

THE CHROMATIC EXT GROUPS $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(BP_*, M_2^1)$
(DRAFT VERSION)

IPPEI ICHIGI, HIROFUMI NAKAI, AND DOUGLAS C. RAVENEL

June 12, 2001

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	4
3.	Elementary calculations	6
4.	$d(\hat{x}_k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 5$	7
5.	Some lemmas	8
6.	$d(\hat{x}_k)$ for $k \geq 6$	13
7.	Proof of Lemma 6.8 for $m \geq 5$	15
8.	Proof of Lemma 6.8 for $m = 4$	18
9.	Proof of Lemma 6.8 for $m = 3$	20
10.	Proof of Lemma 6.8 for $m = 2$	21
11.	Proof of the main theorem	23
	References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let BP be the Brown-Peterson spectrum for a fixed prime p . In [Rav86, §6.5], the third author has introduced the spectrum $T(m)$ which has BP_* -homology

$$BP_*(T(m)) = BP_*[t_1, \dots, t_m],$$

and is homotopy equivalent to BP below dimension $2p^{m+1} - 3$. Then the Adams-Novikov E_2 -term converging to the homotopy groups of $T(m)$

$$E_2^{*,*}(T(m)) = \text{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}(BP_*, BP_*(T(m)))$$

is isomorphic by [Rav86] Corollary 7.1.3 to

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(BP_*, BP_*),$$

where

$$\Gamma(m+1) = BP_*(BP)/(t_1, \dots, t_m) = BP_*[t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, \dots].$$

In particular $\Gamma(1) = BP_*(BP)$ by definition. To get the structure of this Ext group, we can use the chromatic method introduced in [MRW77].

Define the chromatic module M_n^s by

$$M_n^s = v_{n+s}^{-1} BP_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n^\infty, \dots, v_{n+s-1}^\infty)$$

The third author acknowledges support from NSF grant DMS-9802516.

and consider the chromatic spectral sequence converging to $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(BP_*/I_n)$ with

$$E_1^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_n^s).$$

Shimomura calls this Ext group the **general chromatic E_1 -term**. In this paper we will determine the module structure of

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_2^1).$$

The analogous result for $m = 0$ was obtained long ago by Miller-Wilson in [MW76], and is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. [Miller-Wilson] *As a $k(2)_*$ -module, $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(1)}^0(M_2^1)$ is the direct sum of*

(a) *the cyclic submodules generated by $x_k^s/v_2^{a(k)}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= v_3, \\ x_1 &= v_3^p - v_2^p v_3^{-1} v_4, \\ \text{and for } k \geq 2 \quad x_k &= \begin{cases} x_{k-1}^p & \text{for } k \text{ even} \\ x_{k-1}^p - v_2^{(p^{k-1}-1)(p^3-1)/(p^2-1)} v_3^{p^k - p^{k-1} + 1} & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a(0) &= 1, \\ a(1) &= p, \\ \text{and for } k \geq 2 \quad a(k) &= \begin{cases} pa(k-1) & \text{for } k \text{ even} \\ pa(k-1) + p - 1 & \text{for } k \text{ odd;} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

(b) $K(2)_*/k(2)_*$, generated by $1/v_2^j$ for $j \geq 1$.

Before this result was proved, the naive conjecture about this group would have had the exponents $a(k)$ being p^k for all $k \geq 0$. It was clear that

$$\frac{v_3^{sp^k}}{v_2^{p^k}} \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(1)}^0(BP_*, M_2^1),$$

but the existence of “deeper” elements such as

$$\frac{x_3}{v_1^{a(3)}} = \frac{v_3^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3} v_3^{-p^2} v_4^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3-1} v_3^{p^3-p^2+1}}{v_2^{p^3+p-1}}$$

came as a surprise, as did the fact that the limiting value (as $k \rightarrow \infty$) of $a(k)/p^k$ is $(p^2 + p + 1)/(p^2 + p)$ instead of 1.

Our result (Theorem 6.9 below) has the same form as Theorem 1.1 but with x_k and $a(k)$ replaced by \hat{x}_k (4.2, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7) and $\hat{a}(k)$ (4.1 and 6.3), and with $k(2)_*$ replaced by a larger ring $\hat{k}(2)_*$ defined in (1.2). In order to avoid the excessive appearance of the index m , we will use the following notation.

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{v}_i &= v_{m+i}, \\ \hat{K}(n)_* &= K(n)_*[v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{n+m}], \\ \hat{k}(n)_* &= k(n)_*[v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{n+m}], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \hat{t}_i &= t_{m+i}, \\ \hat{h}_{i,j} &= h_{m+i,j}, \\ \omega &= p^m. \end{cases}$$

In §7–10 we will define elements $\widehat{x}_k \in v_3^{-1}BP_*/I_2$ and integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ whenever the condition $3 < 2(p-1)(m+1)/p$ of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied, and compute the reduced right unit d_0 on \widehat{x}_k . These will depend on m .

Using these computations, we can obtain the structure of the target Ext group. In particular, for large m we have

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $p = 2$ and $m \geq 6$ or that $p > 2$ and $m \geq 5$. As a $\widehat{k}(2)_*$ -module, $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_2^1)$ is the direct sum of*

(a) *the cyclic submodules generated by $\widehat{x}_k^s/v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$ where*

$$\widehat{x}_k = \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_3 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \widehat{x}_2^p - v_2^{p^3} v_3^{-p^2} \widehat{v}_4^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3-1} v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{v}_3 & \text{for } k = 3, \\ \widehat{x}_5^p + v_2^{(p+1)p^5} v_3^{-p^5} W & \text{for } k = 6, \\ \widehat{x}_8^p - v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3\widehat{b}(6)} X p^2 - v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6} \widehat{x}_6 & \text{for } k = 9, \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p & \text{for } 3 \nmid k \leq 8, \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p + v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-4} - \widehat{x}_{k-5}^p) & \text{for } k \geq 10; \end{cases}$$

(see Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.9 for definitions of W and X) and

$$\widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p^k & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 2, \\ (p+1)p^{k-1} & \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq 5, \\ (p^2+p+1)p^{k-2} & \text{for } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ p^3\widehat{a}(6) + \widehat{a}(5) & \text{for } k = 9, \\ p^{k-9}(\widehat{a}(9) - \widehat{a}(5)) + \widehat{a}(k-4) & \text{for } k \geq 10; \end{cases}$$

and

(b) $\widehat{K}(2)_*/\widehat{k}(2)_*$, generated by $1/v_2^j$ for $j \geq 1$.

□

This is a part of our main result (Theorem 6.9). In fact, we have determined the structure of $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_2^1)$ for $p = 2$ and $m \geq 3$, or $p > 2$ and $m \geq 2$.

In JAMI conference held at Johns Hopkins University in March 2000, Shimomura reported that he extended our result and obtained the structure of

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_{n-1}^1) \quad \text{for } m \geq n^2 - n - 1.$$

For $n = 3$, this result coincides to our Theorem 1.3. Recently he also determined the structure of higher Ext groups ([Shi2]).

In the above case the asymptotic behavior of the exponents is given by

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{a}(k)}{p^k} = \frac{p^4 + p^3 + p^2}{p^4 - 1},$$

a slightly larger value than for the case $m = 0$. In addition, there is a new form of periodicity in our statement with no precedent in Theorem 1.1, namely for all $k \geq 9$ we have

$$\widehat{x}_k - \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p = -v_2^{p^k+p^{k-1}+p^{k-2}} v_3^{p^{m+k}-p^{k-1}-p^{k-2}-p^{k-3}} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-4} - \widehat{x}_{k-5}^p),$$

and

$$\widehat{a}(k) = p^k + p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} + \widehat{a}(k-4).$$

(For lower m the period is 6 instead of 4.) A similar result for the chromatic module M_1^1 is obtained in [NR] or [Shi1], in which the period is 3 instead of 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a $\Gamma(m+1)$ -comodule M , consider the cobar complex $\{C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^* M, \partial_*\}_{* \geq 0}$, where

$$C_{\Gamma(m+1)}^n M = \Gamma(m+1) \otimes_{BP_*} \cdots \otimes_{BP_*} \Gamma(m+1) \otimes_{BP_*} M$$

with n factors of $\Gamma(m+1)$. Then $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(BP_*, M)$ is the cohomology of this chain complex. We will abbreviate $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(BP_*, M)$ to $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M)$ as usual.

By the change-of-ring isomorphism ([Rav86, Theorem 6.1.1]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_n^0) &= \text{Ext}_{BP_*(BP)}(M_n^0 \otimes_{BP_*} (T(m))) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\Sigma(n)}(K(n)_*, K(n)_*(T(m))). \end{aligned}$$

This object is already known by [Rav86] Corollary 6.5.6:

Theorem 2.1. *If $n < 2(p-1)(m+1)/p$, then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_n^0) = \widehat{K}(n)_* \otimes E(\widehat{h}_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)).$$

□

In particular, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_3^0) = \widehat{K}(3)_* \otimes E(\widehat{h}_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq 3, j \in \mathbb{Z}/(3)).$$

From this information we can get the structure of $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_2^1)$ using the Bockstein spectral sequence.

Lemma 2.3. (cf. [MRW77] Remark 3.11) *Assume that there exists a $\widehat{k}(2)_*$ -submodule B^t of $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1)$ for each $t < N$, such that the following sequence is exact:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_3^0) \xrightarrow{1/v_2} B^0 \xrightarrow{v_2} B^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0) \xrightarrow{1/v_2} \cdots \\ \cdots \xrightarrow{1/v_2} B^{N-1} \xrightarrow{v_2} B^{N-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^N(M_3^0) \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the restriction of the coboundary map $\delta : \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{t+1}(M_3^0)$.

Then the inclusion map $i_t : B^t \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1)$ is an isomorphism between $\widehat{k}(2)_*$ -modules for each $t < N$.

Proof. Because $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1)$ is a v_2 -torsion module, we can filter B^t and $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1)$ as

$$P_t(j) = \{x \in B^t : v_2^j x = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t(j) = \{x \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1) : v_2^j x = 0\}.$$

Assume that the inclusion i_k is an isomorphism for $k \leq t-1$ (the $t=0$ case is obvious), and consider the following commutative ladder diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
B^{t-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1) & \xrightarrow{1/v_2} & P_t(j) & \xrightarrow{v_2} & P_t(j-1) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{t+1}(M_2^1) \\
\cong \downarrow i_{t-1} & & \parallel & & \downarrow i_t & & \downarrow i_t & & \parallel \\
\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{t-1}(M_2^1) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^t(M_2^1) & \xrightarrow{1/v_2} & Q_t(j) & \xrightarrow{v_2} & Q_t(j-1) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^{t+1}(M_2^1).
\end{array}$$

Using the Five Lemma, we can show that $P_t(j)$ is isomorphic to $Q_t(j)$ ($j \geq 1$) by induction on j . \square

In (4.2), (6.4), (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7) we will define elements \widehat{x}_k of $v_3^{-1}BP_*/I_2$ which is congruent to $\widehat{v}_3^{sp^k}$ modulo (v_2) , and integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ in (4.1) and (6.3) such that each \widehat{x}_k^s/v_2^ℓ is a cycle of $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_2^1)$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq \widehat{a}(k)$.

Then the structure of B^0 is expressed as follows:

Lemma 2.4. *As a $\widehat{k}(2)_*$ -module,*

$$B^0 = \widehat{k}(2)_* \left\{ \frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)}} : k \geq 0, s > 0, \text{ and } p \nmid s \right\} \oplus \widehat{K}(2)_*/\widehat{k}(2)_*,$$

is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_2^1)$, if the set

$$\left\{ \delta \left(\frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)}} \right) : k \geq 0, s > 0, \text{ and } p \nmid s \right\} \subset \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_2^0)$$

is linearly independent, where δ is a coboundary map in Lemma 2.3.

Proof. We will show that the following sequence is exact.

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(M_3^0) \xrightarrow{1/v_2} B^0 \xrightarrow{v_2} B^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0)$$

The only part of this that is not obvious is that $\text{Ker } \delta \subset \text{Im } v_2$. To show this, separate the $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ -basis of B^0 into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \left\{ \frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)}} : k \geq 0, s > 0, \text{ and } p \nmid s \right\} \quad \text{and} \\
B &= \left\{ \frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_2^\ell} : k \geq 0, s > 0, p \nmid s, \text{ and } 1 \leq \ell < \widehat{a}(k) \right\} \cup \left\{ v_2^{-j} : j > 0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is obvious that $\delta(x_\lambda) \neq 0 \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0)$ for $x_\lambda \in A$, and that $\delta(y_\mu) = 0 \in \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0)$ for $y_\mu \in B$. Thus for any element $z = \sum_\lambda a_\lambda x_\lambda + \sum_\mu b_\mu y_\mu$ of B^0 ($a_\lambda, b_\mu \in \mathbf{Z}/(p)$), we have $\delta(z) = \sum_\lambda a_\lambda \delta(x_\lambda)$. The condition implies that all a_λ are zero when $\delta(z) = 0$, and so $v_2 \sum_\mu b_\mu y_\mu / v_2 = z$. This completes the proof. \square

3. ELEMENTARY CALCULATIONS

In this section we will introduce elements \widehat{w}_4 and \widehat{w}_5 , which we need to define our \widehat{x}_k . First we recall the right unit on \widehat{v}_i .

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $p \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. In $\Gamma(m+1)/(p, v_1)$ the right unit map $\eta_R : \widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}$ on the element \widehat{v}_i is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\widehat{v}_i) &= \widehat{v}_i && \text{for } i \leq 2, \\ \eta(\widehat{v}_3) &= \widehat{v}_3 + v_2 \widehat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1, \\ \eta(\widehat{v}_4) &= \widehat{v}_4 + v_3 \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} + v_2 \widehat{t}_2^2 - v_3^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_2^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2, \\ \text{and } \eta(\widehat{v}_5) &\equiv \widehat{v}_5 + v_4 \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} + v_2 \widehat{t}_3^{p^2} \\ &\quad - v_4^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_3^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_2^{p+1} \widehat{v}_3^{p(p-1)} \widehat{t}_1^3 \pmod{(v_2^{2p+1})} \\ &\quad (\text{add } v_2^4 \widehat{t}_1^{17} \text{ when } (p, m) = (2, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, when $p \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\eta(\widehat{v}_6) \equiv \widehat{v}_6 + v_5 \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} + v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_3^{p^3} - v_5^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_4^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_3^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_3 \pmod{(v_2)}.$$

□

Define elements \widehat{w}_i for $4 \leq i \leq 5$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}_4 &= v_3^{-1} \widehat{v}_4 \\ \text{and } \widehat{w}_5 &= v_3^{-1} (\widehat{v}_5 - v_4 \widehat{w}_4 + v_2^{p+1} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p} \widehat{w}_4). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *For any prime p , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widehat{w}_4) &= \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} + v_2 v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_2^{p^2} - v_3^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1 - v_2^{p^2\omega} v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_2 \quad \text{for } m \geq 1, \\ d(\widehat{w}_5) &\equiv \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} + v_2 v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_3^{p^2} - v_3^{-1} v_4^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_3^{p^2\omega-1} \widehat{t}_2 - v_2^p v_3^{-p-1} v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} + v_3^{p^2\omega-p-1} v_4 \widehat{t}_1^p \\ &\quad + v_2^{p+2} v_3^{-2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p} \widehat{t}_2^{p^2} - v_2^{p+1} v_3^{p\omega-2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p} \widehat{t}_1 \pmod{(v_2^{2p+1})} \quad \text{for } m \geq 2 \\ &\quad (\text{add } v_2^4 v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_1^{17} \text{ when } (p, m) = (2, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. $d(\widehat{w}_4)$ is easily computed using Lemma 3.1. For \widehat{w}_5 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widehat{v}_5) &\equiv v_4 \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} + v_2 \widehat{t}_3^{p^2} - v_4^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_3^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_2^{p+1} \widehat{v}_3^{p(p-1)} \widehat{t}_1^3 \pmod{(v_2^{2p+1})} \\ &\quad (\text{add } v_2^4 \widehat{t}_1^{17} \text{ for } (p, m) = (2, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

We can read off the fact that $d(v_4) = 0$ for $m \geq 2$ and $d(\widehat{v}_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{(v_2)}$ from Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\begin{cases} d(-v_4 \widehat{w}_4^p) & \equiv -v_4(\widehat{t}_1^{p^4} + v_2^p v_3^{-p} \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} - v_3^{(p\omega-1)p} \widehat{t}_1^p) \pmod{(v_2^{p^3\omega})}, \\ d(v_2^{p+1} \widehat{v}_3^{p(p-1)} \widehat{w}_4) & \equiv v_2^{p+1} \widehat{v}_3^{p(p-1)} (\widehat{t}_1^{p^3} + v_2 v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_2^{p^2} - v_3^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1) \pmod{(v_2^{2p+1})}. \end{cases}$$

Summing these congruences and multiplying v_3^{-1} gives $d(\widehat{w}_5)$. \square

4. $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ FOR $0 \leq k \leq 5$

For all p and m we can construct \widehat{x}_k ($0 \leq k \leq 5$) and compute differentials on these one at a time (Lemma 4.3). Define integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ (as in Theorem 1.3) and $\widehat{b}(k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 5$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{a}(k) &= \begin{cases} p^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ (p+1)p^{k-1} & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq 5 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ \widehat{b}(k) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ -p^{k-1} & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Define elements (as in Theorem 1.3) \widehat{x}_k for $0 \leq k \leq 5$ by

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{x}_0 &= \widehat{v}_3, \\ \widehat{x}_3 &= \widehat{x}_2^p - v_2^{p^3} \widehat{w}_4^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3-1} v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{x}_0, \\ \widehat{x}_k &= \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p \quad \text{for } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $p \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. In the module $M_2^1 \otimes \Gamma(m+1)$ we have*

$$d(\widehat{x}_k) \equiv \begin{cases} v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} \widehat{t}_1^{k+2} \pmod{(v_2^{p^{k+1}\omega})} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 2, \\ -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{t}_2^{k+1} \pmod{(v_2^{p^{k-3}(p^3-p\omega-1)})} & \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq 5. \end{cases}$$

In particular these equivalences hold modulo $(v_2^{1+\widehat{a}(k)})$.

Proof. We obtain $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$ from $\eta_R(\widehat{v}_3)$ (Lemma 3.1). For $d(\widehat{x}_3)$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} d(\widehat{x}_2^p) & \equiv v_2^{p^3} \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} \pmod{(v_2^{p^4\omega})}, \\ d(-v_2^{p^3} \widehat{w}_4^{p^2}) & \equiv -v_2^{p^3} (\widehat{t}_1^{p^5} + v_2^{p^2} v_3^{-p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} - v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{t}_1^{p^2}) \pmod{(v_2^{(p\omega+1)p^3})}, \\ d(-v_2^{p^3-1} v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{x}_0) & = -v_2^{p^3} v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} (\widehat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1). \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$p^3 + p\omega - 1 < \min\{p^4\omega, (p\omega+1)p^3\} = p^4\omega$$

for all p and m . Summing congruences in (4.4), we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_3) \equiv -v_2^{p^3+p^2} v_3^{-p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} + v_2^{p^3+p\omega-1} v_3^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{t}_1 \pmod{(v_2^{p^4\omega})}.$$

Noticing that the inequality $p^3 + p\omega - 1 > p^3 + p^2$ holds only if $m \geq 2$, we find that

$$d(\widehat{x}_3) \equiv \begin{cases} v_2^{p^3+p^2-1} v_3^{(p^2-1)p^2} \widehat{t}_1 & \text{mod } \left(v_2^{p^3+p^2} \right) & \text{for } m = 1, \\ -v_2^{p^3+p^2} v_3^{-p^2} \widehat{t}_2^4 & \text{mod } \left(v_2^{p^3+p\omega-1} \right) & \text{for } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

By assumption, we may consider only for the case that $m \geq 2$, and set $\widehat{a}(3) = p^3 + p^2$. The formulas for $4 \leq k \leq 5$ are obvious. \square

To define \widehat{x}_k for higher k , we will prepare some lemmas in the next section. The definitions of \widehat{x}_k ($k \geq 6$) and computations of the chromatic differential d_0 on \widehat{x}_k are separated into 4 sections (§7–10) according to the value of m . The results are stated in section 6.

5. SOME LEMMAS

Here we will prove some lemmas for later use. In the rest of this paper we will treat $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0 M_2^1$ whenever the condition in Theorem 2.1

$$(5.1) \quad 3 < 2(p-1)(m+1)/p$$

is satisfied. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} m \geq 2 & \text{for } p \geq 3 \\ m \geq 3 & \text{for } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.2. *There is an element W such that*

$$d(W) \equiv \begin{aligned} & \widehat{t}_2^7 + v_2^{p^4} v_3^{-p^4} \widehat{t}_3^6 - v_2^{p^4} v_3^{-p^4} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} d(\widehat{x}_5) \\ & - v_2^{p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^4+p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{e_1(p,m)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_1(p, m) = \begin{cases} (p^3 - 1)p^2 & \text{if } m = 2 \\ (p^4 - 1)p^2 & \text{if } (p, m) = (2, 3) \\ (2p^3 + p^2 - 1)p^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We find the following congruences:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\widehat{w}_5^{p^4}) \equiv \widehat{t}_2^{p^7} + v_2^{p^4} v_3^{-p^4} \widehat{t}_3^{p^6} - v_3^{-p^4} v_4^{p^5} \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} - v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} \\ \quad - v_2^{p^5} v_3^{(-p-1)p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{t}_2^{p^7} + v_3^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} \\ \quad + v_2^{(p+2)p^4} v_3^{-2p^4} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} \widehat{t}_2^{p^6} - v_2^{(p+1)p^4} v_3^{(p\omega-2)p^4} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} \\ \hspace{15em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(2p+1)p^4} \right), \\ d(v_2^{-\widehat{a}(2)} v_3^{-p^4} v_4^{p^5} \widehat{x}_2) \\ \quad \equiv v_3^{-p^4} v_4^{p^5} \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} \hspace{15em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(p\omega-1)p^2} \right), \\ d(-v_2^{-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^4+p^2} \widehat{x}_3) \\ \quad \equiv v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} - v_2^{p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^4+p^3} \widehat{t}_1 \hspace{5em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^2} \right), \\ d(-v_2^{p^5-p\widehat{a}(5)} v_3^{-p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{x}_5^p) \\ \quad \equiv v_2^{p^5} v_3^{(-p-1)p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{t}_2^{p^7} \hspace{15em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(p\omega-1)p^3} \right), \\ d(-v_2^{-p\widehat{a}(2)} v_3^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{x}_2^p) \\ \quad \equiv -v_3^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^4} v_4^{p^4} \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} \hspace{15em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(p\omega-1)p^3} \right), \\ d(v_2^{(p+1)p^4-\widehat{a}(2)} v_3^{(p\omega-2)p^4} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} \widehat{x}_2) \\ \quad \equiv v_2^{(p+1)p^4} v_3^{(p\omega-2)p^4} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} \hspace{15em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(2p+1)p^4-p^2} \right). \end{array} \right.$$

Summing these congruences gives the desired formula. The integer $e_1(p, m)$ is the minimum exponent of v_2 in these indeterminacies. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There is an element Y such that*

$$d(Y) \equiv v_3^{p\omega(p^3+1)} \widehat{t}_1 - v_2^{p^2+1} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4} + v_2^{p^2+1} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} d(\widehat{x}_3) + v_2^{p\omega} v_4^{p^3} \widehat{t}_1 \hspace{2em} \text{mod } \left(v_2^{e_2(p,m)} \right),$$

where

$$e_2(p, m) = \begin{cases} (p-1)(p^2-1) & \text{for } (p, m) = (3, 2), \\ (2p+1)p^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We find the following congruences:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\widehat{w}_4) \equiv \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} + v_2 v_3^{-1} \widehat{t}_2^{p^2} - v_3^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1 \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{p^2\omega} \right), \\ d(-v_2^{-p} \widehat{x}_1) \equiv -\widehat{t}_1^{p^3} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(p\omega-1)p} \right), \\ d(v_2 v_3^{-1-(p^2\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{w}_5^{p^2}) \\ \equiv v_2 v_3^{-1-(p^2\omega-1)p^2} \left(\widehat{t}_2^{p^5} + v_2^{p^2} v_3^{-p^2} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4} - v_3^{-p^2} v_4^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_3^{(p^2\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^2} \right. \\ \quad - v_2^{p^3} v_3^{-(p-1)p^2} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^5} + v_3^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^2} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} \\ \quad \left. + v_2^{(p+2)p^2} v_3^{-2p^2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} - v_2^{(p+1)p^2} v_3^{(p\omega-2)p^2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} \widehat{t}_1^{p^2} \right) \\ \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{1+(2p+1)p^2} \right), \\ d(v_2^{1-\widehat{a}(4)} v_3^{p^3-1-(p^2\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{x}_4) \\ \equiv -v_2 v_3^{-1-(p^2\omega-1)p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^5} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{1+(p\omega-p^2-1)p} \right), \\ d(v_3^{-1-p^4\omega} v_4^{p^3\omega} \widehat{x}_0) \\ \equiv v_3^{-1-p^4\omega} v_4^{p^3\omega} (v_2 \widehat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1), \\ d(v_2^{1+p^3-\widehat{a}(4)} v_3^{-1-p^4\omega} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{x}_4) \\ \equiv v_2^{1+p^3} v_3^{-1-(p\omega+1)p^3} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{t}_2^{p^5} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{1+(p\omega-1)p} \right), \\ d(-v_2^{1-p} v_3^{-1-p^3} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{x}_1) \\ \equiv -v_2 v_3^{-1-p^3} v_4^{p^2} \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{1+(p\omega-1)p} \right), \\ d(v_2^{(p+1)p^2} v_3^{p^3\omega(1-p)-1-p^2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} \widehat{x}_0) \\ \equiv v_2^{1+(p+1)p^2} v_3^{p^3\omega(1-p)-1-p^2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} \widehat{t}_1^{p^2} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{(2p+1)p^2} \right). \end{array} \right.$$

The sum of the right sides is

$$-v_3^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1 + v_2^{p^2+1} v_3^{-1-p^4\omega} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4} + v_2^{(p+2)p^2+1} v_3^{-1-(p^2\omega+1)p^2} \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} - v_2^{p\omega} v_3^{-1-p^4\omega} v_4^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1.$$

Multiplying $-v_3^{p^4\omega+1}$ gives the desired formula. The integer $e_2(p, m)$ is the minimum exponent of v_2 in these indeterminacies. \square

Now we define \widehat{w}_6 by

$$\widehat{w}_6 = \begin{cases} v_3^{-1} (\widehat{v}_6 - v_2^{-p\widehat{a}(2)} v_5 \widehat{x}_2^p + v_2^{-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(3)} v_4 \widehat{x}_3) & \text{for } m \geq 3, \\ (\text{above expression}) + v_2^{1-p^3} v_3^{-1-p^3\omega(p^3+1)} \widehat{x}_2^p Y^{p^2} - v_3^{-1-p\omega(p^3+1)} \widehat{x}_2^p Y & \text{for } m = 2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.4. *In the module $v_3^{-1}(BP_*/I_2 \otimes \Gamma(m+1))$ we have*

$$d(\widehat{w}_6) \equiv \widehat{t}_3^3 - v_3^{-1} v_5^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_3^{-1} v_4^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_3^{p^3\omega-1} \widehat{t}_3 \quad \text{mod } (v_2).$$

Proof. We find that

$$(5.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\widehat{v}_6) \equiv v_5 \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} + v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_3^{p^3} - v_5^p \widehat{t}_1 - v_4^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_3^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_3 \\ \quad \text{mod } (v_2), \\ d(v_2^{-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(3)} v_4 \widehat{x}_3) \equiv -v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} \quad \text{mod } \left(v_2^{p\omega-p^2-1} \right). \end{array} \right.$$

Notice that $\eta_R(v_5) = v_5$ for $m \geq 3$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(-v_2^{-p\hat{a}(2)} v_5 \hat{x}_2^p) &= -v_2^{-p\hat{a}(2)} (d(v_5) \hat{x}_2^p + \eta(v_5) d(\hat{x}_2^p)) \\
&= -v_2^{-p\hat{a}(2)} v_5 d(\hat{x}_2^p) \\
(5.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\equiv -v_5 \hat{t}_1^{p^5} \pmod{(v_2^{(p\omega-1)p^3})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the sum of (5.5) and (5.6) by v_3^{-1} , we obtain the desired formula for $m \geq 3$. For $m = 2$, we know that $\eta_R(v_5) = \eta_R(\hat{v}_3) = v_5 + v_2 \hat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3} \hat{t}_1$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(-v_2^{-p^3} v_5 \hat{x}_2^p) &\equiv -v_2^{-p^3} \left((v_2 \hat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3} \hat{t}_1) \hat{x}_2^p + (v_5 + v_2 \hat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3} \hat{t}_1) (v_2^{p^3} \hat{t}_1^{p^5}) \right) \\
&\equiv -v_2^{-p^3} \left((v_2 \hat{t}_1^{p^2} - v_2^{p^3} \hat{t}_1) \hat{x}_2^p + v_2^{p^3} v_5 \hat{t}_1^{p^5} \right) \\
(5.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\equiv -v_2^{1-p^3} \hat{x}_2^p \hat{t}_1^{p^2} + \hat{x}_2^p \hat{t}_1 - v_5 \hat{t}_1^{p^5} \pmod{(v_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

We also find that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{cases} d(v_2^{1-p^3} v_3^{-p^3\omega(p^3+1)} \hat{x}_2^p Y^{p^2}) &\equiv v_2^{1-p^3} \hat{x}_2^p \hat{t}_1^{p^2}, \\ d(-v_3^{-p\omega(p^3+1)} \hat{x}_2^p Y) &\equiv -\hat{x}_2^p \hat{t}_1 \pmod{(v_2)}. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the sum of (5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) by v_3^{-1} gives the desired formula for $m = 2$. \square

Using this \hat{w}_6 we define X by

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \hat{w}_6^{p^4} - v_2^{-\hat{a}(2)} v_3^{-p^4} v_5^{p^5\omega} \hat{x}_2 - v_2^{-\hat{a}(3)} v_3^{-p^4+p^2} v_4^{p^6\omega} \hat{x}_3 \\
&\quad + v_2^{p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{(\omega-p)p^3-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{p^6\omega} Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Proposition 5.9. *For $m \geq 3$,*

$$d(X) \equiv \hat{t}_3^7 - v_3^{(p^3\omega-1)p^4} \hat{t}_3^{p^4} \pmod{(v_2^{p^4})}.$$

Proof. We find the following congruences

Proposition 5.12. *For $m \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(M) \equiv & v_2^{a_1(6)} v_3^{b_1(6)} \left(\widehat{t}_3^6 - \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5) \right) - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} \left(\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) \right) \\ & + v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega} v_3^{b_2(6)-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{p^3\omega} \left(\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) \right) \\ & - v_2^{a_2(6)+2p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{2p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \quad \text{mod } (v_2^{e(p,m)}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e(p, m) = (p+1)p^5 + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p\omega - p^2 - 1)p^3 \\ e_1(p, m) \\ p\omega - p^2 - 1 + e_2(p, m) \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof. We find that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\widehat{x}_5^p) \equiv -v_2^{(p+1)p^5} v_3^{-p^5} \widehat{t}_2^7 \quad \text{mod } (v_2^{(p^3+p\omega-1)p^3}), \\ d(v_2^{(p+1)p^5} v_3^{-p^5} W) \\ \equiv v_2^{(p+1)p^5} v_3^{-p^5} \widehat{t}_2^7 + v_2^{a_1(6)} v_3^{b_1(6)} \left(\widehat{t}_3^6 - \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^5} d(\widehat{x}_5) \right) \\ \quad - v_2^{a_2(6)-p^2-1} v_3^{(p^2\omega-p-1)p^4+p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \\ \quad \text{mod } (v_2^{(p+1)p^5+e_1(p,m)}), \\ d(v_2^{a_2(6)-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)} Y) \\ \equiv v_2^{a_2(6)-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)+p\omega(p^3+1)} \widehat{t}_1 \\ \quad - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} \left(\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} d(\widehat{x}_3) \right) + v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)} v_4^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \\ \quad \text{mod } (v_2^{a_2(6)-p^2-1+e_2(p,m)}), \\ d(-v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{p^3\omega} Y) \\ \equiv -v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)} v_4^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \\ \quad + v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega} v_3^{b_2(6)-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{p^3\omega} \left(\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{v}_3^{(p-1)p^3} d(\widehat{x}_3) \right) \\ \quad - v_2^{a_2(6)+2p\omega-p^2-1} v_3^{b_2(6)-p\omega(p^3+1)} v_4^{2p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_1 \\ \quad \text{mod } (v_2^{a_2(6)+p\omega-p^2-1+e_2(p,m)}). \end{array} \right.$$

Summing these congruences gives the desired formula. \square

6. $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ FOR $k \geq 6$

Define integers c_i and d_i for $i = 1, 2$ by

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} c_1 &= a_1(6) + \widehat{a}(5), \\ c_2 &= a_2(6) + \widehat{a}(3), \\ d_1 &= b_1(6) + \widehat{b}(5), \\ d_2 &= b_2(6) + \widehat{b}(3), \end{cases}$$

and integers $\ell(i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ by

$$(6.2) \quad \ell(1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_1(6) \leq a_2(6), \\ 2 & \text{if } a_1(6) > a_2(6); \end{cases}$$

$$\ell(2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_1 \leq c_2, \\ 2 & \text{if } c_1 > c_2. \end{cases}$$

Then we define $\widehat{a}(k)$ and $\widehat{b}(k)$ for $k \geq 6$ (they were defined for $0 \leq k \leq 5$ in (4.1)) by

$$(6.3) \quad \widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p^{k-6} a_{\ell(1)}(6) & \text{for all } m \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ (p^3 - 1)\widehat{a}(6) + c_{\ell(2)} & \text{for all } m \text{ and } k = 9, \\ p^{k-9}(\widehat{a}(9) - \widehat{a}(5)) + \widehat{a}(k-4) & \text{for } m \geq 5 \text{ and } k \geq 10, \\ p^{k-9}(\widehat{a}(9) - \widehat{a}(3)) + \widehat{a}(k-6) & \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 4 \text{ and } k \geq 10; \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{b}(k) = \begin{cases} p^{k-6} b_{\ell(1)}(6) & \text{for } m \geq 3 \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ (p^3 - 1)\widehat{b}(6) + (p^3\omega - 1)p^6 + d_{\ell(2)} & \text{for } m \geq 3 \text{ and } k = 9, \\ p^{k-6}(b_2(6) - (p^3\omega - 1)p^4) & \text{for } m = 2 \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 7, \\ p^2 b_2(6) & \text{for } m = 2 \text{ and } k = 8, \\ p^3 \widehat{b}(6) + (p^3\omega - 1)p^4 + \widehat{b}(3) & \text{for } m = 2 \text{ and } k = 9, \\ p^{k-9}(\widehat{b}(9) - \widehat{b}(5)) + \widehat{b}(k-4) & \text{for } m \geq 5 \text{ and } k \geq 10, \\ p^{k-9}(\widehat{b}(9) - \widehat{b}(3)) + \widehat{b}(k-6) & \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 4 \text{ and } k \geq 10; \end{cases}$$

Define \widehat{y}_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{y}_1 = -v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} X^{p^2} - v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6) + (p^3\omega-1)p^6} \widehat{x}_6, \\ \widehat{y}_2 = v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6) + a_2(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6) + (p^3\omega-1)p^6 + b_2(6) - (p^3\omega-1)p^4} (X - v_2^{-\widehat{a}(7)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(7)} \widehat{x}_7), \\ \widehat{y}_3 = v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} X, \\ \widehat{y}_4 = -v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6) + (p^3\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{x}_6. \end{cases}$$

For $m \geq 5$, define $\widehat{x}_k \in v_3^{-1}BP_*$ for $k \geq 6$ by

$$(6.4) \quad \widehat{x}_k = \begin{cases} M^{p^{k-6}} & \text{for } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ \widehat{x}_8^p + \widehat{y}_1 & \text{for } k = 9 \text{ unless } (p, m) = (2, 5), \\ \widehat{x}_8^p + \widehat{y}_1 + \widehat{y}_2 & \text{for } k = 9 \text{ and } (p, m) = (2, 5), \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p + v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-4} - \widehat{x}_{k-5}^p) & \text{for } k \geq 10. \end{cases}$$

For $m = 4$, define \widehat{x}_k for $k \geq 6$ by

$$(6.5) \quad \widehat{x}_k = \begin{cases} M^{p^{k-6}} & \text{for } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ \widehat{x}_8^p + \widehat{y}_1 + \widehat{y}_2 & \text{for } k = 9, \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p - v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-6} - \widehat{x}_{k-7}^p) & \text{for } k \geq 10. \end{cases}$$

For $m = 3$, define \widehat{x}_k for $k \geq 6$ by

$$(6.6) \quad \widehat{x}_k = \begin{cases} M - v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{b_2(6) - (p^3\omega - 1)p^4} X & \text{for } k = 6, \\ \widehat{x}_6^p & \text{for } k = 7, \\ Mp^2 & \text{for } k = 8, \\ \widehat{x}_8^p + \widehat{y}_1 + \widehat{y}_3 & \text{for } k = 9, \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p - v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-6} - \widehat{x}_{k-7}^p) & \text{for } k \geq 10. \end{cases}$$

For $m = 2$, define \widehat{x}_k for $k \geq 6$ by

$$(6.7) \quad \widehat{x}_k = \begin{cases} (M - v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(6) - (p^3\omega - 1)p^4} \widetilde{X})(1 + v_2^{p^3} v_3^{p\omega(p^2 - p^3 - 1 - p^6)} v_4^{p^6\omega}) & \text{for } k = 6, \\ \widehat{x}_6^p & \text{for } k = 7, \\ Mp^2 & \text{for } k = 8, \\ \widehat{x}_8^p + \widehat{y}_4 & \text{for } k = 9, \\ \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p - v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} (\widehat{x}_{k-6} - \widehat{x}_{k-7}^p) & \text{for } k \geq 10. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that p and m satisfy (5.1). Modulo $(v_2^{1+\widehat{a}(k)})$, the differential on \widehat{x}_k ($k \geq 6$) is expressed as*

$$\begin{cases} v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{t}_3^k & \text{for } m \geq 4 \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 8, \\ v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{t}_3^k - v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{p^{k-6} b_2(6) - (p^3\omega - 1)p^{k-2}} \widehat{t}_3^{k+1} & \text{for } m = 3 \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 7, \\ v_2^{\widehat{a}(8)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(8)} \widehat{t}_3^8 - v_2^{\widehat{a}(8)} v_3^{p^2 b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^6 & \text{for } m = 3 \text{ and } k = 8, \\ -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{t}_3^{k+1} & \text{for } m = 2 \text{ and } 6 \leq k \leq 7, \\ -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{t}_3^6 & \text{for } m = 2 \text{ and } k = 8, \\ v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-4}) & \text{for } m \geq 5 \text{ and } k \geq 9, \\ -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k) - \widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-6}) & \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 4 \text{ and } k \geq 9. \end{cases}$$

□

We will prove this lemma in Sections 7, 8, 9 and 10. Then our main result is

Theorem 6.9. *As a $\widehat{k}(2)_*$ -module, $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}(M_2^1)$ is the direct sum of*

- (a) *the cyclic submodules generated by $\widehat{x}_k^s / v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)}$ for $k \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{x}_k and $\widehat{a}(k)$ are elements defined in section 4 and this section.*
- (b) *$\widehat{K}(2)_* / \widehat{k}(2)_*$, generated by $1/v_2^j$ for $j \geq 1$.*

□

7. PROOF OF LEMMA 6.8 FOR $m \geq 5$

Notice that the exponent $e(p, m)$ in Proposition 5.12 is

$$(p+1)p^5 + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p\omega - p^2 - 1)p^3 \\ (2p+1)p^4 - p^2 \\ p\omega + 2p^3 - 1 \end{array} \right\} = (p+1)p^5 + (2p+1)p^4 - p^2,$$

which is always larger than

$$a_1(6) + \widehat{a}(5) + p^3 + p + 2 = (p+1)p^5 + (p^5 + 2p^4 + p^3 + p + 2)$$

for all primes p . By Proposition 5.12 we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv \begin{cases} v_2^{a_1(6)} v_3^{b_1(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^p - \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5)) - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^4 & \text{if } (p, m) = (2, 5) \\ v_2^{a_1(6)} v_3^{b_1(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^p - \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

mod $(v_2^{a_1(6)+\widehat{a}(5)+p^3+p+2})$. In particular, we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^p \pmod{v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)+\widehat{a}(5)}}$$

in both cases. For $d(\widehat{x}_9)$ we find that

$$(7.1) \quad d(\widehat{x}_8^p) \equiv v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^9 \pmod{v_2^{p^3(\widehat{a}(6)+\widehat{a}(5))}}.$$

Assume that $(p, m) \neq (2, 5)$. For $d(\widehat{y}_1)$ we find that

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{cases} d(-v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} X^{p^2}) \\ \equiv -v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^9 - v_3^{(p^3 \omega - 1)p^6} \widehat{t}_3^6) \\ \pmod{v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)+p^6}}, \\ d(-v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3 \omega - 1)p^6} \widehat{x}_6) \\ \equiv -v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)+(p^3 \omega - 1)p^6} (\widehat{t}_3^p - \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5)) \\ \pmod{v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p^3+p+2}} \end{cases}$$

(Notice that the second formula fails if $(p, m) = (2, 5)$). This gives

$$(7.3) \quad d(\widehat{y}_1) \equiv -v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^9 + v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 \widehat{b}(6)+(p^3 \omega - 1)p^6} \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5).$$

mod $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p^3+p+2})$. Summing (7.1) and (7.2), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{x}_9) \equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(5)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(5)} \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5) \pmod{v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p^3+p+2}}$$

unless $(p, m) = (2, 5)$. We will see that a similar congruence holds even for $(p, m) = (2, 5)$ after an appropriate change of \widehat{x}_9 .

Define integers $n(k)$ by

$$n(k) = \begin{cases} p^3 + p + 2 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ (p+2)p & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (p+2)p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (p+2)p^3 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Instead of (6.3), we may define integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ for $k \geq 9$ inductively on k by

$$\widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^5 + p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \text{ and } 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This suggests that we may compute $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)})$ inductively on k . Assume that the congruence

$$(7.4) \quad d(\widehat{x}_{k-1}) \equiv v_2^{p^{k-10}(\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(5))} v_3^{p^{k-10}(\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(5))} \widehat{x}_{k-5}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-5})$$

holds modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k-1)+n(k-1)})$. For $10 \leq k \leq 14$ it follows by direct calculations. Moreover, this gives $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ whenever $11 \leq k \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, since $\widehat{x}_k = \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p$. In other cases we denote $\widehat{x}_k - \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p$ by \widehat{z}_k . Notice that \widehat{z}_k is related with \widehat{z}_{k-4} by

$$\widehat{z}_k = v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} \widehat{z}_{k-4}.$$

Then $d(\widehat{z}_k)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widehat{z}_k) &= v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} d\left(\widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} \widehat{z}_{k-4}\right) \\ &= v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \left(d\left(\widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1}\right) \widehat{z}_{k-4} + \eta_R\left(\widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1}\right) d\left(\widehat{z}_{k-4}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that \widehat{z}_{k-4} divided by $\widehat{a}(k-4) - \widehat{a}(k-8)$, so that we can ignore $d(\widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1})$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{a}(k) + n(k) - (\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-4)) - (\widehat{a}(k-4) - \widehat{a}(k-8)) \\ &= n(k) + \widehat{a}(k-8) \\ &< \widehat{a}(k-4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(7.5) \quad d(\widehat{z}_k) \equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} d(\widehat{z}_{k-4}) \pmod{\left(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, by assumption (7.4) we have

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\widehat{x}_{k-1}^p) &\equiv v_2^{p^{k-9}(\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(5))} v_3^{p^{k-9}(\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(5))} \widehat{x}_{k-5}^{(p-1)p} d(\widehat{x}_{k-5}^p) \\ &\equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-5}^p) \pmod{\left(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing (7.5) and (7.6), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{x}_k) \equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-4)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-4)} \widehat{x}_{k-4}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-4}) \pmod{\left(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)}\right)}$$

as desired. \square

Now we consider the $(p, m) = (2, 5)$ case. We have the following congruence instead of the second one in (7.2):

$$(7.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(-v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6} \widehat{x}_6) \\ \equiv -v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6} \left(\widehat{t}_3^p - \widehat{x}_5^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_5)\right) \\ \quad + v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)+a_2(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6+b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4} \\ \pmod{\left(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p^3+p+2}\right)} \end{array} \right.$$

Define \widehat{y}_2 by

$$\widehat{y}_2 = v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)+a_2(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6+b_2(6)-(p^3\omega-1)p^4} (X - v_2^{-\widehat{a}(7)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(7)} \widehat{x}_7).$$

We see that the differential on $X - v_2^{-\widehat{a}(7)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(7)} \widehat{x}_7$ is expressed as

$$d(X - v_2^{-\widehat{a}(7)} v_3^{-\widehat{b}(7)} \widehat{x}_7) \equiv -v_3^{(p^3\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{t}_3^4 \pmod{(v_2^{p^4})}.$$

This gives

$$(7.8) \quad d(\widehat{y}_2) \equiv -v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)+a_2(6)} v_3^{(p^3-1)\widehat{b}(6)+(p^3\omega-1)p^6+b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^4$$

$\pmod{(v_2^{(p^3-1)\widehat{a}(6)+a_2(6)+p^4})}$. Summing (7.7) and (7.8), we have the same one as the second of (7.2). \square

8. PROOF OF LEMMA 6.8 FOR $m = 4$

Notice that the exponent $e(p, m)$ in Proposition 5.12 is

$$(p+1)p^5 + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p^5 - p^2 - 1)p^3 \\ (2p+1)p^4 - p^2 \\ p^5 + 2p^3 - 1 \end{array} \right\} = (p+1)p^5 + p^5 + 2p^3 - 1,$$

which is always greater than or equal to

$$a_2(6) + \widehat{a}(3) + p + 1 = (p+1)p^5 + p^5 + p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$$

for all primes p . By Proposition 5.12 we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv v_2^{a_1(6)} v_3^{b_1(6)} \widehat{t}_3^6 - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3))$$

$\pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6)+\widehat{a}(3)+p+1})}$. In particular we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^6 \pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6)})}.$$

for any prime p . For $d(\widehat{x}_9)$ we have

$$(8.1) \quad d(\widehat{x}_8^p) \equiv v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3\widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^9 \pmod{(v_2^{p^3 a_2(6)})}.$$

Instead of (7.2) we have

$$(8.2) \quad d(\widehat{y}_1) \equiv -v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3\widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^9 + v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3)} (\widehat{t}_3^4 - \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3))$$

$\pmod{(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p+1})}$. Moreover, as same as (7.8) we have

$$(8.3) \quad d(\widehat{y}_2) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3)} \widehat{t}_3^4 \pmod{(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)+p^4})}.$$

Summing (8.1), (8.2) and (8.3), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{x}_9) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3)} \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) \pmod{v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+p+1}}.$$

for any prime p .

Define integers $n(k)$ by

$$(8.4) \quad n(k) = \begin{cases} p+1 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ (p+1)p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ (p+1)p^3 & \text{for } k \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ p^2 - p + 2 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ (p^2 - p + 2)p & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ (p^2 - p + 2)p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Instead of (6.3), we may define integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ for $k \geq 9$ inductively on k by

$$\widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^5 - p^4 + p^3 + p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) & \text{for } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

This suggests that we may compute $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)})$ inductively on k . Assume that the congruences

$$(8.5) \quad d(\widehat{x}_{k-1}) \equiv -v_2^{p^{k-10}(\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3))} v_3^{p^{k-10}(\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3))} \widehat{x}_{k-7}^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_{k-7})$$

holds modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k-1)+n(k-1)})$. For $10 \leq k \leq 16$ case it follows by direct calculations. Moreover, this gives $d(\widehat{x}_k)$ whenever $10 \leq k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, since $\widehat{x}_k = \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p$. In other cases we denote $\widehat{x}_k - \widehat{x}_{k-1}^p$ by \widehat{z}_k . Notice that \widehat{z}_k is related with \widehat{z}_{k-6} by

$$\widehat{z}_k = -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} \widehat{z}_{k-6}.$$

Then $d(\widehat{z}_k)$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} d(\widehat{z}_k) &= -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-6)} d\left(\widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} \widehat{z}_{k-6}\right) \\ &= -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-6)} \left\{ d\left(\widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1}\right) \widehat{z}_{k-6} + \eta_R\left(\widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1}\right) d(\widehat{z}_{k-6}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that \widehat{z}_{k-6} is divided by $v_2^{\widehat{a}(k-6)-\widehat{a}(k-12)}$, so that we can ignore $d\left(\widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1}\right)$, because

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{a}(k) + n(k) - (\widehat{a}(k) - \widehat{a}(k-6)) - (\widehat{a}(k-6) - \widehat{a}(k-12)) \\ &= n(k) + \widehat{a}(k-12) \\ &< \widehat{a}(k-6). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(8.6) \quad d(\widehat{z}_k) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)-\widehat{a}(k-6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)-\widehat{b}(k-6)} \widehat{x}_{k-6}^{p-1} d(\widehat{z}_{k-6}) \pmod{v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)}}.$$

Moreover, we find that

$$(9.3) \quad d(\widehat{y}_3) \equiv v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3 b_2(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^{p^7} - v_3^{(p^3 \omega - 1) p^4} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4}) \pmod{(v_2^{p^3 \widehat{a}(6) + p^4})}.$$

Summing (9.2) and (9.3), we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_9) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(9) - \widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9) - \widehat{b}(3)} \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) \pmod{(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9) + p + 1})}.$$

for all primes p .

Define integers $n(k)$ by

$$(9.4) \quad n(k) = \begin{cases} p+1 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ (p+1)p & \text{for } k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ (p+1)p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ p^3 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ p^5 & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Instead of (6.3), we may define integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ for $k \geq 9$ inductively on k by

$$\widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^3 + p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) & \text{for } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

This suggests that we may compute $d(x_k)$ modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k) + n(k)})$ inductively on k . We can prove this proposition in the same fashion as in case that $m = 4$. \square

10. PROOF OF LEMMA 6.8 FOR $m = 2$

Notice that the exponent $e(p, m)$ in Proposition 5.12 is

$$(p+1)p^5 + \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p^3 - p^2 - 1)p^3 \\ (p^3 - 1)p^2 \\ p^3 - p^2 - 1 + f(p, 2) \end{array} \right\}$$

which is larger than

$$a_2(6) + \widehat{a}(3) + 2 = (p+1)p^5 + p^3 + (p^3 + p^2) + 2$$

only if $p > 2$. We define N by

$$N = M - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6) - (p^3 \omega - 1) p^4} \widetilde{X}.$$

By Proposition 5.12 we have

$$(10.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(M) \equiv -v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} (\widehat{t}_3^{p^4} - \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3)) \pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6) + \widehat{a}(3) + 2})} \\ d(-v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6) - (p^3 \omega - 1) p^4} \widetilde{X}) \\ \equiv -v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6) - (p^3 \omega - 1) p^4} \\ \quad (\widehat{t}_3^{p^7} - v_3^{(p^3 \omega - 1) p^4} \widehat{t}_3^{p^4} - v_2^{p^3} v_3^{p \omega (p^2 - p^3 - 1 - p^6)} v_4^{p^6 \omega} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7}) \\ \pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6) + 2p^3 - p^2 - 1})} \end{array} \right.$$

This gives

$$d(N) \equiv v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) - v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)-(p^3\omega-1)p^4} (\widehat{t}_3^{p^7} - v_2^{p^3} v_3^{p\omega(p^2-p^3-1-p^6)} v_4^{p^6\omega} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7}) \pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6)+\widehat{a}(3)+2})}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv v_2^{a_2(6)} v_3^{b_2(6)} \left(\widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) - v_3^{-(p^3\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} \right) \pmod{(v_2^{a_2(6)+\widehat{a}(3)+2})}$$

for $p > 2$. In particular we have

$$d(\widehat{x}_6) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(6)} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} \pmod{(v_2^{\widehat{a}(6)+\widehat{a}(3)})}.$$

for $p > 2$. Using the first congruence in (10.1), we find that

$$d(\widehat{x}_8) \equiv -v_2^{p^2\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^2b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^{p^6} \pmod{(v_2^{p^2(\widehat{a}(6)+p^3)})}.$$

For $d(\widehat{x}_9)$ we have

$$(10.2) \quad \begin{cases} d(\widehat{x}_8^p) \equiv -v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)} v_3^{p^3b_2(6)} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} \pmod{(v_2^{p^3(\widehat{a}(6)+p^3)})}, \\ d(\widehat{y}_4) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3)} \left(\widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) - v_3^{-(p^3\omega-1)p^4} \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} \right) \pmod{(v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)+2})}. \end{cases}$$

Summing (10.2), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{x}_9) \equiv -v_2^{\widehat{a}(9)-\widehat{a}(3)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(9)-\widehat{b}(3)} \widehat{x}_3^{p-1} d(\widehat{x}_3) \pmod{(v_2^{p^3\widehat{a}(6)+\widehat{a}(3)+2})}$$

for $p > 2$.

Define integers $n(k)$ by

$$(10.3) \quad n(k) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 2p & \text{for } k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ 2p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ p^3 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ p^4 & \text{for } k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ p^5 & \text{for } k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Instead of (6.3), we may define integers $\widehat{a}(k)$ for $k \geq 9$ inductively on k by

$$\widehat{a}(k) = \begin{cases} p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^3 + p^2 & \text{for } k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) + p^3 & \text{for } k \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ p\widehat{a}(k-1) & \text{for } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

This suggests that we may compute $d(x_k)$ modulo $(v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)+n(k)})$ inductively on k . We can prove this proposition in the same fashion as in case that $m = 4$. \square

11. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Here we will prove Theorem 6.9. First we consider the case that $m \geq 5$ (Theorem 1.3 included).

By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to show that the set

$$\left\{ \delta \left(\widehat{x}_k^s / v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} \right) : k \geq 0, s > 0 \text{ and } p \nmid s \right\} \subset \text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0)$$

in linearly independent over

$$\widehat{k}(2)_*/(v_2) = \mathbf{Z}/(p)[v_2, \dots, v_m, \widehat{v}_1].$$

It follows from (2.2) that this group is the free $\widehat{K}(2)_*$ -module on the nine classes represented by

$$(11.1) \quad \left\{ \widehat{t}_1, \widehat{t}_1^p, \widehat{t}_1^{p^2}, \widehat{t}_2, \widehat{t}_2^p, \widehat{t}_2^{p^2}, \widehat{t}_3, \widehat{t}_3^p, \widehat{t}_3^{p^2} \right\}.$$

In $\Gamma(m+1)/I_3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(\widehat{v}_4) &= \widehat{v}_4 + v_3 \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} - v_3^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1, \\ \eta_R(\widehat{v}_5) &= \widehat{v}_5 + v_4 \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} - v_4^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_3^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2, \\ \text{and } \eta_R(\widehat{v}_6) &= \widehat{v}_6 + v_5 \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} + v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} + v_3 \widehat{t}_3^{p^3} - v_5^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_4^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 - v_3^{p^3\omega} \widehat{t}_3. \end{aligned}$$

so in $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^1(M_3^0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{t}_1^{p^3} &= v_3^{p\omega-1} \widehat{t}_1, \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^3} &= v_3^{p^2\omega-1} \widehat{t}_2 + v_3^{-1} \left(v_4^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_4 \widehat{t}_1^{p^4} \right), \\ \text{and } \widehat{t}_3^{p^3} &= v_3^{p^3\omega-1} \widehat{t}_3 + v_3^{-1} \left(v_4^{p^2\omega} \widehat{t}_2 + v_5^{p\omega} \widehat{t}_1 - v_4 \widehat{t}_2^{p^4} - v_5 \widehat{t}_1^{p^5} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that for $m \geq 2$ we can replace the $\widehat{K}(2)_*$ -basis of Ext^1 of (11.1) with

$$\left\{ \widehat{t}_1^{p^2}, \widehat{t}_1^{p^3}, \widehat{t}_1^{p^4}, \widehat{t}_2^{p^4}, \widehat{t}_2^{p^5}, \widehat{t}_2^{p^6}, \widehat{t}_3^{p^6}, \widehat{t}_3^{p^7}, \widehat{t}_3^{p^8} \right\},$$

so its basis over $\widehat{k}(2)_*/(v_2)$ is

$$\left\{ \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_1^{p^2}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_1^{p^3}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_1^{p^4}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_2^{p^4}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_2^{p^5}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_2^{p^6}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_3^{p^6}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_3^{p^7}, \widehat{v}_3^t \widehat{t}_3^{p^8} : t \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Now define integers $\widehat{c}(k)$ for $k \geq 0$ by

$$\widehat{c}_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 8 \\ (p-1)p^{k-4} + \widehat{c}(k-4) & \text{for } k \geq 9. \end{cases}$$

For $k > 4$, write $k = k_0 + 4k_1$ with $5 \leq k_0 \leq 8$. Then the above is equivalent to

$$(11.2) \quad \widehat{c}(k) = (p-1)p^{k_0} \left(\frac{p^{4k_1} - 1}{p^4 - 1} \right).$$

Then Lemmas 4.3 and 6.8 imply that

$$d(\widehat{x}_k) \equiv \pm v_2^{\widehat{a}(k)} v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{v}_3^{\widehat{c}(k)} \begin{cases} \widehat{t}_1^{p^{2+k}} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^{1+k}} & \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq 4 \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^6} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^6} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^8} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 4 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

modulo $(v_2^{1+a(k)})$. This and the multiplicative property of the right unit imply that

$$(11.3) \quad \delta \left(\frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_3^{\widehat{a}(k)}} \right) = \pm s v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{v}_3^{(s-1)p^k + \widehat{c}(k)} \begin{cases} \widehat{t}_1^{p^{2+k}} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^{1+k}} & \text{for } 3 \leq k \leq 4 \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^6} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^6} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^7} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^8} & \text{for } k > 4 \text{ and } k \equiv 4 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.4 it suffices to show that these elements (with $k \geq 0$ and $s > 0$ not divisible by p) are linearly independent over $\widehat{k}(1)_*$. The ones for $0 \leq k \leq 4$ are clearly independent of those for $k > 0$, so it suffices to consider the exponents of \widehat{v}_3 above for $k > 4$, i.e., to show that the set

$$(11.4) \quad \left\{ \widehat{v}_3^{(s-1)p^k + \widehat{c}(k)} : k > 4, s > 0, p \nmid s \right\}$$

is linearly independent over $\widehat{k}(2)_*/(v_2)$. For a fixed value of k , the exponents appearing in (11.4) are congruent to $\widehat{c}(k)$ modulo p^k but not congruent (since $p \nmid s$) to $-p^k + \widehat{c}(k)$ modulo p^{k+1} .

Now (11.2) implies that for $k > 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{c}(k) &\equiv p^k - \frac{(p-1)p^{k_0}}{p^4-1} \pmod{p^{k+1}} \\ \text{so } (s-1)p^k + \widehat{c}(k) &\equiv sp^k - \frac{(p-1)p^{k_0}}{p^4-1} \pmod{p^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our condition is that the exponents associated with k are congruent to $-\frac{(p-1)p^{k_0}}{p^4-1}$ modulo p^k but not modulo p^{k+1} , and these conditions are mutually exclusive for differing k . \square

Proof for $2 \leq m \leq 4$. The argument is the same subject to the following changes. The integers $\widehat{c}(k)$ are defined by

$$\widehat{c}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 8, \\ (p-1)p^{k-6} + \widehat{c}(k-6) & \text{for } k \geq 9. \end{cases}$$

For $k > 2$, write $k = k_0 + 6k_1$ with $3 \leq k_0 \leq 8$. Then the above is equivalent to

$$\widehat{c}(k) = (p-1)p^{k_0} \left(\frac{p^{6k_1} - 1}{p^6 - 1} \right).$$

Then (11.3) gets replaced by

$$\delta \left(\frac{\widehat{x}_k^s}{v_3^{\widehat{a}(k)}} \right) = \pm s v_3^{\widehat{b}(k)} \widehat{v}_3^{(s-1)p^k + \widehat{c}(k)} \begin{cases} \widehat{t}_1^{p^{2+k}} & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq 2 \\ \widehat{t}_2^{p^{1+k_0}} & \text{for } 3 \leq k_0 \leq 5 \text{ and} \\ \widehat{t}_3^{p^{k_0}} & \text{for } 6 \leq k_0 \leq 8 \text{ and} \end{cases}$$

(Notice that there is another term for $m = 3$ and $6 \leq k \leq 8$. We can ignore it because it is linear independent with the above coboundary.) We can argue for linear independence as before.

REFERENCES

- [MRW77] H. R. Miller, D. C. Ravenel, and W. S. Wilson. Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, *Annals of Mathematics*, 106:469–516, 1977.
- [MW76] H. R. Miller and W. S. Wilson. On Novikov’s Ext^1 modulo an invariant prime ideal, *Topology*, 15:131–141, 1976.
- [NR] H. Nakai and D. C. Ravenel. The structure of the general chromatic E_1 -term $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma(m+1)}^0(m_1^1)$, preprint.
- [Rav] D. C. Ravenel. The microstable Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, *Contemp. Math.*, 265:193–209, 2000.
- [Rav86] D. C. Ravenel. *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [Shi1] K. Shimomura. The homotopy groups $\pi_*(L_2T(n) \wedge V(0))$, To appear.
- [Shi2] K. Shimomura. The homotopy groups $\pi_*(L_nT(m) \wedge V(n-2))$, To appear.

KOCHI UNIVERSITY, JAPAN, HIMEJI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JAPAN, AND UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627