


chi-I A solution to the Arf-Kervaire
invariant problem II:
Overview of the proof

Unni Namboodiri Lectures
University of Chicago

April 4, 2011



Mike Hill
University of Virginia
Mike Hopkins
Harvard University
Doug Ravenel
University of Rochester

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Our strategy

Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2j+1-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Our strategy

Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Our strategy

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Our strategy



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial. This means that if θ_j exists, we will see its image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Our strategy



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial. This means that if θ_j exists, we will see its image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
- (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** It is 256-periodic, meaning that $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Our strategy

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial. This means that if θ_j exists, we will see its image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
- (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** It is 256-periodic, meaning that $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
- (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega) = 0$ for $-4 < k < 0$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial. This means that if θ_j exists, we will see its image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
- (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** It is 256-periodic, meaning that $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
- (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega) = 0$ for $-4 < k < 0$. This property is our **zinger**. Its proof involves a new tool we call the slice spectral sequence.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems



Main Theorem

The Arf-Kervaire elements $\theta_j \in \pi_{2^{j+1}-2}(S^0)$ do not exist for $j \geq 7$.

We will prove it by producing a map $S^0 \rightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a nonconnective E_∞ -ring spectrum with the following properties.

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** It has an Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in which the image of each θ_j is nontrivial. **This means that if θ_j exists, we will see its image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.**
- (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** It is 256-periodic, meaning that $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
- (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega) = 0$ for $-4 < k < 0$. This property is our **zinger**. Its proof involves a new tool we call the slice spectral sequence. **It will be the subject of tomorrow's talk.**

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How the theorem follows from the existence of Ω

Here again are the properties of Ω :

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How the theorem follows from the existence of Ω

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Here again are the properties of Ω :

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** If θ_j exists, it has nontrivial image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
- (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
- (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_{-2}(\Omega) = 0$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How the theorem follows from the existence of Ω

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Here again are the properties of Ω :

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** If θ_j exists, it has nontrivial image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
 - (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
 - (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_{-2}(\Omega) = 0$.
- (ii) and (iii) imply that $\pi_{254}(\Omega) = 0$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How the theorem follows from the existence of Ω

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Here again are the properties of Ω :

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** If θ_j exists, it has nontrivial image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
 - (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
 - (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_{-2}(\Omega) = 0$.
- (ii) and (iii) imply that $\pi_{254}(\Omega) = 0$.

If $\theta_7 \in \pi_{254}(S^0)$ exists, (i) implies it has a nontrivial image in this group, so it cannot exist.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How the theorem follows from the existence of Ω

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Here again are the properties of Ω :

- (i) **Detection Theorem.** If θ_j exists, it has nontrivial image in $\pi_*(\Omega)$.
 - (ii) **Periodicity Theorem.** $\pi_k(\Omega)$ depends only on the reduction of k modulo 256.
 - (iii) **Gap Theorem.** $\pi_{-2}(\Omega) = 0$.
- (ii) and (iii) imply that $\pi_{254}(\Omega) = 0$.

If $\theta_7 \in \pi_{254}(S^0)$ exists, (i) implies it has a nontrivial image in this group, so it cannot exist. The argument for θ_j for larger j is similar, since $|\theta_j| = 2^{j+1} - 2 \equiv -2 \pmod{256}$ for $j \geq 7$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8 .**

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

For a G -space X , X^G is the subspace fixed by all of G ,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

For a G -space X , X^G is the subspace fixed by all of G , which is the same as the space of equivariant maps from a point to X , $\text{Map}_G(*, X)$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

For a G -space X , X^G is the subspace fixed by all of G , which is the same as the space of equivariant maps from a point to X , $\text{Map}_G(*, X)$. To get X^{hG} , we replace the point here by an free contractible G -space EG .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

For a G -space X , X^G is the subspace fixed by all of G , which is the same as the space of equivariant maps from a point to X , $\text{Map}_G(*, X)$. To get X^{hG} , we replace the point here by an free contractible G -space EG .

The homotopy type of $X^{hG} = \text{Map}_G(EG, X)$ is known to be independent of the choice of EG .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω

The construction of Ω requires the use of **equivariant stable homotopy theory**.

Roughly speaking, an equivariant G -spectrum is a spectrum X with an action of the group G . **For us the group of interest will be C_8** . This leads to a fixed point spectrum X^G and a homotopy fixed point spectrum X^{hG} , with a map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

For a G -space X , X^G is the subspace fixed by all of G , which is the same as the space of equivariant maps from a point to X , $\text{Map}_G(*, X)$. To get X^{hG} , we replace the point here by an free contractible G -space EG .

The homotopy type of $X^{hG} = \text{Map}_G(EG, X)$ is known to be independent of the choice of EG . The unique map $EG \rightarrow *$ leads to the map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω (continued)

We construct a C_8 -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ and show that

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We construct a C_8 -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ and show that

- $\tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ satisfies the detection and periodicity theorems.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We construct a C_8 -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ and show that

- $\tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ satisfies the detection and periodicity theorems.
- $\tilde{\Omega}^{C_8}$ satisfies the gap theorem.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

How we construct the spectrum Ω (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

We construct a C_8 -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ and show that

- $\tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ satisfies the detection and periodicity theorems.
- $\tilde{\Omega}^{C_8}$ satisfies the gap theorem.

Hence our proof depends on a fourth property:

(iv) **Fixed Point Theorem** The map $\tilde{\Omega}^{C_8} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ is an equivalence.

How we construct the spectrum Ω (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

We construct a C_8 -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ and show that

- $\tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ satisfies the detection and periodicity theorems.
- $\tilde{\Omega}^{C_8}$ satisfies the gap theorem.

Hence our proof depends on a fourth property:

(iv) **Fixed Point Theorem** The map $\tilde{\Omega}^{C_8} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}^{hC_8}$ is an equivalence.

We will come back to the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}$ below.

MU and its equivariant relatives

The starting point for the construction of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the action of C_2 on the complex cobordism spectrum MU given by complex conjugation.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives

The starting point for the construction of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the action of C_2 on the complex cobordism spectrum MU given by complex conjugation. The resulting C_2 -equivariant spectrum is denoted by $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ and is called **real cobordism theory**.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The starting point for the construction of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the action of C_2 on the complex cobordism spectrum MU given by complex conjugation. The resulting C_2 -equivariant spectrum is denoted by $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ and is called **real cobordism theory**. This terminology follows Atiyah's definition of real K -theory, by which he meant complex K -theory equipped with complex conjugation.

MU and its equivariant relatives

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The starting point for the construction of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the action of C_2 on the complex cobordism spectrum MU given by complex conjugation. The resulting C_2 -equivariant spectrum is denoted by $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ and is called **real cobordism theory**. This terminology follows Atiyah's definition of real K -theory, by which he meant complex K -theory equipped with complex conjugation.

Next we use a formal tool we call the **norm** N_H^G for inducing up from an H -spectrum to a G -spectrum when H is a subgroup of G .

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G .

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G . The underlying space here is the Cartesian product $X^{|G/H|}$.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G . The underlying space here is the Cartesian product $X^{|G/H|}$. G permutes the factors the same way it permutes cosets, and each factor is invariant under H .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G . The underlying space here is the Cartesian product $X^{|G/H|}$. G permutes the factors the same way it permutes cosets, and each factor is invariant under H . **The norm functor is an analogous construction in the stable category.**

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G . The underlying space here is the Cartesian product $X^{|G/H|}$. G permutes the factors the same way it permutes cosets, and each factor is invariant under H . **The norm functor is an analogous construction in the stable category.**

The case of interest to us is $X = MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, $H = C_2$ and $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



For an H -space X , we have a G -space

$$\mathrm{Map}_H(G, X),$$

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

where H acts on G by right multiplication and G acts on the mapping space via right multiplication in G . The underlying space here is the Cartesian product $X^{|G/H|}$. G permutes the factors the same way it permutes cosets, and each factor is invariant under H . **The norm functor is an analogous construction in the stable category.**

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The case of interest to us is $X = MU_{\mathbb{R}}$, $H = C_2$ and $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$. This means that the underlying spectrum of $N_H^G X$ is $MU^{(2^n)}$, the 2^n -fold smash power of MU .

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce *RO*(*G*)-graded homotopy,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy, where $RO(G)$ denotes the orthogonal representation ring of G .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce *RO*(*G*)-graded homotopy, where *RO*(*G*) denotes the orthogonal representation ring of *G*. Let S^V denote the one point compactification of orthogonal representation *V*,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce *RO(G)*-graded homotopy, where *RO(G)* denotes the orthogonal representation ring of *G*. Let S^V denote the one point compactification of orthogonal representation *V*, and for a *G*-space or spectrum *X* define

$$\pi_V^G X = \text{Map}_G(S^V, X).$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce *RO(G)-graded homotopy*, where $RO(G)$ denotes the orthogonal representation ring of G . Let S^V denote the one point compactification of orthogonal representation V , and for a G -space or spectrum X define

$$\pi_V^G X = \text{Map}_G(S^V, X).$$

Note that when the action of G on V is trivial, an equivariant map $S^V = S^{\dim V} \rightarrow X$ must land in the fixed point set X^G , so

$$\pi_n^G X = \pi_n X^G.$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

In order to proceed further we need to introduce *RO(G)*-graded homotopy, where *RO(G)* denotes the orthogonal representation ring of *G*. Let S^V denote the one point compactification of orthogonal representation *V*, and for a *G*-space or spectrum *X* define

$$\pi_V^G X = \text{Map}_G(S^V, X).$$

Note that when the action of *G* on *V* is trivial, an equivariant map $S^V = S^{\dim V} \rightarrow X$ must land in the fixed point set X^G , so

$$\pi_n^G X = \pi_n X^G.$$

In the stable category we can make sense of this for virtual as well as actual representations, so we get homotopy groups indexed by *RO(G)*, which we denote collectively by $\pi_*^G X$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

Recall that

$$\pi_*(MU) = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \quad \text{with } |x_i| = 2i.$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

Recall that

$$\pi_*(MU) = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \quad \text{with } |x_i| = 2i.$$

It turns out that any choice of generator $x_i : S^{2i} \rightarrow MU$ is the image under the forgetful functor of a map

$$S^{i\rho} \xrightarrow{\bar{x}_i} MU_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)



Recall that

$$\pi_*(MU) = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \quad \text{with } |x_i| = 2i.$$

It turns out that any choice of generator $x_i : S^{2i} \rightarrow MU$ is the image under the forgetful functor of a map

$$S^{i\rho} \xrightarrow{\bar{x}_i} MU_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Here ρ denotes the regular real representation of C_2 ,

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)



Recall that

$$\pi_*(MU) = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \quad \text{with } |x_i| = 2i.$$

It turns out that any choice of generator $x_i : S^{2i} \rightarrow MU$ is the image under the forgetful functor of a map

$$S^{i\rho} \xrightarrow{\bar{x}_i} MU_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Here ρ denotes the regular real representation of C_2 , which is the same thing as the complex numbers \mathbf{C} acted on by conjugation.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$.
 $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$. $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

- there are 2^n generators in each positive even dimension $2i$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$. $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

- there are 2^n generators in each positive even dimension $2i$.
- they are acted on transitively by G .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$. $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

- there are 2^n generators in each positive even dimension $2i$.
- they are acted on transitively by G .

For a group generator $\gamma \in G$ and polynomial generator $r_i \in \pi_{2i}$,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$. $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

- there are 2^n generators in each positive even dimension $2i$.
- they are acted on transitively by G .

For a group generator $\gamma \in G$ and polynomial generator $r_i \in \pi_{2i}$, the set

$$\{\gamma^j r_i : 0 \leq j < 2^n\}$$

is algebraically independent,

MU and its equivariant relatives (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

For $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$, the G -spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ is underlain by $MU^{(2^n)}$. $\pi_* MU^{(2^n)}$ is a graded polynomial algebra over \mathbf{Z} where

- there are 2^n generators in each positive even dimension $2i$.
- they are acted on transitively by G .

For a group generator $\gamma \in G$ and polynomial generator $r_i \in \pi_{2i}$, the set

$$\{\gamma^j r_i : 0 \leq j < 2^n\}$$

is algebraically independent, and $\gamma^{2^n} r_i = (-1)^i r_i$.

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra. The functor P^m is [Dror nullification](#) with respect to the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra. The functor P^m is [Dror nullification](#) with respect to the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. This means

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra. The functor P^m is [Dror nullification](#) with respect to the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. This means

- For all spectra X , $P_m X \in \mathcal{S}_{>m}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra. The functor P^m is [Dror nullification](#) with respect to the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. This means

- For all spectra X , $P_m X \in \mathcal{S}_{>m}$.
- For all $A \in \mathcal{S}_{>m}$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}$, map of function spectra $\mathcal{S}(A, P_m X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A, X)$ is a weak equivalence.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice filtration

Now we introduce our main technical tool, [the slice filtration](#).

First we need to recall some things about the classical Postnikov tower. The m th Postnikov section $P^m X$ of a space or spectrum X is obtained by killing all homotopy groups of X above dimension m by attaching cells. The fiber of the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is $P_m X$, the m -connected cover of X .

These two functors have some universal properties. Let \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ denote the categories of spectra and m -connected spectra. The functor P^m is [Dror nullification](#) with respect to the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. This means

- For all spectra X , $P_m X \in \mathcal{S}_{>m}$.
- For all $A \in \mathcal{S}_{>m}$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}$, map of function spectra $\mathcal{S}(A, P_m X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A, X)$ is a weak equivalence.

In other words, the map $P_m X \rightarrow X$ is universal among maps from m -connected spectra to X .



More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

- The spectrum $P^m X$ is $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

- The spectrum $P^m X$ is $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null.
- For any $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null spectrum Z , the map $\mathcal{S}(P^m X, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, Z)$ is an equivalence.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

- The spectrum $P^m X$ is $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null.
- For any $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null spectrum Z , the map $\mathcal{S}(P^m X, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, Z)$ is an equivalence.

Since $\mathcal{S}_{>m} \subset \mathcal{S}_{>m-1}$, there is a natural transformation $P^m \rightarrow P^{m-1}$, whose fiber is denoted by $P_m^m X$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

- The spectrum $P^m X$ is $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null.
- For any $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null spectrum Z , the map $S(P^m X, Z) \rightarrow S(X, Z)$ is an equivalence.

Since $\mathcal{S}_{>m} \subset \mathcal{S}_{>m-1}$, there is a natural transformation $P^m \rightarrow P^{m-1}$, whose fiber is denoted by $P_m^m X$.

Thus we get a the Postnikov tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P^m X & \longrightarrow & P^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & P_m^m X & & P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

in which the homotopy limit is X and the homotopy colimit is contractible.



More about the Postnikov tower

Similarly the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ is universal among maps from X to spectra which are $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null in the sense that all maps to them from m -connected spectra are null. In other words,

- The spectrum $P^m X$ is $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null.
- For any $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ -null spectrum Z , the map $S(P^m X, Z) \rightarrow S(X, Z)$ is an equivalence.

Since $\mathcal{S}_{>m} \subset \mathcal{S}_{>m-1}$, there is a natural transformation $P^m \rightarrow P^{m-1}$, whose fiber is denoted by $P_m^m X$.

Thus we get a the Postnikov tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P^m X & \longrightarrow & P^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & P_m^m X & & P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

in which the homotopy limit is X and the homotopy colimit is contractible. The m th fiber $P_m^m X$ is $H\pi_m X$, the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for the m th homotopy group of X .



An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra. We need an equivariant analog of $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra. We need an equivariant analog of $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. Our choice for this is somewhat novel.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra. We need an equivariant analog of $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. **Our choice for this is somewhat novel.**

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ is the category of spectra built up out of spheres of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges and mapping cones.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra. We need an equivariant analog of $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. Our choice for this is somewhat novel.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ is the category of spectra built up out of spheres of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges and mapping cones.

For a subgroup H of G with $|H| = h$ and an integer k , let

$$\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) = G_+ \wedge_H S^{k\rho_H}$$

where ρ_H denotes the regular real representation of H .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower

In what follows G will be an arbitrary finite cyclic 2-group, and $g = |G|$. Let \mathcal{S}^G denote the category of G -equivariant spectra. We need an equivariant analog of $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$. Our choice for this is somewhat novel.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{>m}$ is the category of spectra built up out of spheres of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges and mapping cones.

For a subgroup H of G with $|H| = h$ and an integer k , let

$$\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) = G_+ \wedge_H S^{k\rho_H}$$

where ρ_H denotes the regular real representation of H . Its underlying spectrum is a wedge of g/h spheres of dimension kh which are permuted by elements of G and are invariant under H .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G . Note that

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}}) = \widehat{S}((k-1)\rho_{\{e\}}).$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G . Note that

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}}) = \widehat{S}((k-1)\rho_{\{e\}}).$$

Nonfree slice cells are said to be **isotropic**.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G . Note that

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}}) = \widehat{S}((k-1)\rho_{\{e\}}).$$

Nonfree slice cells are said to be **isotropic**.

In order to define $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$, we need to assign a dimension to each element in \mathcal{A} .



An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G . Note that

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}}) = \widehat{S}((k-1)\rho_{\{e\}}).$$

Nonfree slice cells are said to be **isotropic**.

In order to define $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$, we need to assign a dimension to each element in \mathcal{A} . We do this in terms of the underlying wedge summands,



An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

We will replace the set of sphere spectra by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \widehat{S}(k\rho_H), \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) : H \subset G, k \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

We will refer to the elements in this set as **slice cells**. Note that $\Sigma^{-2}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H)$ (and larger desuspensions) are **not** slice cells. A **free slice cell** is one of the form $\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}})$, a wedge of g k -spheres permuted by G . Note that

$$\Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_{\{e\}}) = \widehat{S}((k-1)\rho_{\{e\}}).$$

Nonfree slice cells are said to be **isotropic**.

In order to define $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$, we need to assign a dimension to each element in \mathcal{A} . We do this in terms of the underlying wedge summands, namely

$$\dim \widehat{S}(k\rho_H) = kh \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \Sigma^{-1}\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) = kh - 1.$$



An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

Then $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$ is the category built up out of elements in \mathcal{A} of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges, mapping cones and smash products with equivariant suspension spectra.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

Then $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$ is the category built up out of elements in \mathcal{A} of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges, mapping cones and smash products with equivariant suspension spectra.

With this definition it is possible to construct functors P_m^G and P_G^m with the same formal properties as in the classical case.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

An equivariant Postnikov tower (continued)

Then $\mathcal{S}_{>m}^G$ is the category built up out of elements in \mathcal{A} of dimension $> m$ using arbitrary wedges, mapping cones and smash products with equivariant suspension spectra.

With this definition it is possible to construct functors P_m^G and P_G^m with the same formal properties as in the classical case.

Thus we get an equivariant analog of the Postnikov tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

in which the homotopy limit is X and the homotopy colimit is contractible.



The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**. $G P_m^m X$ is the **m th slice** and the decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\pi_*(X)$ is the **slice filtration**.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**. $G P_m^m X$ is the **m th slice** and the decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\pi_*(X)$ is the **slice filtration**. We also get slice filtrations of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy $\pi_*^G(X)$ and the homotopy groups of fixed point sets $\pi_*(X^H)$.



The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**. $G P_m^m X$ is the **m th slice** and the decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\pi_*(X)$ is the **slice filtration**. We also get slice filtrations of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy $\pi_*^G(X)$ and the homotopy groups of fixed point sets $\pi_*(X^H)$.

There is an important difference between this tower and the classical one.



The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**. $G P_m^m X$ is the **m th slice** and the decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\pi_*(X)$ is the **slice filtration**. We also get slice filtrations of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy $\pi_*^G(X)$ and the homotopy groups of fixed point sets $\pi_*(X^H)$.

There is an important difference between this tower and the classical one. In the classical case the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ does not change homotopy groups in dimensions $\leq m$.



The slice spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m+1} X & \longrightarrow & P_G^m X & \longrightarrow & P_G^{m-1} X & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & G P_{m+1}^{m+1} X & & G P_m^m X & & G P_{m-1}^{m-1} X & & \end{array}$$

We call this the **slice tower**. $G P_m^m X$ is the **m th slice** and the decreasing sequence of subgroups of $\pi_*(X)$ is the **slice filtration**. We also get slice filtrations of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy $\pi_*^G(X)$ and the homotopy groups of fixed point sets $\pi_*(X^H)$.

There is an important difference between this tower and the classical one. In the classical case the map $X \rightarrow P^m X$ does not change homotopy groups in dimensions $\leq m$. **This is not true in the equivariant case.**



The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X . In our case, $\pi_*({}^G P_m^m X)$ need not be concentrated in dimension m .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X . In our case, $\pi_*({}^G P_m^m X)$ need not be concentrated in dimension m .

This means the slice filtration leads to a (possibly noncollapsing) slice spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(X)$ and its variants.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X . In our case, $\pi_*({}^G P_m^m X)$ need not be concentrated in dimension m .

This means the slice filtration leads to a (possibly noncollapsing) slice spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(X)$ and its variants.

One variant has the form

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_{t-s}^G({}^G P_t^t X) \implies \pi_{t-s}^G(X).$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X . In our case, $\pi_*({}^G P_m^m X)$ need not be concentrated in dimension m .

This means the slice filtration leads to a (possibly noncollapsing) slice spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(X)$ and its variants.

One variant has the form

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_{t-s}^G({}^G P_t^t X) \implies \pi_{t-s}^G(X).$$

Recall that $\pi_*^G(X)$ is by definition $\pi_*(X^G)$, the homotopy of the fixed point set.



The slice spectral sequence (continued)

Equivalently, in the classical case, $P_m^m X$ is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum whose m th homotopy group is that of X . In our case, $\pi_*({}^G P_m^m X)$ need not be concentrated in dimension m .

This means the slice filtration leads to a (possibly noncollapsing) slice spectral sequence converging to $\pi_*(X)$ and its variants.

One variant has the form

$$E_2^{s,t} = \pi_{t-s}^G({}^G P_t^t X) \implies \pi_{t-s}^G(X).$$

Recall that $\pi_*^G(X)$ is by definition $\pi_*(X^G)$, the homotopy of the fixed point set.

This is the spectral sequence we will use to study $MU_{\mathbb{R}}^{(4)}$ and its relatives.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk) and $H\mathbf{Z}$ is the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk) and $H\mathbf{Z}$ is the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. \widehat{W}_m never has any free summands.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk) and $H\mathbf{Z}$ is the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. \widehat{W}_m never has any free summands.

Our G -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ (where $G = C_8$) is obtained from the E_{∞} -ring spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ by inverting a certain element $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk) and $H\mathbf{Z}$ is the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. \widehat{W}_m never has any free summands.

Our G -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ (where $G = C_8$) is obtained from the E_{∞} -ring spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ by inverting a certain element $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$. The choices of G and D are the simplest ones leading to a homotopy fixed point set with the detection property.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

The slice spectral sequence (continued)

A large portion of our paper is devoted to proving that the slice spectral sequence has the desired properties. From now on we will drop the symbol G from the functors P^m , P_m and P_m^m .

Slice Theorem

In the slice tower for $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$, every odd slice is contractible and $P_{2m}^{2m} = \widehat{W}_m \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$, where \widehat{W}_m is a certain wedge of $2m$ -dimensional slice cells (to be discussed in tomorrow's talk) and $H\mathbf{Z}$ is the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum. \widehat{W}_m never has any free summands.

Our G -spectrum $\tilde{\Omega}$ (where $G = C_8$) is obtained from the E_{∞} -ring spectrum $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ by inverting a certain element $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$. The choices of G and D are the simplest ones leading to a homotopy fixed point set with the detection property. The slice tower for $\tilde{\Omega}$ has similar properties to that of $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the gap theorem

The gap theorem follows from the fact that π_{-2}^G vanishes for each isotropic slice,

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the gap theorem

The gap theorem follows from the fact that π_{-2}^G vanishes for each isotropic slice, i.e., for each one of the form

$$\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) \wedge H\mathbb{Z}$$

for any nontrivial subgroup H and any integer k .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the gap theorem

The gap theorem follows from the fact that π_{-2}^G vanishes for each isotropic slice, i.e., for each one of the form

$$\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) \wedge H\mathbb{Z}$$

for any nontrivial subgroup H and any integer k . This will be the subject of tomorrow's talk.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the gap theorem

The gap theorem follows from the fact that π_{-2}^G vanishes for each isotropic slice, i.e., for each one of the form

$$\widehat{S}(k\rho_H) \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$$

for any nontrivial subgroup H and any integer k . This will be the subject of tomorrow's talk.

In order to give a feel for these calculations we offer the following picture of $\pi_*^G S^{k\rho_G} \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$ for $G = C_8$ and various integers k .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Some C_8 slices

A picture of $\pi_*^G S^{k\rho_G} \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$ for $G = C_8$ and various integers k .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem


The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Some C_8 slices

A picture of $\pi_*^G S^{k\rho_G} \wedge H\mathbf{Z}$ for $G = C_8$ and various integers k .



Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Periodicity Theorem

We now outline the proof of the Periodicity Theorem, assuming the Slice Theorem.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Periodicity Theorem

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We now outline the proof of the Periodicity Theorem, assuming the Slice Theorem.

We establish some differentials in the slice spectral sequence and show that certain elements become permanent cycles after inverting a certain $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Proving the Periodicity Theorem

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We now outline the proof of the Periodicity Theorem, assuming the Slice Theorem.

We establish some differentials in the slice spectral sequence and show that certain elements become permanent cycles after inverting a certain $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$. This leads to an equivariant self map

$$\Sigma^{256} \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Periodicity Theorem

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We now outline the proof of the Periodicity Theorem, assuming the Slice Theorem.

We establish some differentials in the slice spectral sequence and show that certain elements become permanent cycles after inverting a certain $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$. This leads to an equivariant self map

$$\Sigma^{256} \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}.$$

It is an ordinary homotopy equivalence,

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Periodicity Theorem

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



We now outline the proof of the Periodicity Theorem, assuming the Slice Theorem.

We establish some differentials in the slice spectral sequence and show that certain elements become permanent cycles after inverting a certain $D \in \pi_{19\rho_G}^G N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$. This leads to an equivariant self map

$$\Sigma^{256} \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}.$$

It is an ordinary homotopy equivalence, and this is known to imply that it induces an equivalence on homotopy fixed point sets.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Digression on Geometric Fixed Points

The key tool for studying differentials in the slice spectral sequence is the **geometric fixed point spectrum**, denoted by $\Phi^G X$ for a G -spectrum X .

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Digression on Geometric Fixed Points

The key tool for studying differentials in the slice spectral sequence is the **geometric fixed point spectrum**, denoted by $\Phi^G X$ for a G -spectrum X . It has much nicer properties than the usual fixed point spectrum X^G , which fails to commute with smash products and infinite suspensions.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Digression on Geometric Fixed Points

The key tool for studying differentials in the slice spectral sequence is the **geometric fixed point spectrum**, denoted by $\Phi^G X$ for a G -spectrum X . It has much nicer properties than the usual fixed point spectrum X^G , which fails to commute with smash products and infinite suspensions.

In order to define it we need the **isotropy separation sequence**, which in the case of a finite cyclic 2-group G is the cofiber sequence

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}C_2.$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Digression on Geometric Fixed Points

The key tool for studying differentials in the slice spectral sequence is the **geometric fixed point spectrum**, denoted by $\Phi^G X$ for a G -spectrum X . It has much nicer properties than the usual fixed point spectrum X^G , which fails to commute with smash products and infinite suspensions.

In order to define it we need the **isotropy separation sequence**, which in the case of a finite cyclic 2-group G is the cofiber sequence

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}C_2.$$

Here EC_2 is a G -space via the projection $G \rightarrow C_2$ and S^0 has the trivial action, so $\tilde{E}C_2$ is also a G -space.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}C_2.$$

Under this action EC_2^G is empty while for any proper subgroup H of G , $EC_2^H = EC_2$, which is contractible.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}C_2.$$

Under this action EC_2^G is empty while for any proper subgroup H of G , $EC_2^H = EC_2$, which is contractible. For an arbitrary finite group G it is possible to construct a G -space with the similar properties.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$EC_{2+} \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}C_2.$$

Under this action EC_2^G is empty while for any proper subgroup H of G , $EC_2^H = EC_2$, which is contractible. For an arbitrary finite group G it is possible to construct a G -space with the similar properties.

Definition

For a finite cyclic 2-group G and G -spectrum X , the geometric fixed point spectrum is

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.

Overview of the
proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant
relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral
sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity
theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and
reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.
- For a G -space X , $\phi^G \Sigma^\infty X = \Sigma^\infty (X^G)$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.
- For a G -space X , $\phi^G \Sigma^\infty X = \Sigma^\infty (X^G)$.
- A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivalence iff $\phi^H f$ is an ordinary equivalence for each subgroup $H \subset G$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.
- For a G -space X , $\phi^G \Sigma^\infty X = \Sigma^\infty (X^G)$.
- A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivalence iff $\phi^H f$ is an ordinary equivalence for each subgroup $H \subset G$.

From the suspension property we can deduce that

$$\phi^{C_8} MU^{(4)} = MO,$$

the unoriented cobordism spectrum.



Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.
- For a G -space X , $\phi^G \Sigma^\infty X = \Sigma^\infty (X^G)$.
- A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivalence iff $\phi^H f$ is an ordinary equivalence for each subgroup $H \subset G$.

From the suspension property we can deduce that

$$\phi^{C_8} MU^{(4)} = MO,$$

the unoriented cobordism spectrum. Its homotopy type has been well understood since Thom's work in the 50s.



Geometric Fixed Points (continued)

$$\phi^G X = (X \wedge \tilde{E}C_2)^G.$$

This functor has the following properties:

- For G -spectra X and Y , $\phi^G(X \wedge Y) = \phi^G X \wedge \phi^G Y$.
- For a G -space X , $\phi^G \Sigma^\infty X = \Sigma^\infty (X^G)$.
- A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivalence iff $\phi^H f$ is an ordinary equivalence for each subgroup $H \subset G$.

From the suspension property we can deduce that

$$\phi^{C_8} MU^{(4)} = MO,$$

the unoriented cobordism spectrum. Its homotopy type has been well understood since Thom's work in the 50s.

Moreover there is a theorem saying that inverting a certain element in the slice spectral sequence converging to $\pi_* X^G$ gives one converging to $\pi_* \phi^G X$.



Back to the Periodicity Theorem

Our knowledge of the slice spectral sequence converging to

$$\pi_* \Phi^G MU^{(4)} = \pi_* MO$$

gives us a very good handle on the one converging to $\pi_*(MU^{(4)})^G$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Back to the Periodicity Theorem

Our knowledge of the slice spectral sequence converging to

$$\pi_* \Phi^G MU^{(4)} = \pi_* MO$$

gives us a very good handle on the one converging to $\pi_*(MU^{(4)})^G$. This enables us to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Back to the Periodicity Theorem

Our knowledge of the slice spectral sequence converging to

$$\pi_* \phi^G MU^{(4)} = \pi_* MO$$

gives us a very good handle on the one converging to $\pi_*(MU^{(4)})^G$. This enables us to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

Typically one proves theorems about differentials in such spectral sequences by means of some sort of extended power construction.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Back to the Periodicity Theorem

Our knowledge of the slice spectral sequence converging to

$$\pi_* \phi^G MU^{(4)} = \pi_* MO$$

gives us a very good handle on the one converging to $\pi_*(MU^{(4)})^G$. This enables us to prove the Periodicity Theorem.

Typically one proves theorems about differentials in such spectral sequences by means of some sort of extended power construction. In our case, all of the necessary geometry is encoded in the relation between $\pi_* MU$ and $\pi_* MO$!

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem

The proof of the detection theorem is a calculation with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem

The proof of the detection theorem is a calculation with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. It is the one part of our proof that could have been done 30 years ago.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem

The proof of the detection theorem is a calculation with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. It is the one part of our proof that could have been done 30 years ago.

Similar methods were used in the 70s to prove an odd primary analog (for $p > 3$) of our theorem.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem

The proof of the detection theorem is a calculation with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. It is the one part of our proof that could have been done 30 years ago.

Similar methods were used in the 70s to prove an odd primary analog (for $p > 3$) of our theorem. In that proof a key tool is a homomorphism

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Adams-Novikov} \\ E_2\text{-term for } S^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow H^* \left(C_p; \begin{array}{l} \text{certain} \\ \text{coefficients} \end{array} \right)$$

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem

The proof of the detection theorem is a calculation with the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. It is the one part of our proof that could have been done 30 years ago.

Similar methods were used in the 70s to prove an odd primary analog (for $p > 3$) of our theorem. In that proof a key tool is a homomorphism

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Adams-Novikov} \\ E_2\text{-term for } S^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow H^* \left(C_p; \begin{array}{l} \text{certain} \\ \text{coefficients} \end{array} \right)$$

It is based on the fact that a formal group law of height $p - 1$ can have nontrivial automorphisms of order p .

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



For us it is a composite homomorphism

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } S^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } \Omega \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow H^* \left(C_8; \begin{array}{c} \text{something} \\ \text{easy} \end{array} \right)$$

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



For us it is a composite homomorphism

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } S^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } \Omega \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow H^* \left(C_8; \begin{array}{c} \text{something} \\ \text{easy} \end{array} \right)$$

in which each θ_j has a nontrivial image.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Detection Theorem (continued)

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



For us it is a composite homomorphism

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } S^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_2\text{-term} \\ \text{for } \Omega \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow H^* \left(C_8; \begin{array}{c} \text{something} \\ \text{easy} \end{array} \right)$$

in which each θ_j has a nontrivial image.

It is based on the fact that at the prime 2 a formal group law of height 4 can have nontrivial automorphisms of order 8.

Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ and its relatives.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbb{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells. It maps to $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ in such a way that the underlying wedge of spheres hits all of the underlying homotopy of $MU^{(2^n)}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells. It maps to $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ in such a way that the underlying wedge of spheres hits all of the underlying homotopy of $MU^{(2^n)}$. Thus both $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and S^0 are A -modules.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells. It maps to $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ in such a way that the underlying wedge of spheres hits all of the underlying homotopy of $MU^{(2^n)}$. Thus both $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and S^0 are A -modules.

Reduction Theorem

The A -smash product $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}} \wedge_A S^0$ is equivariantly equivalent to the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}$.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells. It maps to $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ in such a way that the underlying wedge of spheres hits all of the underlying homotopy of $MU^{(2^n)}$. Thus both $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and S^0 are A -modules.

Reduction Theorem

The A -smash product $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}} \wedge_A S^0$ is equivariantly equivalent to the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of this is the hardest calculation in our paper.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems

Proving the Slice Theorem

Recall that a pivotal step in our proof is the Slice Theorem, which identifies the layers in the slice tower for $MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and its relatives.

For each cyclic 2-group $G = C_{2^{n+1}}$ there is a equivariant (noncommutative) ring spectrum A which is a certain wedge of slice cells. It maps to $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ in such a way that the underlying wedge of spheres hits all of the underlying homotopy of $MU^{(2^n)}$. Thus both $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}}$ and S^0 are A -modules.

Reduction Theorem

The A -smash product $N_{C_2}^G MU_{\mathbf{R}} \wedge_A S^0$ is equivariantly equivalent to the integer Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H\mathbf{Z}$.

The proof of this is the hardest calculation in our paper. Deriving the Slice Theorem from it is a formality.

Overview of the proof

Mike Hill
Mike Hopkins
Doug Ravenel



Our strategy

The construction of Ω

MU and its equivariant relatives

The slice filtration

The slice spectral sequence

The gap theorem

The periodicity theorem

The detection theorem

The slice and reduction theorems