = 1. {19}$$

Let $x_k \in BP_*BP$ be defined inductively by $x_o = 1$ and

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le k} t_i x_{k-i}^{p^i} = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

Explicitly we have

$$x_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^m t_{i_1} t_{i_2}^{a_1} \dots t_{i_m}^{a_{m-1}}$$
 (20)

where the notation is similar to that of Theorem 6. Then we have

THEOREM 9. In BP_*BP/I_n for $k \le 2n$

$$c(t_{k}) = x_{k} + \sum_{0 \le j < k-n} v_{n+j} C_{p^{n+j}} (t_{h} x_{k-n-j-h}^{p^{h}})$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{0 < i < k-n \\ 0 \le j < k-n-i}} t_{i} v_{n+j}^{p^{i}} C_{p^{n+i+j}} (t_{h} x_{k-n-j-h}^{p^{h}}).$$
(21)

Proof. This follows from (19) by the methods used in the proof of Theorem 7.

DEFEDENCES

- 1. J. F. ADAMS: Stable homotopy and generalized homology, University of Chicago, 1974.
- M. HAZEWINKEL: Constructing formal groups I. Over Z_(p)-algebras, Report 7119, Netherlands School of Economics, Econometric Institute.
- 3. P. LANDWEBER: Annihilator ideals and primitive elements in complex cobordism, Illinois J. Math. 17 (1973), 273-284.
- 4. H. R. MILLER and D. C. RAVENEL: Morava stabilizer algebras and the localization of Novikov's E2-term (to appear).
- 5. D. QUILLEN: On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory, AMS Bulletin 75 (1969), 1293-1298.

Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Columbia University New York, N.Y. 10027